



English for specific purposes in Algerian higher education: Addressing business english teaching challenges and methodological gaps

Salma Aleb*, Higher School of Management and Digital Economy, Koléa, Algeria <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9470-0734>

Suggested Citation:

Aleb, S. (2026). English for specific purposes in Algerian higher education: Addressing business english teaching challenges and methodological gaps. *Global Journal of Foreign Language Teaching*, 16(1), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.18844/gjflt.v16i1.9683>

Received from September 10, 2025; revised from November 11, 2025; accepted from January 25, 2026.
Selection and peer review under responsibility of Prof. Dr. Jesus Garcia Laborda, Alcala University, Spain.

©2026 by the authors. Licensee United World Innovation Research and Publishing Center, North Nicosia, Cyprus. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

©iThenticate Similarity Rate: 6%

Abstract

In the Algerian higher education context, teaching English for Specific Purposes (ESP)—defined as designing English instruction tailored to students' professional fields—has become increasingly important as academic institutions aim for greater internationalization and adapt to global labor-market needs. Business English, a subfield of ESP focusing on communication skills for business-related disciplines, is one of the most critical ESP subfields. It prepares students in economics, management, and commercial sciences for real-world professional communication. However, implementing Business English in Algerian universities faces pedagogical, institutional, and methodological difficulties. This study explores challenges faced by Business English teachers in Algerian higher education, using a qualitative case study with semi-structured interviews of five instructors. The findings reveal problems: no formal needs analysis (systematic assessment of student and professional requirements), ESP training, specialized syllabi, or collaboration with subject-matter experts, and limited institutional support. Despite these constraints, instructors show adaptability and resilience, designing their own materials and pursuing informal professional development. The paper ends with recommendations for reform: develop national ESP curricula, provide structured training, and encourage more interdisciplinary collaboration.

Keywords: English for Specific Purposes (ESP); Business English; Algerian higher education; teacher training; syllabus design; institutional challenges; qualitative case study.

* ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: **Salma Aleb**, Higher School of Management and Digital Economy, Koléa, Algeria
E-mail: saleb@esgen.edu.dz

1. INTRODUCTION

Today, English proficiency is key to both academic growth and career success. English dominates international business, diplomacy, science, and higher education. As a result, English for Specific Purposes (ESP) has become central in language education. It helps meet learners' specific academic and occupational language needs (Hutchinson & Waters, 1987; Basturkmen, 2014). ESP instruction is especially useful in higher education, where students need skills suited to their fields, like engineering, medicine, law, or business.

ESP has become a strategic priority in Algeria, especially since the LMD system was introduced in 2004. This reform led universities to widen English instruction beyond General English to include subject-specific teaching. Business English stands out among ESP fields in the faculties of economics, management, and digital enterprise. Students in these faculties must handle financial documents, negotiate, write business correspondence, and grasp global market trends, all in English.

Business English is now part of academic programs, but teaching remains inconsistent, under-resourced, and underdeveloped. Teachers face unclear curricular goals, limited training, and insufficient coordination with subject experts. Many instructors come from general EFL backgrounds and lack experience or training in Business English. Universities often do not provide updated syllabi, objectives, or materials reflecting current economic trends or practices.

This study examines the challenges Business English teachers face in Algerian universities. It covers their experiences with course and material design, classroom practice, and work with content specialists. This research aims to provide insight into ESP teaching realities and to suggest sustainable ways to improve Business English education in Algerian higher education.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This section outlines the key theoretical concepts that frame this study, focusing on the nature of ESP instruction, the role of Business English within ESP, and the evolving responsibilities of ESP practitioners. Understanding these foundations is essential for interpreting this research's findings and situating them within broader academic discourse.

2.1 ESP Teaching and Learning Enterprise

English for Specific Purposes (ESP) is a learner-centered way to teach English. It adapts lessons to students' academic, professional, or technical needs. Hutchinson and Waters (1987) say ESP works best when aligned with the tasks and language of a specific field. ESP differs from General English by focusing on purposeful, context-specific learning. Basturkmen (2010) adds ESP is a teaching approach, not a separate field. It emphasizes needs analysis and awareness of genres and discourse.

