



## The effectiveness of question student method in social studies learning on activity and learning outcomes

**Nurizky Handayani \***, Yogyakarta State University, Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta 55281, Indonesia.

**Suranto Aw**, Yogyakarta State University, Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta 55281, Indonesia.

**Zamroni Zamroni**, Yogyakarta State University, Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta 55281, Indonesia.

**Johan Setiawan**, Metro Muhammadiyah University, Kota Metro, Lampung 34381, Indonesia.

**Muhammad Rijal Fadli**, Yogyakarta State University, Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta 55281, Indonesia.

### Suggested Citation:

Handayani, N., Aw, S. Zamroni, Johan S. & Fadli, Muhammad Rijal. (2023). The effectiveness of question student method in social studies learning on activity and learning outcomes. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Education*, 10(1), 26-36 <https://doi.org/10.18844/ijire.v10i1.9004>

Received from February 16, 2023; revised from April 16, 2023; accepted from June 23, 2023.

Selection and peer review under the responsibility of Assoc. Prof. Dr. Zehra Ozcinar, Ataturk Teacher Training Academy, Cyprus

©2023 by the authors. Licensee Birlesik Dunya Yenilik Arastirma ve Yayincilik Merkezi, North Nicosia, Cyprus. This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

### Abstract

The purpose of this study is to analyze the effectiveness of the question students have a method in social studies learning to increase student activity and learning outcomes. This research uses quantitative methods. The population included seventh-grade students at junior high school in Yogyakarta, with a sample of 122. The sampling techniques cluster random sampling, while data collection used observations, interviews, and instruments. Data analysis used an independent sample t-test and an N-gain score test to determine student activity and learning outcomes. The results showed that the question student has a method in social studies learning was able to increase student activity and learning outcomes compared to conventional classes, based on the independent sample t-test test, and the N-gain score test was included in the medium category. The questions students have a method, have a very significant impact, thus making social studies learning more quality.

**Keywords:** Activity; learning methods; learning outcomes; questions students have; social science.

## 1. Introduction

In the world of learning, many various methods have not been used by social studies teachers in junior high schools so the teaching and learning process that takes place is still using the classical method. This causes the output and quality of learning to be below (Kormaz & Öztürk, 2020; Hwang & Chang, 2016). The quality of education in Indonesia is currently still lagging compared to the quality of education in other countries (Syawaluddin et al., 2020). In this case, the teacher participates in efforts to improve the quality of learning. Teachers should play an active role and place their position as professional educators. Teachers should also always be creative and innovative in using learning methods so that students more easily understand the material presented. The learning method chosen must be under the subject matter to be delivered to achieve the learning objectives ( Lee, Wang & Ren, 2020; Mariati et al., 2021). Maksum et al., (2021) suggest that one of the learning objectives is learning outcomes. Each teaching and learning process's success is measured by how far the learning outcomes are achieved by students. Success in learning can be done if there is interaction between teachers and students. To increase activity and learning outcomes, teachers should be able to choose and use suitable learning methods according to the characteristics of students.

The social studies learning process in which teachers have an important role in conveying information, training skills, and guiding student learning so that teachers are required to have certain qualifications and competencies so that the learning process can take place effectively and efficiently (Rosardi & Supardi, 2021). The existence of a high interest in learning and an effective learning approach will make students easy to accept and process the material presented (Bolinger & Warren, 2010; MacKay, Hughes, Marzetti, Lent & Rhind, 2019; Nganga et al., 2020). The learning method applied by the teacher when teaching at school is one of the determining factors in the development of student learning outcomes.

Inappropriate learning methods can cause low student learning outcomes and cause students not to be interested in following them (Yeti et al., 2020; Svanes & Andersson-Bakken, 2021; Machost & Stains, 2023). Inappropriate learning methods are caused because learning takes place in one direction, and is passive so that it cannot be related to students' daily lives (Watson-Canning, 2020). Students feel afraid and anxious to ask questions, so students do not understand the material given by the teacher. The students lack the confidence to ask questions even though they did not understand the lesson (Güleç, 2020; Sporre, 2022). Factors that cause students to not actively ask questions are students who are not brave enough to ask questions even though they already have questions to ask ( Ribeiro, Rosário, Moreira & Cunha, 2019; Caetano, Freire & Machado, 2020; Lee et al., 2021). With this passive attitude, there is no reciprocal interaction between teachers and students.

