Effects of game-based learning in comparison to traditional learning to provide an effective learning environment—A comparative review

Muhammad Hafeez[*], Allama Iqbal Open University, Islamabad, Pakistan

Abstract

With the advancement in technology, various new learning strategies have been developed according to the requirement and learning environments of the learners. The game-based learning strategy is an advanced learning strategy in which a learner is fully engaged in the learning process. This learning strategy completely replaces the traditional learning strategy. A lot of research studies have indicated the improvement in the learning process by game-based learning strategy. The purpose of the current study was to compare the students' learning outcomes with game-based and traditional learning strategies by descriptive and statistical way. A total of 26 articles published from 2012 to 2021 were selected by following the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The literature review of previous studies indicated that game-based learning strategy proved to be a highly effective learning strategy in numerous disciplines under different learning environments. In the game-based learning strategy, the learners are highly engaged in the learning process.

Keywords: Game-based learning, traditional learning strategy, effective, engagement;

[*] ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Muhammad Hafeez, Allama Iqbal Open University, Islamabad, Pakistan
E-mail address: mh9589041@gmail.com

1. Introduction

Education is a basic human necessity, as well as a critical component, of a country's development (Hafeez et al., 2020). The selection of appropriate and effective teaching techniques to make the learning process helpful and to promote critical thinking abilities in learners is the most essential problem in the educational process (Saira, Ajmal, & Hafeez, 2020; Senthamarai, 2018; Tavoosy & Jelveh, 2019). The instructor's method and the learners' dynamic participation in the teaching–learning process are two essential variables in developing critical thinking abilities among the students (Nelson, 2017). Instead of transferring knowledge, the teacher must function as a guide during the teaching–learning process (Hafeez et al., 2021b; Molbaek, 2018).

The traditional learning strategy is a one-way discourse in which an instructor presents the material to the audience (Gholami et al., 2016). In this strategy, the teacher provides notes and assigns homework activities (Gregorius, 2017). In the traditional learning strategy, no feedback session is conducted for the students (Almanasef, Almaghaslah, Portlock, & Chater, 2020). In general, there is limited interaction between students and teachers (Sarıhan et al., 2016). Traditional learning strategies provide learners with a passive learning technique (Maqbool, Ismail, Maqbool, & Hassan, 2018). Various researchers (including Arise, 2018; Bohari, 2020; Dufva & Dufva, 2016; Richards & Graber, 2019) concluded that the traditional learning strategy has failed to transfer conceptual knowledge to the learners. As a result, traditional learning strategies are only suggested when information transmission is the primary goal (You, Xi, Fang, & Du, 2017). The traditional learning strategy does not help students build critical thinking abilities (Carter, Creedy, & Sidebotham, 2016; Dehghanzadeh & Jafaraghaee, 2018; Saira & Hafeez, 2021). The basic concept of traditional learning strategy is shown in Figure 1.


Figure 1. Conceptual view of traditional learning strategies

Students in this age are called digital natives because they grew up with digital technology. New and advanced technologies have changed the learning style of students. By using these technologies, they are more confident, autonomous and creative (Lorenzo-Alvarez, Rudolphi-Solero, Ruiz-Gomez, & Sendra-Portero, 2020). The digital game learning strategy is based on activating prior knowledge, experience and giving instant feedback. This learning strategy can be used to solve real-life problems (Hamari et al., 2016). Game-based learning strategy is a modern learning strategy in which students learn in a fun way. Digital platform-based games motivate students and help them to learn with full focus and participation. Play-based digital learning strategy improves students' ability to experience, create, communicate and visualise by accepting play challenges (Haruna et al., 2018; Hafeez, 2021). Computer games encounter the real requirements and interests of adults and have become the most widespread computer-based activity by providing a new means of communication. Some advantages of game-based learning are that they provide an engaging, creative, better atmosphere and thus support learners to focus on the task. Modern computer-based and video game-based learning provide learning chances every second or fraction of a second (Moylan, Burgess, Figley, & Bernstein, 2015; Uzunboylu & Gide, 2017). Learners like everyone like to work when it is not enforced on them (Prensky, 2003). Von Wangenheim and Shull (2009) argued that real value of video games and computers is that they permit people to reconstruct themselves in new

worlds of learning. Educational games put the learners to act as a main role in learning process, making the learning easier, more enjoyable and efficient. The basic concept of game-based learning strategy is shown in Figure 2. The objective of this study was to compare the results of previously published studies on the traditional and game-based learning strategies by descriptive and statistical ways. The game-based learning strategy and its effectiveness is shown in Figure 2.