ESP lessons go beyond vocabulary and grammar. Learners also practice the language used in their targeted fields. Business English includes report writing, emails, presentations, meetings, and negotiations. Kennedy and Bolitho (1984) stress that ESP should be led by close analysis of goals and contexts. Achieving this requires teachers and subject-matter experts to collaborate.

Algerian faculties use the ESP model due to the LMD reform, but implementation varies widely. Many ESP courses still look like General English, lacking resources, training, and institutional support. Systematic needs analysis is rare, and course objectives are often vague or absent. This weakens ESP's effectiveness as a practical teaching method.

Aleb, S. (2026). English for specific purposes in Algerian higher education: Addressing business english teaching challenges and methodological gaps. *Global Journal of Foreign Language Teaching*, 16(1), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.18844/gjflt.v16i1.9683>

2.2 ESP Teachers' Roles and Responsibilities

Dudley-Evans and St. John (1998) see ESP teachers as "practitioners" who take on five roles. They act as teacher, course designer and material provider, collaborator, researcher, and evaluator. These roles are crucial in Business English, as instructors must connect language instruction to business content.

In practice, however, many ESP instructors encounter significant challenges in fulfilling these roles. Teachers are frequently responsible for designing their own syllabi and materials, often with minimal institutional support or access to current business content. Collaboration with content specialists is infrequent. Additionally, few teachers possess formal training in conducting needs analyses or evaluating students on authentic business communication tasks. Consequently, ESP teachers may experience professional isolation and a sense of pedagogical underpreparedness (Benabdallah, 2017).

In Algeria, ESP teachers' roles are further complicated by unclear administration. Job descriptions lack ESP tasks, and professional development is limited or absent. Teachers are expected to switch to Business English with little preparation. This leads to improvised teaching and inconsistent quality across universities.

These theories guide this study's main question. How do Business English teachers in Algerian universities manage their many roles in a system that does not support them?

3. PURPOSE OF THE STUDY AND RESEARCH QUESTIONS

This study examines the challenges and practices of teaching Business English as part of English for Specific Purposes (ESP) in Algerian higher education. ESP has been formally integrated into university curricula, particularly under the LMD system. However, research on how it is actually implemented—especially in economics, management, and business faculties—is limited. The study focuses on Business English instructors' experiences, their institutional conditions, and the pedagogical methods they use to meet learners' needs.

Business English is vital in preparing students for today's workplace. However, the course often gets little academic recognition and few resources. Teachers are often told to "teach English" without much guidance. This leads to inconsistent content, assessment, and results. The study aims to reveal the realities of Business English teachers and to suggest ways to improve instruction in Algerian universities.

3.1 Research Questions

To achieve its objectives, this study is guided by the following research questions:

What are the main pedagogical and institutional challenges faced by Business English teachers in Algerian higher education?

What roles and responsibilities do these teachers perceive themselves to have, and how do they adapt to overcome the constraints they encounter?

What measures can be recommended to improve Business English teaching practices within the Algerian ESP context?

3.2 Hypotheses

Aleb, S. (2026). English for specific purposes in Algerian higher education: Addressing business english teaching challenges and methodological gaps. *Global Journal of Foreign Language Teaching*, 16(1), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.18844/gjflt.v16i1.9683>

Although this is a qualitative exploratory study, the following hypotheses were developed based on prior research and preliminary observation:

H1: Business English teachers in Algerian universities face systemic challenges, including the absence of specialized training, lack of structured syllabi, insufficient collaboration with subject specialists, and poor access to pedagogical resources.

H2: Despite these challenges, teachers compensate by using adaptive strategies, such as designing their own materials, conducting informal needs assessments, and engaging in self-initiated professional development.

4. METHODS AND MATERIALS

This section presents the research design, participant selection, and data collection tools used in the study. Given the inquiry's contextual nature, a qualitative methodology was adopted to explore the experiences, perceptions, and strategies of Business English teachers in Algerian higher education.

4.1. Research design

This study employed a qualitative case study design, which is particularly effective for exploring complex issues in real-life settings (Merriam & Tisdell, 2016). The aim was not to generalize findings to all ESP teachers in Algeria, but to gain deep, contextual insights into the challenges faced by Business English instructors and the pedagogical and institutional constraints they navigate.

The case study approach enabled an in-depth understanding of how Business English is taught in specific academic contexts—economics and management faculties—while capturing individual voices and teaching practices. This methodology aligns with recent research in ESP and ELT that emphasizes the importance of practitioner perspectives (Basturkmen, 2014; Kiczkowiak, 2024).