This condition is caused by classroom management problems. Because the purpose of class management is to work in an orderly manner so that teaching objectives are achieved effectively and efficiently (Kamza et al., 2021). By paying attention to these conditions, teachers are required to be able to make improvement efforts, namely choosing one of the learning methods that can support the success of the teaching and learning process and can improve social studies learning outcomes (Octaviantari et al., 2020; Wati & Suarni, 2020). One of the learning methods that can improve student activity and learning outcomes is the Question Students Have learning method (Herlina, 2017; Sari, 2020; Wu, Liu, How & He, 2023). The Questions Students Have learning method is learning that emphasizes students be active and unite opinions and measure the extent to which students understand the lesson through written questions (Firdaus, 2018).

The Question Students Have learning method requires students to write down questions about the subject matter that is not understood in the form of sheets of paper, then provide opportunities for other friends to read the existing questions (Uli, 2018). If students want to know the answer to the question, students can put a checkmark to the least (Awaluddin, 2017). The Question Student Have learning method is a broader concept encompassing all types of group work including forms that are more teacher-led or teacher-directed (Rohendi, 2017). The Question Student Have learning method was developed to train students to be active in asking questions (Zulfiani et al., 2020; Waldeck, 2010).

### **1.1. Purpose of study**

Previous studies (Setiawan et al., 2020; Hakim, 2015) explained that the Question Student Have learning method in social studies learning can have a good impact on student learning outcomes so that in the learning process students are always actively thinking to answer the questions that have been provided. Of course, the method is very effective and efficient when implemented in the social studies learning process so that learning objectives can be achieved optimally (Putra et al., 2021). The purpose of this study is to analyze the effectiveness of the Question Students Have a method in social studies learning to increase student activity and learning outcomes with the material for the proclamation of Indonesian independence. So, the Question Students Have learning method is expected to support learning activities and provide the right situation so that the potential of students develops under the goals of education and learning that have been determined.

## **2. Materials and Methods**

### **2.1. Research design**

This study uses a quantitative method with a quasi-experimental non-equivalent control group design by dividing two class groups, namely experimental and control (Sugiyono, 2020), which aims to analyze whether the Question Students Have method is effective in social studies learning to increase student activity and learning outcomes (Teo, 2013; Krishnan, 2018).

### **2.2. Participants**

The population used the seventh-grade students at state junior high school 2 Sleman, Yogyakarta with a sample of 122 students. In determining the sample, it is divided into two class groups, namely the experimental class (given treatment) and the control class (not given treatment or conventional). The group before being given treatment must be given a test first with a pretest and posttest, the aim is to be able to analyze the changes that occur between the two groups. The sampling technique used cluster random sampling by dividing the sample into several separate groups (clusters).

### **2.3. Data collection instrument**

Data collection techniques used in this study were observation, interviews, and tests. Observations and interviews in this study were used to measure student activity variables and test instruments were used to measure student learning outcomes.

### **2.4. Data analysis**

Data analysis uses parametric statistical methods, which aim to test hypotheses by involving population parameters. Data analysis was carried out with the help of the IBM Statistics SPSS 22 Program, through the N-gain test to determine the effectiveness of the Question Students Have a method in social studies learning to increase student activity and learning outcomes. Before testing the hypothesis, it is necessary to analyze the description and test prerequisites to test whether the data has

met the requirements. The prerequisite test consists of normality and homogeneity tests. Analysis of normality test data using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov path model with a significance level of  $p > 0.05$ . Homogeneity test using One Way ANOVA analysis through Levene's Test with a significance level of  $p > 0.05$ . An independent sample t-test was conducted to determine the difference in significance level between the two classes (experimental and control) using a significance level of  $p < 0.05$ . The N-gain score test is used to determine the increase in the ability of student learning outcomes which describes the improvement indicators as in Table 1, indicating that the N-gain score is greater than 0.7 ( $g > 0.7$ ), then the critical thinking indicator is in the high category, if the score is between 0.3 and 0.7 ( $0.3 \leq g < 0.7$ ) then it is in the medium category, while the score is less than 0.3 ( $g < 0.3$ ) then it is in a low category (Hake, 1999).