Figure 2. Basic concept of game-based learning strategy

2. Methodology

2.1. Article selection process

The key objective of the current review research was to compare the significance of blended and traditional learning strategies. For this purpose, the Web of Science and Scopus databases were selected to collect the review of related articles. In the Web of Science and Scopus interfaces, blended versus traditional learning strategies were added and the terms were entered as the main contents of the search. The custom year range from 2012 to June 2021 and was determined as the time limit for current study. The advanced search was carried out from 10 to 15 September 2021. Based on the initial results, 126 papers were discovered. The specific inclusion criteria were applied to limit articles for review on the game-based versus traditional learning strategies. The first criterion was to use 'Educational research' as a Web of Science and Scopus category. 'Only items' as documents and PDF types were the other inclusion criterion. After applying the inclusion criteria, 51 articles were found. In order to conclude the research and review articles to be reviewed, specific exclusion criteria were then implemented. The first criterion of exclusion was to exclude more than once the same articles. Secondly, articles not available in full text were to be excluded. The final criterion for exclusion included the removal of articles that had no direct connection with the comparison of blended and traditional learning strategies. Finally, the main sample of this systemic review study was determined by a total of 26 articles. The main selection process is shown in Figure 3.

Keywords 'Game-based *vs.* traditional learning strategies', were entered into the topic selection of the advanced search in Web of Science and Scopus	ESCI and Scopus were selected as the main citation indexes	The custom year from 2012 to 2021 was selected	At first, a total of 126 articles were listed

Finally, a total of 26 articles were identified as the sample for review	The exclusion criteria: Excluding the repeating articles, articles not available in full-text and articles not related with comparison game-based and traditional learning	Based on the inclusion criteria, 51 articles were found	The inclusion criteria: Choosing 'article' for the document type for the category were implemented

Figure 3. Article selection process

3. Review of the Literature

3.1. Traditional learning strategy

Previous studies have found that learners had conflicting opinions of traditional learning strategies for their efficacy (Habibzadeh et al., 2019; Lo et al., 2020b; Lee, Lee, Gong, Bae, & Choi, 2016; Raja, 2018; Zaidi, Hammad, Awad, Qasem, & Al-Mahdi, 2017). Nurutdinova, Perchatkina, Zinatullina, Zubkova and Galeeva (2016) conducted a research at a primary school for grade five pupils to assess the efficiency of various learning methodologies. According to the study's findings, traditional learning strategy resulted in worse critical thinking abilities in learners when compared to other learning methodologies. Some studies also determined that when learning information is not available in written form, such as a book, the traditional learning technique is a viable option (Alaagib, Musa, & Saeed, 2019; Balliu, 2017). Zlotskaya (2016) suggested a study to assess the applicability of learning strategies in different learning contexts. The study's findings suggested that traditional learning is a good learning strategy, particularly when there are a large number of learners in front of the instructor.

3.2. Game-based learning strategy

Researchers defined game-based learning strategy as voluntary, an immersive and enjoyable learning activity in which inspiring objectives are followed according to the approved rules (Stenros, 2017). Chang and Yeh (2021) proposed that combining computer games with educational goals and objectives not only stimulate student learning but also motivate them and provide them interactive and innovative learning opportunities. Kikot, Costa, Fernandes and Águas (2014) pointed out that essence of using computer games is one of the most natural types of learning. Burguillo (2010) proposed a framework to implement ability-based learning to motivate students and improve their academic achievements. Watson et al. (2011) and Holbrey (2020) introduced the classroom usage of game-based educational learning strategy in undergraduate courses and resulted that usage of game-based learning strategy led to changes in traditional learning strategies. The instructor-centred learning strategy is transformed into a learner-centred learning strategy, in which learners are more dynamic and involved. The comparison between the

advantages and disadvantages of traditional and game-based learning strategies are illustrated in Table 1.