4.2. Participants

The participants were five Business English instructors teaching at two Algerian universities: the University of Tlemcen and the University of Oran 2. These instructors were selected using purposive sampling based on the following criteria:

At least three years of experience teaching English in faculties of economics, management, or commerce.

Currently teaching a course labeled as “Business English” or “English for Management.”

Willingness to participate in a one-hour semi-structured interview.

The group included three female and two male teachers, all aged 30 to 50. All participants held at least a Master's degree in English and had academic backgrounds in General English, Applied Linguistics, or EFL. None had formal training in Business English or ESP at the postgraduate level, which is consistent with the broader trend of ESP being assigned to general English teachers without specialization (Benabdallah, 2017; Hemche, 2014).

4.3 Data Collection Tool

Data were gathered using a semi-structured interview protocol, which provided both consistency and flexibility. The interviews lasted 45-60 minutes and were conducted in English. All interviews were audio-recorded with consent, and detailed field notes were taken.

The interview guide included questions on:

Educational background and teaching experience

Aleb, S. (2026). English for specific purposes in Algerian higher education: Addressing business english teaching challenges and methodological gaps. *Global Journal of Foreign Language Teaching*, 16(1), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.18844/gjflt.v16i1.9683>

- Familiarity with ESP and Business English principles
- Availability of syllabi and teaching materials
- Pedagogical challenges and student engagement
- Collaboration with subject specialists
- Professional development needs and suggestions for improvement

The interviews were transcribed and analyzed using thematic coding, focusing on recurrent patterns and insights related to the research questions.

Coming next: 5. Results – expanded and explained in detail. Shall I proceed?

Great! Here’s the fully expanded Results section of your research paper, based on a detailed analysis of the interviews:

5. RESULTS

This section presents the main findings of the study, derived from semi-structured interviews with five Business English instructors at two Algerian universities. The results are organized according to the study’s research questions and divided into two main parts: (1) the challenges encountered in Business English instruction, and (2) the strategies teachers adopted to cope with those challenges. Thematic analysis revealed multiple intersecting issues that affect the effectiveness of ESP instruction in this context.

5.1. Challenges in Teaching Business English in Algerian Higher Education

a) Lack of Specialized ESP Training

All five participants reported that they had never received formal training in ESP or Business English. Their academic preparation was mostly in General English, Applied Linguistics, or Literature. As a result, they often felt unprepared to teach language within a business context. One participant stated:

“We learned how to teach grammar and reading, not how to explain market trends or financial reports. Business topics are new for most of us.”

The lack of ESP-specific pedagogy also meant that instructors had no access to appropriate instructional methods, such as genre-based or task-based learning tailored to business communication.

b) Absence of Needs Analysis

Needs analysis, a core component of ESP (Hutchinson & Waters, 1987), was either nonexistent or conducted informally. Teachers said they relied on their intuition, previous experience, or student behavior to determine course content. One instructor explained:

“We don’t do surveys or tests to find out what students need. We just assume—maybe wrongly—what they want or lack.”

This absence of systematic needs analysis results in misaligned objectives and content that often fail to meet students’ academic or professional aspirations.

c) Lack of a Specialized and Structured Syllabus

Aleb, S. (2026). English for specific purposes in Algerian higher education: Addressing business english teaching challenges and methodological gaps. *Global Journal of Foreign Language Teaching*, 16(1), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.18844/gjflt.v16i1.9683>

A prominent challenge expressed by all teachers was the lack of an official or structured syllabus for Business English. Teachers described being asked to "teach what you know" or "just give some English lessons," which led to inconsistent content across institutions:

"There is no guidance. No syllabus. Just a vague title: Business English. I decide what to teach based on what I've done before."