**Table 1**  
*Distributaion of N-gain Scores*

N-gain Value	Criteria
$g > 0.7$	High
$0.3 \leq g \leq 0.7$	Medium
$g < 0.3$	Low

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Descriptive of Statistical Analysis

Table 2 explains that the description of the statistical analysis of the number of samples is 122 with details of 89 experimental classes and 33 control classes. The average score of the experimental class was 71.08 pretest and 83.50 posttest, while the average score of the control class was 68.07 pretest and 77.38 posttests. Based on the results of the average scores obtained from the two class groups, there are differences, so the implementation of the Question Students Have a method in social studies learning is to increase student activity and learning outcomes.

**Table 2**  
*Statistical Description*

Class	Descriptive Statistics				
	N	Min	Max	Mean	Std. Dev
Pre-test Experiment	89	52.00	88.00	71.0862	9.38263
Post-test Experiment	89	56.00	97.00	83.5090	8.13526
Pre-test Control	33	55.00	88.00	68.0725	9.45996
Post-test Control	33	65.00	91.00	77.3813	7.51939
Valid N (listwise)	33				

#### 3.2. Pre-requisite Analysis Test

A prerequisite test is conducted to determine whether the hypothesis testing can be continued or not. Data variance requires that the data come from a normally distributed population and that the groups being compared are homogeneous. Analysis of variance of prerequisite test data used normality and homogeneity test, normality test used Kolmogorov- Smirnov path model, while the homogeneity test used one-way ANOVA.

### 3.2.1 Normality test

Table 3 shows that the results of the normality test analysis using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov model, the acquisition of the significant value of the experimental class group is 0.169 and the control class is 0.200, then the data from these two classes are normally distributed because the acquisition value of both classes is greater than 0.05 ( $p > 0.05$ ).

**Table 3**

*Normality test results*

Class	Kolmogorov-Smirnov			Description
	Statistic	df	Sig.	
Experiment	.345	89	.169	Normal
Control	.442	33	.200	Normal

### 3.2.2. Homogeneity Test

Based on Table 4, the results of the homogeneity test of the control class and experimental class obtained a significance value of 0.125, then the two groups compared were declared homogeneous because the acquisition of the significant value of the two classes was greater than 0.05 ( $p > 0.05$ ).

**Table 4**

*Homogeneity test results*

Class	Test of Homogeneity of Variances				Description
	Levene's Statistic	df1	df2	Sig.	
Experiment & Control	2.578	1	122	.159	Homogen

### 3.3 Hypothesis Testing Analysis with Independent Samples T-Test and N-Gain Score

Based on the output of Table 5, the results of the independent sample t-test obtained the value of Sig. (2-tailed) was (t-test; p)  $0.000 < 0.05$ , because the significance value of both classes was less than 0.05 ( $p < 0.05$ ). So, there is a difference in the average value of the results of student learning using the Question Student Have a method in social studies learning with conventional learning methods.

**Table 5**

*Test results of independent sample T-Test*

Result	Independent samples test								
	Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
	F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
								Lower	Upper
Equal variances assumed	1.133	.323	3.971	121	.000	6.27363	1.98273	3.38297	9.57262
Equal variances not assumed			4.105	62.943	.000	6.27363	1.98273	3.12361	9.42516

The results of the independent sample t-test test have been strengthened by the results of the N-gain score which shows that the implementation of the Question Student Have a method in social studies learning can increase student activity and learning outcomes, which can be seen in Table 6.