Table 1. Advantages and disadvantages of traditional based and game-based learning strategies

Learning strategy	Advantages	Disadvantages
Lecture-based	1. Information may be given in an impressive and timely manner. 2. It has the potential to pique people's interest in the subject matter. 3. It boosts one's speaking ability and vocabulary. 4. By employing a variety of languages, it may be tailored to the needs of all students. 5. Various ways can be used to address the issues.	1. It appears to be a waste of time to convey material that is already presented in the books. 2. The teacher must prepare the lesson from many perspectives. 3. If the lecturer speaks quickly throughout the lecture, it may be difficult for many students to follow along. 4. The students become apathetic. 5. Between the learners and the instructor, there is no contact or cooperation.
Game-based	1. The competition is low-risk. 2. Development of 'soft' talents promotes social–emotional development. 3. Student-centred education. 4. Boost a child's memory capacity. 5. Fluency with computers and simulations.	1. Too much time spent in front of the screen. 2. Games are not usually made in the same way. 3. Games can be a source of distraction. 4. It requires a technology learning curve. 5. Not always in line with teaching or learning objectives.

The descriptive results of the studies reviewed in this article are illustrated in Table 2. A total of 26 published articles in various data bases from 2012 to 2021 were selected for conducting this review study by selecting the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The results of most of the reviewed articles showed that the game-based learning strategy was an effective and critical thinking skills strategy. The learners felt very useful and enjoyable learning environment in the game-based learning strategy.

Table 2. The outcomes of the studies reviewed in current study

Reference	Class	Subject	Outcomes
Rondon, Sassi and de Andrade (2013)	Undergraduate	Anatomy and physiology	The game-based learning strategy proved to be an effective learning strategy.
Telner et al. (2010)	Graduate medical students	Stroke prevention and management	Participants in the game-based learning group reported higher levels of satisfaction with the learning process.
Brumels, Blasius, Cortright, Oumedian and Solberg (2008)	Undergraduate students	Educational training	It was concluded that not only the use of video games increased participant enjoyment and engagement, but they also improves selected balance performance measurements.
Kliem and Wiemeyer (2010)	Healthcare volunteers	Training programme	Game-based learning improved the efficiency of the experimental learning group.
Proske, Roscoe and McNamara (2014)	Undergraduate students	Essay writing	Results of the study showed that learners perceived game-based practice as significantly more interesting and engaging than traditional learning strategy.
Boeker, Andel, Vach and Frankenschmidt (2013)	Medical students	Urology	The students in the game-based learning group achieved significantly better results in the cognitive knowledge test than the learners in traditional learning group.
Holbrey (2020)	Final-year undergraduate	Primary education	Students reported improvements in engagement, concentration and retention in game-based learning strategy as compared to the traditional learning strategy.
Liao (2010)	Undergraduate	Various courses	The game-based learning strategy significantly improved the learning process of the learners.
Dortaj (2014)	Third grade	Math	The results of the study indicated that motivation and achievement levels of students who had been trained through