This vagueness results in overlap between courses, neglect of essential business communication skills, and a lack of progression across academic years.

d) Shortage of Authentic and Contextualized Materials

Teachers reported difficulty accessing Business English textbooks or Algerian-contextualized resources. Many resorted to using free online materials, which they had to adapt manually. Others relied on YouTube videos, outdated textbooks, or newspaper articles. One teacher noted:

"We have no budget for books. I find free things online and turn them into lessons—but it's time-consuming and not always accurate."

e) Minimal Collaboration with Content Specialists

Although participants acknowledged that collaboration with business faculty would improve course relevance, none reported having access to such cooperation. The reasons included institutional barriers, scheduling conflicts, and departmental silos. One respondent said:

"It's not easy to reach out to economics teachers. We work separately, and there's no system for joint planning."

f) Student Motivation and Overcrowded Classes

Most participants reported that Business English students, especially undergraduates, lacked motivation or saw the course as non-essential. This attitude was compounded by the lack of clear course objectives or connection to future careers. Moreover, large class sizes—often exceeding 50 students—made it difficult to implement interactive or communicative activities. One teacher explained:

"It's hard to manage a classroom with 60 students. You can't do pair work, speaking practice, or presentations in such settings."

g) Institutional Neglect of ESP Teacher Development

Participants unanimously felt unsupported by their institutions. They mentioned the absence of professional development programs, workshops, or opportunities to attend ESP conferences or seminars. One participant observed:

"No one trains us. No workshops. Nothing. It's like we're expected to magically know how to teach Business English."

h) Assessment Misalignment

Another theme was the gap between what is taught and how students are assessed. Teachers often emphasized business communication skills, but final exams focused on grammar, vocabulary, or translation exercises. As one instructor noted:

"We try to teach them how to write reports or emails, but in exams, they just fill in blanks or translate sentences. It's contradictory."

5.2. Teachers' Roles and Strategies to Overcome These Challenges

Aleb, S. (2026). English for specific purposes in Algerian higher education: Addressing business english teaching challenges and methodological gaps. *Global Journal of Foreign Language Teaching*, 16(1), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.18844/gjflt.v16i1.9683>

Despite the difficulties, the participants demonstrated significant creativity and commitment in adapting their instruction.

a) Adapting Materials

Teachers often developed their own materials by curating free resources, adapting them to learners' levels, and contextualizing them to Algerian business practices. They designed worksheets, simulations, and case studies using content from financial news sources, Investopedia, and company brochures.

b) Self-Initiated Professional Development

Several participants sought to improve their ESP competence by attending free webinars, taking online courses (e.g., Coursera, FutureLearn), or reading Business English teaching blogs. One shared:

"I follow some LinkedIn groups and watch webinars. That's how I learned to use case studies or design mock meetings."

c) Implementing Task-Based Learning

Teachers integrated real-world tasks such as presentations, emails, CV writing, and mock interviews into their lessons. These helped students engage with authentic business scenarios despite limited resources.

d) Informal Needs Assessment

Although formal needs analysis was rare, teachers reported observing classroom behaviors, asking students informally about their interests, and tailoring tasks accordingly.

e) Expressing the Need for Reform

All participants emphasized that Business English instruction in Algeria requires top-down reform: national syllabi, institutional support, interdisciplinary collaboration, and funding for resources.

This concludes the expanded Results section.

Shall I move on to Section 6: Discussion?

Excellent! Here's the fully developed Discussion section of your research article:

6. DISCUSSION

This study set out to explore the practical realities of Business English instruction in Algerian higher education. Drawing on qualitative data from semi-structured interviews with five instructors, the research provides valuable insights into the challenges, adaptations, and pedagogical responsibilities experienced by teachers in this under-examined field.

The findings strongly support the first hypothesis: Business English instructors face systemic and structural challenges that undermine the effectiveness of their teaching. Most notably, participants highlighted a lack of formal training in ESP or Business English, the absence of structured syllabi, and minimal access to relevant teaching materials. These findings echo previous research by Hemche

Aleb, S. (2026). English for specific purposes in Algerian higher education: Addressing business english teaching challenges and methodological gaps. *Global Journal of Foreign Language Teaching*, 16(1), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.18844/gjflt.v16i1.9683>

(2014) and Mebitil (2015), who found that ESP courses in Algerian universities often rely on improvised practices due to institutional neglect and the absence of clear policy frameworks.

A particularly salient issue was the absence of needs analysis, which is widely regarded in the literature as a foundational practice in ESP (Hutchinson & Waters, 1987; Basturkmen, 2010). Teachers in this study described relying on their own experience or intuition rather than systematic tools to identify learners' goals. As a result, instructional design lacked specificity, and course content often failed to match students' real academic or workplace communication needs.