**Table 6**  
*N-gain score test results*

Class	N-gain Score	Criteria
Experiment 1	0.4	Medium
Experiment 2	0.4	Medium
Experiment 3	0.3	Medium
Control	0.2	Low

The output results of Table 6 show that the N-gain values obtained from the experimental classes 1, 2, and 3 are 0.4 and 0.3 with a level (0.3 g 0.7) which is categorized as medium. The N-gain score from the control class was 0.2 with a level ( $g < 0.3$ ) in the low category. The results of the N-gain score analysis have shown that there is a significant increase in the gain from the average test score of the experimental class with the control class. The results of the average pre-test experimental class 1 were 76.08 and post-test 86.22, experiment 2 pretest 71.67, and post-test 83.89. Experiment 3 pretest was 61.33 and the posttest 81.79, while the average score for the control class pretest is 61.0 and the posttest is 78.38. Thus, the results of the N-gain score have provided an explanation that the use of the Question Student Have a method in social studies learning is proven to increase student activity and learning outcomes.

#### 4. Discussion

Social Studies as a subject is defined as a subject that discusses the developments and changes that occur in the hemisphere involving people or an era that will not be separated from the concepts of space and time (Rusyanto, 2021). So far, learning tends to be thematic and theoretical which consists of mere memorization, so many students have the assumption that social studies subjects are easy (Rahmat, 2018; Agung, 2011). The learning process carried out by educators currently tends to achieve material targets and does not guide students in understanding concepts, especially in social studies learning (Kaliappen et al., 2021; Crowley & Smith, 2015). Learning activities in the classroom are mostly dominated by the teacher with the lecture method, where students just sit, take notes, and listen to what he has to say, with very few opportunities for students to ask questions (Khader, 2012; Uygun & Arslan, 2020). Thus, the learning atmosphere is not conducive so students become passive. As a result, the impact on student learning outcomes is less than optimal. Therefore, a new learning method is needed to increase student activity and student learning outcomes.

This is under the theory of Permatasari et al. (2019), and McCulley & Osman (2015) that the success factor is also one of the factors that affect student learning outcomes. Learning will be more successful if students feel able to do the desired activity and students get satisfaction in their success in carrying out these activities. In addition, the success of these students is also influenced by the performance of teachers who can provide direction and become good facilitators. so that students feel motivated to take part in the historical social studies learning process by using the active learning model Question Student Have method (Asy'ari & Rahimah, 2021).

Questions Students Have is an active learning strategy for students to ask questions about the lessons they need so that student's abilities can be explored to the fullest. Asking referred to in this study is a question issued by students to express their problems to the teacher in writing (Desputra, 2020). Asking (question) in this study can also be asked by students to the teacher and the teacher

throws the question at the students, so that the activity of asking questions does not only occur between students and teachers, but the teacher can ask students instead. Questions Students Have is one of the effective and efficient learning methods to increase learning activity (Azizah & Maristanty, 2021). Because Questions Students Have been developed to train students to have the ability to ask questions.

Putra (2022) explained that the Questions Students Have method can increase student activity which is assumed to improve student learning outcomes in social studies learning. Student learning activities are activities or behaviors that occur during the teaching and learning process (Indra et al., 2022). Activities that lead to the learning process such as asking questions, asking opinions, doing assignments, being able to answer teacher questions, and being able to be cooperating with other students, as well as being responsible for the tasks given (Wuryandani & Herwin, 2021).

According to Susanto (2016) that an interesting learning process will motivate students and give meaning to students so that the subject matter does not seem rote. From the students' responses, it was stated that by using the active learning method, the Question Student Have model, students became easier and clearer in understanding the material presented, because if it was not clear, there was no need to be afraid to ask questions (Nurbaya, 2021). Supported by discussion activities so that students become more active in the learning process, not only in one direction (Nilawati, 2020). This can be seen from the responsibility, the teacher's assistance to students who experience difficulties, the presence of the teacher as a facilitator, and the learning resource.