			game-based learning were more compared to motivation and achievement levels of the students who had been trained through the traditional learning strategy.
Hsu, Wu, Huang, Jeng and Huang (2008)	Undergraduate	Chemistry	Game-based learning strategy improved the learning process of the learners.
Chen, Lu and Lien (2019b)	Fourth-grade students	Various subjects	The game-based learning strategy significantly improved the engagement of the learners in the learning process.
Widiana, Jampel and Prawini (2018)	Fourth grade students	Essay test	The study concluded positive effects of game-based learning strategy on the student's cognitive process learning achievement.
Ghari, Mohammadzadeh and Dehghanizade (2021)	University students	Physical education	The results showed that game-based learning strategy can enhance physical activity level and quality of motivation of university students in physical education classes.
Lo and Hew (2020a)	Grade nine students	Mathematics	Flipped learning with gamification promoted students' cognitive engagement better than the traditional learning strategy.
Toharudin, Kurniawan and Fisher (2021)	Secondary school students	Different subjects	No significant improvement in students learning by using game-based learning strategy.
Boateng-Nimoh and Nantwi (2020)	School students	Different subjects	The study concluded that game-based learning strategy used in various subjects significantly improved the critical thinking skills and students' engagement.
Chen and Lin (2019a)	Intermediate students	Science education	Game-based learning strategy improved the cognitive skills of the learners.
Yang (2017)	Graduate students	Computer science	Game-based learning strategy proved to be an effective learning strategy than traditional learning strategy.
Moradian and Nazdik (2019)	High school students	Disaster risk education	Game's educational method was more effective than the traditional learning method on students' knowledge.

All, Nunez Castellar and Van Looy (2017)	Bank employees	E-Learning	No significant improvement shown by game-based learning strategy.
Iliadou et al. (2021)	Older adults	Cognition assessment	Declination in cognition skills by Game-based learning strategy
Purwaningrum, Saurina and Adisusilo (2017)	Elementary school	Healthy life style	The conclusion of the study indicated that there was a significant difference between the two groups in learning the concepts of addition and subtraction and the experimental group (game-based learning group) has a higher mean score than the traditional learning group.
Segovia and Gutiérrez (2020)	School students	Primary education	GBHIIT appeared to be effective in countering effects on schoolchildren's body composition.
Palasí Melià (2020)	School students	Secondary education	Game-based learning strategy improved the learning efficiency of the school children.
Volk, Cotič, Zajc and Starcic (2017)	Third-grade students	Math	It was concluded that in cross-curricular maths teaching, tablets offer efficient use of resources from different subjects and multiple representations which facilitate learning outcomes in the cognitive, affective-social and psychomotor learning domains.

Table 3. Statistical results of the studies reviewed in this article

References	Learning strategy	Mean	SD	p	Remarks
Rondon et al. (2013)	Game-based	2.83	0.32		
	Traditional	2.24	0.41	0.003	Significant
Telner et al. (2010)	Game-based	2.72	0.61		
	Traditional	1.94	0.82	0.049	Significant
Brumels et al. (2008)	Game-based	3.78	1.03		
	Traditional	3.29	1.21	0.031	Significant
Kliem and Wiemeyer (2010)	Game-based	7.32	1.34		
	Traditional	6.99	1.73	0.018	Significant
Proske et al. (2014)	Game-based	11.34	2.23		

	Traditional	9.30	2.76	0.009	Significant
Boeker et al. (2013)	Game-based	3.98	1.03		
	Traditional	3.01	1.11	0.021	Significant
Holbrey, (2020)	Game-based	4.67	2.01		
	Traditional	3.89	2.12	0.02	Significant
Liao, (2010)	Game-based	2.34	0.89		
	Traditional	2.03	1.04	0.008	Significant
Dortaj (2014)	Game-based	9.92	3.23		
	Traditional	8.09	3.56	0.0007	Significant
Hsu et al. (2008)	Game-based	29.61	6.34		
	Traditional	27.82	7.01	0.0001	Significant
Chen et al. (2019b)	Game-based	39.70	9.23		
	Traditional	36.87	10.09	0.082	Non-significant
Widiana et al. (2018)	Game-based	1.02	0.31		
	Traditional	0.71	0.35	0.071	Non-significant
Ghari et al. (2021)	Game-based	3.21	1.19		
	Traditional	2.99	1.28	0.092	Non-significant
Lo and Hew (2020a)	Game-based	5.81	2.08		
	Traditional	7.01	2.31	0.07	Non-significant
Toharudin et al. (2021)	Game-based	18.17	4.56		
				0.002	Significant
	Traditional	16.09	4.70		
	Game-based	1.34	0.39		
Boateng-Nimoh and Nantwi (2020)	Traditional	1.01	0.42	0.023	Significant
Chen and Lin (2019a)	Game-based	16.34	5.21		
	Traditional	17.32	5.99	0.08	Non-significant