The lack of a specialized syllabus further compounded the problem. Teachers were expected to "teach some English" without any formal documentation outlining expected outcomes, communicative competencies, or thematic progression. This is particularly problematic in ESP, where instruction must be closely aligned with learners' disciplinary fields and professional realities (Dudley-Evans & St John, 1998). In contrast to General English, Business English requires alignment with market-related terminology, business genres, and discourse practices—none of which can be taught effectively without clear curricular guidance.

Another significant finding was the institutional marginalization of Business English and ESP. Teachers reported limited support, large class sizes, and few opportunities for professional development. These constraints are not unique to the Algerian context; similar challenges have been identified in emerging economies where English education is expanding faster than pedagogical infrastructure can support (Kiczkowiak, 2024). However, the intensity of the constraints described in this study—particularly the total absence of training and collaboration with content specialists—is indicative of a deeper systemic problem.

Despite these challenges, the study also revealed encouraging evidence in support of the second hypothesis: teachers demonstrated resourcefulness, commitment, and creativity in adapting their instruction. They engaged in informal needs assessment, adopted real-world tasks (e.g., writing CVs or conducting mock interviews), and developed personalized materials using online content. Some participants pursued self-guided professional development through free webinars, ESP networks, and Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs).

These grassroots efforts are consistent with literature on ESP practitioners in under-resourced contexts, where innovation often emerges in the absence of institutional support (Ruiz-Garrido & Palmer-Silveira, 2008). However, while individual resilience is commendable, it cannot substitute for structural reform. Teachers should not be expected to function as syllabus designers, needs analysts, material developers, and evaluators without proper training, institutional recognition, or access to subject-matter collaboration.

The misalignment between assessment methods and instructional goals was another key finding. Participants reported teaching communicative skills such as writing business emails or giving presentations, only for final exams to focus on grammar or sentence translation. This contradiction undermines learner motivation and devalues the practical nature of Business English instruction. As Jordan (1997) notes, assessment in ESP must mirror real-world language tasks in order to be valid and meaningful.

In summary, this study highlights the disconnect between policy and practice in Business English education in Algerian universities. While ESP is formally included in the curriculum, its implementation lacks coherence, training, and integration with learners' disciplinary needs. The findings support calls

Aleb, S. (2026). English for specific purposes in Algerian higher education: Addressing business english teaching challenges and methodological gaps. *Global Journal of Foreign Language Teaching*, 16(1), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.18844/gjflt.v16i1.9683>

for an urgent overhaul of ESP structures and suggest that any reform must begin with a recognition of the unique demands placed on Business English instructors and the resources they require to succeed.

Would you like me to continue with the Conclusion and Recommendations section now?

Great! Here's the final main section of your paper:

7. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

This study explored the pedagogical and institutional challenges faced by Business English instructors in Algerian higher education, using a qualitative case study approach. The findings revealed a wide gap between the theoretical foundations of English for Specific Purposes (ESP) and the practical realities encountered by teachers in economics and management faculties.

Business English, as a branch of ESP, is designed to prepare students for communicative tasks in business and commerce. However, in Algerian universities, this purpose is often compromised by several factors: the lack of formal training for instructors, the absence of structured syllabi and official guidelines, the minimal use of needs analysis, and the general neglect of ESP by academic institutions. These constraints lead to improvisational teaching practices, demotivated students, and a misalignment between course goals and evaluation methods.

Despite these obstacles, teachers demonstrated resilience and resourcefulness. They relied on self-directed learning, adapted freely available online content, and incorporated real-life tasks into their teaching. However, individual initiative alone is not enough to ensure sustainable improvements. What is urgently required is a systemic response that acknowledges the complexity and importance of Business English instruction within Algeria's higher education system.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations are proposed:

Develop a National ESP Curriculum Framework

The Ministry of Higher Education should collaborate with language specialists and business faculty to design a standardized, context-relevant Business English syllabus that outlines clear learning objectives, content themes, and communicative competencies.

Provide Pre- and In-Service Teacher Training

Structured training programs should be offered to both novice and experienced ESP instructors. These should include modules on needs analysis, business communication genres, assessment design, and collaboration with subject-matter experts.

Encourage Interdisciplinary Collaboration

Institutions should create platforms for English instructors to work with faculty from economics, management, and commerce departments. Joint workshops, co-teaching initiatives, and shared course planning would ensure more authentic and relevant instruction.