The Questions Students Have method has a very important role in determining and encouraging students to be more active and creative in the learning process to receive the material taught by the teacher so that the goals expected by students are achieved, namely the learning outcomes shown by the increasing level of understanding (Erlina, 2019). The Questions Students Have method is an alternative for social studies teachers or others to be used in the learning process to make it more optimal and of high quality (Setiawan et al., 2020). It is hoped that this method will be able to realize more student participation so that they can develop thoughts or ideas that are outlined in questions or writings owned by students from the material being studied.

## **5. Conclusions**

The implementation of the question student method in social studies learning has proven to be effective on student activity and learning outcomes, based on the results of the Independent Sample T-Test test which obtained a significance value of (t-test; p)  $0.000 < 0.05$ , meaning that there is a significant difference between the experimental class using the question student have a method and the class control (conventional). It is confirmed by the results of the N-gain score test, which is included in the medium category, with the intention that the question student has a method in social studies learning that can respond to activeness and improve student learning outcomes.

The implications of the research, in general, can be used as a reference and further research on similar themes, especially social studies learning design. Practically it can be used as an alternative by teachers to use the question student have a method in social studies learning to be more effective and maximal in achieving learning goals, so that social studies learning will remain of high quality in improving students' competencies, abilities, and skills. In addition, the use of the question-student method can reach the abilities of students who have been obtained through the subject matter, so it is very relevant for teachers to use this method in learning practice.

## 6. Recommendations

Suggestions from this research, hope that readers or other researchers should be more critical in analyzing how to increase student activity and learning outcomes in learning, especially in social studies. A good learning design will certainly affect the process of the learning practice itself; it is necessary to collaborate with other learning approaches or methods. This study realizes that there are still many shortcomings in the results of the research so further research must be more innovative in designing learning to be more optimal.

## References

- Agung, L. (2011). Character education integration in social studies learning. *Historia: Jurnal Pendidik Dan Peneliti Sejarah*, 12(2), 282–298. <https://ejournal.upi.edu/index.php/historia/article/view/12111>
- Asy'ari, A., & Rahimah, N. (2021). Cooperative learning type think pair square with question student have method against student learning outcomes class VIII state junior high school Banjarbaru City. *Lentera: Jurnal Pendidikan*, 6(1), 14–29.
- Awaluddin, R. (2017). Application of the question student have (QSh) learning method to improve learning outcomes of class VIII students at state junior high school 2 Woja. *AL-FURQAN*, 5(2), 35–44.
- Azizah, N., & Maristanty, C. (2021). Improvement of learning results on Islamic history lesson of KMI Class 2E by through strategy questions students have question students have at Darussalam Gontor Modern Islamic Boarding School for Girls Campus Three on Academic Year 1441-1442 H. *EDUCAN*, 5(1), 1–14. <http://ejournal.unida.gontor.ac.id/index.php/educan/article/view/6464>
- Bolinger, K., & Warren, W. J. (2007). Methods practiced in social studies instruction: a review of public-school teachers' strategies. *International Journal of Social Education*, 22(1), 68–84. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ779674>
- Caetano, AP, Freire, IP, & Machado, EB (2020). Voice and student participation in intercultural education. *Journal of New Approaches in Educational Research*, 9 (1), 60-78. <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/287234488.pdf>
- Crowley, R. M., & Smith, W. (2015). Whiteness and social studies teacher education: tensions in the pedagogical task. *Teaching Education*, 26(2), 160–178. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10476210.2014.996739>
- Desputra, T. (2020). Application of cooperative learning with questions students have strategy to improve English learning outcomes. *Jurnal EDUCATIO*, 6(2), 290–301. <https://doi.org/10.29210/120202676>
- Erlina, E. (2019). The application of the question student has method in an effort to increase student activity and learning outcomes in learning the concept of viruses at MAN 4 Pidie. *Jurnal Sosial Humaniora Sigli*, 2(1), 40–58. <https://doi.org/10.47647/jsh.v2i1.140>
- Firdaus, A. M. (2018). Effectiveness of mathematics learning through the question student have (qsh) cooperative setting method. *Majamath: Jurnal Matematika Dan Pendidikan Matematika*, 1(1), 23–34. <http://ejurnal.unim.ac.id/index.php/majamath/article/view/115>
- Güleç, S. (2020). Problem solving skills in social studies education and problem-solving skills of social studies teachers. *Journal of Education and Training Studies*, 8(3), 48–58. <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/288211861.pdf>
- Hake, R. R. (1999). Analyzing change/gain scores. Unpublished. [Online] URL: [Http://Www. Physics. Indiana. Edu/~ Sdi/AnalyzingChange-Gain. Pdf.](http://Www.Physics.Indiana.Edu/~Sdi/AnalyzingChange-Gain.Pdf)
- Hakim, Z. R. (2015). Improving social studies learning outcomes by using a cooperative learning model of the question student have (QSH) type for class IV elementary school students in Tangerang, Banten (in Bahasa). *Primary: Jurnal Pendidikan Guru Sekolah Dasar*, 4(1), 25–38.
- Herlina. (2017). The application of the question student has learning method in reading comprehension of students' English texts (in Bahasa). *Wahana Didaktika: Jurnal Ilmiah Kependidikan*, 15(1), 1–14.
- Hwang, G.-J., & Chang, S.-C. (2016). Effects of a peer competition-based mobile learning approach on students' affective domain exhibition in social studies courses. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 47(6), 1217–1231. <https://bera-journals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/bjet.12303>