Yang (2017)	Game-based	21.22	6.92		
	Traditional	19.23	7.11	0.0002	Significant
Moradian and Nazdik (2019)	Game-based	3.02	1.06		
	Traditional	2.99	1.22	0.006	Significant
All et al. (2017)	Game-based	23.21	7.56		
	Traditional	25.90	7.98	0.05	Non-significant
Iliadou et al. (2021)	Game-based	12.23	4.23		
	Traditional	13.29	4.50	0.09	Non-significant
Purwaningrum et al. (2017)	Game-based	5.21	1.79		
	Traditional	4.99	2.04	0.02	Significant
Segovia and Gutiérrez (2020)	Game-based	7.87	2.89		
	Traditional	6.98	3.04	0.0001	Significant
Palasí Melià (2020)	Game-based	2.12	1.01		
	Traditional	1.87	1.10	0.005	Significant
Volk et al. (2017)	Game-based	0.98	0.21		
	Traditional	0.65	0.25	0.009	Significant

4. Discussion

Traditional classroom learning relies on uninteresting learning skills and absences interaction. Learners lose interest about the objectives of learning courses. Students look forward to new learning techniques, digital assignments and stimulating valuation models. In the higher educational learning context, some new learning processes have been presented to attract active students and ignite the practices of self-learning, thereby paving the way for better knowledge of skills and abilities. With numerous inventions in ICT (Information and Communications Technology) in higher educational environment, game-based learning approach is one of the innovative learning approaches that have aroused the interest of many universities. ICT paradigm shifts are entangled with various teaching methods adapted to students in the 21st century (Afari, Aldridge, Fraser, & Khine, 2013). ICTs have improved the efficiency and flexibility of learning and training systems and can be implemented in work settings, linking formal learning with informal learning. ICT helps universities prepare students through primary education and continuing professional development in international and global markets. Research on the adaptability of ICT in teaching shows that technological innovation in this field is achieved in different ways (Shah, 2017). Changes are needed from all levels of systems, organisations and individuals to put the new teaching methods into practice. Teachers need to continuously develop teaching in their own teaching environment. These teaching environments are usually the junctions of different cultures and the most diverse groups of students and experts. ICT-assisted learning environments are becoming more and more common. They require teachers to be able to use new systems and tools and new communication

methods in a multicultural environment. The organisation and system levels, the planning and implementation entities require quality assurance (Plass, Mayer, & Homer, 2020).

Recent studies suggested that students growing in a digital game-based learning environment are psychologically different from generations of traditional learners. This is caused by the fact that it provides a direct linking between the struggle and the instant rewards that have been spent digital all over the world. On the contrary, class rewards are often repeated until an evaluation and formal inspection are carried out. Students have found a recovery in the future so that it feels to learn in the future. On the other hand, digital students prefer to learn relevant, aggressive and immediate and fun things (Chang, Kao, Hwang, & Lin, 2020). The famous psychiatrist Glasser (1999) claimed that there is a close linking among fun and learning. Glasser's theory of choice regards fun as a basic requirement that drives social behaviour. The pupils acquire best when they enjoy freely what they are educated because they have a great need to link and have fun.

In an educational environment, it is well known that computer games can provide a variety of benefits, such as involving students in an active learning environment, increasing inspiration, enhancing information retaining and improving real-life problem-solving and critical thinking skills. Moreover, computer games let student groups to share knowledge learned, resources, skills and collaboration to solve real-life educational problems (Byun & Loh, 2015). Supporters of computer game-based learning believe that educational computer games have the abilities to change the way of pupils learning styles and can inspire and involve a new group of students in a way that traditional learning approach does not have (Romero & Kalmpourtzis, 2020). Nazarova and Galiullina (2016) pointed out that compared to the traditional classroom teaching method, the traditional classroom method is to provide facts and data, and then find out their relevance, so the game has a motivating effect, because the players need to find facts and information to be successful complete the challenge. In the current study, a comparison between game-based and traditional learning strategies was conducted. A total of 26 published articles were selected after setting inclusion and exclusion criteria. The conclusion of the studied reviewed showed that game-based learning strategy is more effective learning strategy as compared to the traditional learning strategy. The learners' engagement level increased more in the game-based learning strategy.