Invest in Contextualized Teaching Resources

Aleb, S. (2026). English for specific purposes in Algerian higher education: Addressing business English teaching challenges and methodological gaps. *Global Journal of Foreign Language Teaching*, 16(1), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.18844/gjft.v16i1.9683>

Universities must allocate funding for updated Business English textbooks, software, and access to business news outlets. Algerian-specific case studies and scenarios should be developed to bridge the gap between instruction and local business realities.

Reform Assessment Practices

Exams should reflect real-world communicative tasks rather than isolated grammar or translation exercises. Portfolio assessment, presentations, reports, and simulations can provide more valid indicators of student performance in Business English.

Support Teacher Research and Innovation

Teachers should be encouraged to conduct action research, publish in ESP journals, and attend conferences. Institutions can support this by providing research grants, sabbaticals, and opportunities for international collaboration.

In conclusion, Business English instruction in Algerian universities stands at a crossroads. With the right policy reforms and pedagogical investments, it has the potential to become a powerful tool for student empowerment and national economic development. This study serves as a call to action for educators, administrators, and policymakers to transform Business English from an improvised practice into a structured, purposeful, and professionally rewarding academic discipline.

Conflict of Interest: No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Ethical Approval: The study adheres to the ethical guidelines for conducting research.

Funding: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors

REFERENCES

- Basturkmen, H. (2010). *Developing courses in English for specific purposes*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Basturkmen, H. (2014). *Ideas and options in English for specific purposes*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781410617040>
- Benabdallah, A. (2017). *Raising business learners' awareness through authentic materials in an ESP classroom context: Case of 2nd-year students at the Preparatory School of Economics and Commercial Sciences* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). University of Tlemcen.
- Dudley-Evans, T., & St John, M. J. (1998). *Developments in English for specific purposes: A multi-disciplinary approach*. Cambridge University Press.
- Hemche, H. (2014). *A comparative study of teaching ESP in the LMD system in Algerian and French universities: The case of the Faculties of Sciences in Tlemcen and Paris-Sud universities* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). University of Tlemcen.
- Hutchinson, T., & Waters, A. (1987). *English for specific purposes: A learner-centered approach*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511733031>
- Jordan, R. R. (1997). *English for academic purposes: A guide and resource book for teachers*. Cambridge University Press.
- Kiczowski, M. (2024). Who gets to be an ELT coursebook author? Native speakers of English for specific purposes and business English coursebooks. *Frontiers in Education*, 9, 1473353. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2024.1473353>
- Mebitil, N. (2015). *Towards enhancing the ESP practitioners' qualifications through implementing in-service teacher training programmes: An action research on the newly appointed ESP practitioner at the Physics Department of Abou Bekr Belkaid University* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). University of Tlemcen.

- Aleb, S. (2026). English for specific purposes in Algerian higher education: Addressing business english teaching challenges and methodological gaps. *Global Journal of Foreign Language Teaching*, 16(1), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.18844/gjflt.v16i1.9683>
- Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2016). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Ruiz-Garrido, M. F., & Palmer-Silveira, J. C. (2008). Content learning in business communication. In D. Belcher (Ed.), *ESP in European higher education: Integrating language and content* (pp. 147–164). Peter Lang.

Appendix A: Sample Interview Questions

The following questions were used to guide the semi-structured interviews conducted with Business English instructors:

Section 1: Teaching Background and Training

What is your academic and professional background?

How long have you been teaching Business English or ESP?

Have you received any formal training specific to ESP or Business English instruction?

4. Are you provided with an official syllabus for your Business English course? If not, how do you plan your lessons?

Section 2: Course Planning and Materials5. What types of materials do you use in your teaching? Are they sufficient and context-appropriate?

6. Do you conduct a needs analysis to understand your students' goals or language requirements?

Section 3: Needs Analysis and Student Engagement7. How do your students perceive Business English classes? Are they generally motivated?

8. Do you collaborate with teachers from economics, management, or other subject areas?

Section 4: Institutional Support and Collaboration9. Does your institution support you in terms of resources, training, or professional development?

10. How do you view your role as a Business English instructor?

Section 5: Teacher Roles and Development11. What types of support or training do you think would improve your teaching?