- Handayani, N., Aw, S. Zamroni, Johan S. & Fadli, Muhammad Rijal. (2023). The effectiveness of question student method in social studies learning on activity and learning outcomes. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Education*, 10(1), 26-36 <https://doi.org/10.18844/ijire.v10i1.9004>
- Indra, R., Komariah, A., Nurdin, D., & Fadhli, R. (2022). A Rasch analysis: Comparing students' learning activity on online learning and blended learning. *Cypriot Journal of Educational Sciences*, 17(6), 2013–2028. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1349923>
- Kaliappen, N., Ismail, W. N. A., Ghani, A. B. A., & Sulisworo, D. (2021). Wizer. me and Socrative as innovative teaching method tools: Integrating TPACK and Social Learning Theory. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)*, 10(3), 1028–1037. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1313120>
- Kamza, M., Ibrahim, H., & Lestari, A. I. (2021). The effect of the discussion learning method with the buzz group type on students' learning activities in social studies subjects (in Bahasa). *Jurnal BASICEDU*, 5(5), 122–134.
- Khader, F. R. (2012). Teachers' Pedagogical Beliefs and Actual Classroom Practices in Social Studies Instruction. *American International Journal of Contemporary Research*, 2(1), 73–93. [https://www.academia.edu/download/32597735/teachers\\_pedagogical\\_beliefs.pdf](https://www.academia.edu/download/32597735/teachers_pedagogical_beliefs.pdf)
- Kormaz, Ö., & Özturk, Ç. (2020). The effect of gamification activities on students' academic achievements in social studies course, attitudes towards the course, and cooperative learning skills. *Participatory Educational Research*, 7(1), 1–15. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/per/issue/50435/621222>
- Krishnan, P. (2018). A review of the non-equivalent control group post-test-only design. *Nurse Researcher*.
- Lee, S., Wang, T., & Ren, X. (2020). Inner speech in the learning context and the prediction of students' learning strategy and academic performance. *Educational Psychology*, 40(5), 535-549. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/01443410.2019.1612035>
- Lee, Y., Lemanski, L. M., Deventer, M. M. Van, & O'Brien, D. G. (2021). Leveraging collaborative expertise: social studies teachers' perspectives of disciplinary literacy instruction. *Literacy Research and Instruction*, 60(3), 220–241. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/19388071.2020.1826069>
- Machost, H., & Stains, M. (2023). Reflective Practices in Education: A Primer for Practitioners. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 22(2), es2. <https://www.lifescied.org/doi/abs/10.1187/cbe.22-07-0148>
- MacKay, J. R., Hughes, K., Marzetti, H., Lent, N., & Rhind, S. M. (2019). Using National Student Survey (NSS) qualitative data and social identity theory to explore students' experiences of assessment and feedback. *Higher Education Pedagogies*, 4(1), 315-330. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/23752696.2019.1601500>
- Maksum, A., Widiana, I. W., & Marini, A. (2021). Path analysis of self-regulation, social skills, critical thinking, and problem-solving ability on social studies learning outcomes. *International Journal of Instruction*, 14(3), 613–628. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1304557>
- Mariati, M., Abbas, E. W., & Mutiani, M. (2021). The social science contribution through social studies learning. *The Innovation of Social Studies Journal (ISSJ)*, 2(2), 343–355.
- McCulley, L. V., & Osman, D. J. (2015). Effects of reading instruction on learning outcomes in social studies: A synthesis of quantitative research. *The Journal of Social Studies Research*, 39(4), 183–195. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1016/j.jssr.2015.06.002>
- Nganga, L., Roberts, A., Kambutu, J., & James, J. (2020). Examining pre-service teachers' preparedness and perceptions about teaching controversial issues in social studies. *The Journal of Social Studies Research*, 44(1), 77–90. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0885985X19301652>
- Nilawati, N. W. (2020). The application of the group work method with the question student have strategy to improve student civics learning achievement (in Bahasa). *Jurnal Santiaji Pendidikan (JSP)*, 10(2), 299–313.
- Nurbaya, S. (2021). Application of the question student have (QSH) method to improve activity and learning outcomes of elementary school students (in Bahasa). *Jurnal Ilmiah IKIP Mataram*, 8(2), 272–287.
- Octaviyantari, N. L. A., Suarni, N. K., & Widiana, I. W. (2020). Improving social studies learning outcomes through group investigation learning model assisted with audio-visual media. *Journal of Education Technology*, 4(3), 211–224. <https://ejournal.undiksha.ac.id/index.php/JET/article/view/25245>
- Permatasari, B. D., Gunarhadi, & Riyad. (2019). The influence of problem-based learning towards social science learning outcomes viewed from learning interest. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)*, 8(1), 39–46. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1211318>