5. Conclusion

The purpose of this review study was to compare the outcomes of the studies on game-based and traditional learning by descriptive and statistical results of previous studies. A total of 26 articles published from 2012 to 2021 were selected by inclusion and exclusion criteria. The review of the selected studies indicated that game-based learning strategy proved to be an effective learning strategy. This learning strategy improves the learning process of the learning by engaging the learners towards the learning process. So, it is recommended based on the results of the review studies that game-based learning strategy should be used to increase the students' learning process.

6. Conflict of interest

No conflict of interest found between the author(s).

References

Afari, E., Aldridge, J. M., Fraser, B. J., & Khine, M. S. (2013). Students' perceptions of the learning environment and attitudes in game-based mathematics classrooms. *Learning Environments Research, 16*(1), 131–150. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10984-012-9122-6

Alaagib, N. A., Musa, O. A., & Saeed, A. M. (2019). Comparison of the effectiveness of lectures based on problems and traditional lectures in physiology teaching in Sudan. *BMC Medical Education*, *19*(1), 365. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-019-1799-0

All, A., Nunez Castellar, E. P., & Van Looy, J. (2017). Testing the effectiveness of digital game-based learning in a corporate context: comparison to a passive e-learning approach. Retrieved from: http://hdl.handle.net/1854/LU-8534177

Almanasef, M., Almaghaslah, D., Portlock, J., & Chater, A. (2020). Qualitative investigation of the flipped classroom teaching approach as an alternative to the traditional lecture. *Pharmacy Education, 20*, 142–150. https://doi.org/10.46542/pe.2020.201.p142-150

Arise, N. (2018). *The effectiveness of small group discussion method in the teaching of reading comprehension* (Doctoral dissertation, Thesis). Retrieved from: https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/198227354.pdf

Balliu, V. (2017). Modern teaching versus traditional teaching-Albanian teachers between challenges and choices. *European Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies, 2*(4), 20–26. https://doi.org/10.26417/ejms.v4i4.p20-26

Boateng-Nimoh, V., & Nantwi, W. K. (2020). Modification of Ghanaian traditional abatɔ game to improve the quality of basic education. *Research Journal in Advanced Humanities, 1*(4). Retrieved from https://www.royalliteglobal.com/advanced-humanities/article/view/266

Boeker, M., Andel, P., Vach, W., & Frankenschmidt, A. (2013). Game-based e-learning is more effective than a conventional instructional method: A randomized controlled trial with third-year medical students. *PloS One, 8*(12), e82328. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0082328

Bohari, L. (2020). Improving speaking skills through small group discussion at eleventh grade students of SMA Plus Munirul Arifin NW Praya. *JOLLT Journal of Languages and Language Teaching, 7*(1), 68–81. https://doi.org/10.33394/jollt.v7i1.1441

Brumels, K. A., Blasius, T., Cortright, T., Oumedian, D., & Solberg, B. (2008). Comparison of efficacy between traditional and video game based balance programs. *Clinical Kinesiology (Online), 62*(4), 26. Retrieved from: https://www.thefreelibrary.com/Comparison+of+efficacy+between+traditional+and+video+game+based...-a0193142187

Burguillo, J. C. (2010). Using game theory and competition-based learning to stimulate student motivation and performance. *Computers & education, 55*(2), 566–575. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2010.02.018

Byun, J., & Loh, C. S. (2015). Audial engagement: Effects of game sound on learner engagement in digital game-based learning environments. *Computers in Human Behavior, 46*, 129–138. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2014.12.052