- Handayani, N., Aw, S. Zamroni, Johan S. & Fadli, Muhammad Rijal. (2023). The effectiveness of question student method in social studies learning on activity and learning outcomes. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Education*, 10(1), 26-36 <https://doi.org/10.18844/ijire.v10i1.9004>
- Putra, B. E. (2022). The effect of cooperative learning model type student has (QSH) questions on activities and learning outcomes of junior high school students. *Jurnal Pedagogos*, 4(2), 1–14. <https://stkipbima.ac.id/jurnal/index.php/gg/article/view/760>
- Putra, M. I. J., Junaid, M., & Sulman, F. (2021). The ability of the question and answer (Q&A) method with the help of learning videos against student learning outcomes amid the covid-19 pandemic. *EDUKATIF: Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan*, 3(5), 645–659. <https://www.edukatif.org/index.php/edukatif/article/view/768>
- Rahmat, P. S. (2018). Investigating teachers' readiness in teaching integrated social studies: Indonesian cases. *Indonesian Journal of Learning and Instruction*, 1(1), 15–28. <https://journal.uniku.ac.id/index.php/IJLI/article/view/1280>
- Ribeiro, L., Rosário, P., Moreira, I., & Cunha, R. S. (2019). First-year law students' and teacher's questioning in class. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10, 611. <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00611/full>
- Rohendi, S. (2017). The application of the question student has learning strategy to improve the mathematical communication skills of vocational school students (in Bahasa). *BIORMATIKA*, 3(2), 1–15.
- Rosardi, R. G., & Supardi. (2021). Integrative social studies learning planning (in Bahasa). *Insan Cendekia Mandiri*.
- Rusyanto. (2021). TGT (Teams Game Tournament) in social studies learning (in bahasa). Penerbit NEM.
- Sari, J. (2020). The effect of cooperative learning model with question student have method on students' mathematics learning outcomes. *AKSIOMATIK: Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pembelajaran Matematika*, 8(3), 222–233.
- Setiawan, N., Sudirman, S., & Nurhasanah, N. (2020). Improving social studies learning outcomes through the application of the Question Student Have (QSH) method for grade IV state elementary school 3 Mataram students in the 2015/2016 academic year (in Bahasa). *Indonesian Journal of Elementary and Childhood Education*, 1(1), 1–16.
- Sporre, K. (2022). Children's existential questions—recognized in Scandinavian curricula, or not? *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 54(3), 367–383. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00220272.2021.1962982>
- Sugiyono. (2020). *Educational research methods: (Quantitative, Qualitative, and R & D Approaches)* (in Indonesian). Alfabeta.
- Susanto, A. (2016). *Social studies learning development in elementary school* (in Bahasa). Kencana.
- Svanes, I. K., & Andersson-Bakken, E. (2021). Teachers' use of open questions: investigating the various functions of open questions as a mediating tool in early literacy education. *Education Inquiry*, 1–20. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/20004508.2021.1985247>
- Syawaluddin, A., Syawaluddin, A., & Khaerunnisa. (2020). Developing Snake Ladder Game Learning Media to Increase Students' Interest and Learning Outcomes on Social Studies in Elementary School. *Simulation & Gaming*, 51(4), 432–442. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/1046878120921902>
- Teo, T. (2013). *Handbook of quantitative methods for educational research*. In *Handbook of Quantitative Methods for Educational Research*: Springer. Sense Publishers. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6209-404-8>
- Uli, S. S. (2018). Analysis of the effectiveness of application of the question student have method in science learning. *Jurnal Al-Minhaj*, 1(1), 33–45.
- Uygun, K., & Arslan, I. E. (2020). Students' opinions about teaching of the controversial topics in the social studies classes. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)*, 9(2), 335–344. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1256293>
- Waldeck, J. H. (2007). Answering the question: student perceptions of personalized education and the construct's relationship to learning outcomes. *Communication Education*, 56(4), 409–432. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/03634520701400090>
- Wati, N. K. S., & Suarni, N. K. (2020). Social studies learning with numbered head together model improves learning outcomes viewed from student learning motivation. *International Journal of Elementary Education (IJEE)*, 4(2), 388–399. <https://ejournal.undiksha.ac.id/index.php/IJEE/article/view/25250>
- Watson-Canning, A. (2020). Gendering social studies: teachers' intended and enacted curriculum and student diffraction. *Journal Of Curriculum Studies Research*, 2(1), 55–75. <https://curriculumstudies.org/index.php/CS/article/view/28>