Carter, A. G., Creedy, D. K., & Sidebotham, M. (2016). Efficacy of teaching methods used to develop critical thinking in nursing and midwifery undergraduate students: A systematic review of the literature. *Nurse Education Today, 40*, 209–218. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2016.03.010

Chang, C. Y., Kao, C. H., Hwang, G. J., & Lin, F. H. (2020). From experiencing to critical thinking: A contextual game-based learning approach to improving nursing students' performance in electrocardiogram training. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 68*(3), 1225–1245. Retrieved from: https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1256261

Chang, W. L., & Yeh, Y. C. (2021). A blended design of game-based learning for motivation, knowledge sharing and critical thinking enhancement. *Technology, Pedagogy and Education, 30*(2), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1080/1475939X.2021.1885482

Chen, S. Y., & Lin, Y. S. (2019a). A virtual reality game-based library navigation learning system for improving learning achievement in popular science education. In *International Cognitive Cities Conference* (pp. 174–182). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-6113-9_19

Chen, Y. C., Lu, Y. L., & Lien, C. J. (2019b). Learning environments with different levels of technological engagement: a comparison of game-based, video-based, and traditional instruction on students' learning. *Interactive Learning Environments, 29*(8), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2019.1628781

Dehghanzadeh, S., & Jafaraghaee, F. (2018). Comparing the effects of traditional lecture and flipped classroom on nursing students' critical thinking disposition: A quasi-experimental study. *Nurse Education Today, 71*, 151–156. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2018.09.027

Dortaj, F. (2014). Comparing the effects of game-based and traditional teaching methods on students 'learning motivation and math progress. *Journal of School Psychology, 2*(4), 62–80. Retrieved from: http://jsp.uma.ac.ir/article_4.html?lang=en

Dufva, T., & Dufva, M. (2016). Metaphors of code—Structuring and broadening the discussion on teaching children to code. *Thinking Skills and Creativity, 22*, 97–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2016.09.004

Ghari, B. A., Mohammadzadeh, H., & Dehghanizade, J. (2021). A comparison of game based and traditional instructional approaches: A study of physical activity, self-determined motivation and enjoyment. *Journal of Motor Learning and Movement, 13*(1), 109–127. https://dx.doi.org/10.22059/jmlm.2021.313683.1546

Gholami, R., Watson, R. T., Hasan, H., Molla, A., & Bjorn-Andersen, N. (2016). "Information Systems Solutions for Environmental Sustainability: How Can We Do More?". *Journal of the Association for Information Systems, 17*(8). https://doi.org/10.17705/1jais.00435

Glasser, W. (1999). *Choice theory: A new psychology of personal freedom*. New York, NY: Harper Perennial.

Gregorius, R. M. (2017). Performance of underprepared students in traditional versus animation-based flipped-classroom settings. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 18*(4), 841–848. Retrieved from: https://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/articlelanding/2017/rp/c7rp00130d

Habibzadeh, H., Rahmani, A., Rahimi, B., Rezai, S. A., Aghakhani, N., & Hosseinzadegan, F. (2019). Comparative study of virtual and traditional teaching methods on the interpretation of cardiac dysrhythmia in nursing students. *Journal of Education and Health Promotion, 8*, 202. https://doi.org/10.4103/jehp.jehp_34_19

Hafeez, M. (2021a). Systematic review on modern learning approaches, critical thinking skills and students learning outcomes. *Indonesian Journal of Educational Research and Review, 4*(1). https://doi.org/10.23887/ijerr.v4i1.33192

Hafeez, M., Ajmal, F., & Kazmi, Q. A. (2021b). Challenges faced by the teachers and students in online learning. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change, 15*(2), 325–346. https://doi.org/10.21831/cp.v41i1.35411

Hafeez, M. (2022). Effects of game-based learning in comparison to traditional learning to provide an effective learning environment—A comparative review. *Contemporary Educational Researches Journal*. *12*(2), 89-105. https://doi.org/10.18844/cerj.v12i2.6374