- Handayani, N., Aw, S. Zamroni, Johan S. & Fadli, Muhammad Rijal. (2023). The effectiveness of question student method in social studies learning on activity and learning outcomes. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Education*, 10(1), 26-36 <https://doi.org/10.18844/ijire.v10i1.9004>
- Wu, L., Liu, Y., How, M. L., & He, S. (2023). Investigating Student-Generated Questioning in a Technology-Enabled Elementary Science Classroom: A Case Study. *Education Sciences*, 13(2), 158. <https://www.mdpi.com/2227-7102/13/2/158>
- Wuryandani, W., & Herwin, H. (2021). The effect of the think–pair–share model on learning outcomes of Civics in elementary school students. *Cypriot Journal of Educational Sciences*, 16(2), 627–640. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1296911>
- Yeti, Susilawati, W. O., & Sukron, M. (2020). The influence of the inquiry model on the social studies content learning outcomes of class iv students: study of literature. *International Journal of Technology Vocational Education and Training*, 1(2), 137–142. <https://ijtvvet.com/index.php/ijtvvet/article/view/39>
- Zulfiani, Rosydatun, E. S., Hasiani, S., Rohmatulloh, G., & Zuqistya, N. (2020). Comparing metacognitive skill between problem-based learning combine question student have and problem-based learning combine learning journal. *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Recent Innovations (ICRI 2018)*, 233, 2892–2899. <https://repository.uinjkt.ac.id/dspace/handle/123456789/59873>