Hafeez, M., Kazmi, Q. A., Tahira, F., Hussain, M. Z., Ahmad, S., Yasmeen, A., ... & Saqi, M. I. (2020). Impact of school enrolment size on student's achievements. *Indonesian Journal of Basic Education, 3*(1), 17–21. https://doi.org/10.37728/ijobe.v3i1.302

Hamari, J., Shernoff, D. J., Rowe, E., Coller, B., Asbell-Clarke, J., & Edwards, T. (2016). Challenging games help students learn: An empirical study on engagement, flow and immersion in game-based learning. *Computers in Human Behavior, 54*, 170–179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.07.045

Haruna, H., Hu, X., Chu, S. K. W., Mellecker, R. R., Gabriel, G., & Ndekao, P. S. (2018). Improving sexual health education programs for adolescent students through game-based learning and gamification. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 15*(9), 2027. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15092027

Holbrey, C. E. (2020). Kahoot! Using a game-based approach to blended learning to support effective learning environments and student engagement in traditional lecture theatres. *Technology, Pedagogy and Education, 29*(2), 191–202. https://doi.org/10.1080/1475939X.2020.1737568

Hsu, S. H., Wu, P. H., Huang, T. C., Jeng, Y. L., & Huang, Y. M. (2008). From traditional to digital: Factors to integrate traditional game-based learning into digital game-based learning environment. In *2008 Second IEEE International Conference on Digital Game and Intelligent Toy Enhanced Learning* (pp. 83–89). Manhattan, NY: IEEE. Retrieved from: https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/From-Traditional-to-Digital%3A-Factors-to-Integrate-Hsu-Wu/3608bdc936048c0244dfa44096de92590f198b15

Iliadou, P., Paliokas, I., Zygouris, S., Lazarou, E., Votis, K., Tzovaras, D., & Tsolaki, M. (2021). A comparison of traditional and serious game-based digital markers of cognition in older adults with mild cognitive impairment and healthy controls. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, (Preprint), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.3233%2FJAD-201300

Kikot, T., Costa, G., Fernandes, S., & Águas, P. (2014). Why use-centered game-based learning in higher education? The case of cesim simbrand. *Journal of Spatial and Organizational Dynamics, 2*(3), 229–241. Retrieved from: https://www.jsod-cieo.net/journal/index.php/jsod/article/view/33

Kliem, A., & Wiemeyer, J. (2010). Comparison of a traditional and a video game based balance training program. *International Journal of Computer Science in Sport, 9*(2), 80–91. Retrieved from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/220244895_Comparison_of_a_traditional_and_a_Video_Game_Based_Balance_Training_Program

Lee, J., Lee, Y., Gong, S., Bae, J., & Choi, M. (2016). A meta-analysis of the effects of non-traditional teaching methods on the critical thinking abilities of nursing students. *BMC Medical Education, 16*(1), 240. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-016-0761-7

Liao, Y. K. (2010). Game-based learning vs. traditional instruction: A meta-analysis of thirty-eight studies from Taiwan. In *Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference* (pp. 1491–1498). Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE). https://www.learntechlib.org/primary/p/33570/

Lo, C. K., & Hew, K. F. (2020a). A comparison of flipped learning with gamification, traditional learning, and online independent study: the effects on students' mathematics achievement and cognitive engagement. *Interactive Learning Environments*, *28*(4), 464-481. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2018.1541910

Lo, S., Abaker, A. S. S., Quondamatteo, F., Clancy, J., Rea, P., Marriott, M., & Chapman, P. (2020b). Use of a virtual 3D anterolateral thigh model in medical education: Augmentation and not replacement of traditional teaching? *Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive & Aesthetic Surgery, 73*(2), 269–275. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjps.2019.09.034

Lorenzo-Alvarez, R., Rudolphi-Solero, T., Ruiz-Gomez, M. J., & Sendra-Portero, F. (2020). Game-based learning in virtual worlds: A multiuser online game for medical undergraduate radiology education within second life. *Anatomical Sciences Education, 13*(5), 602–617. https://doi.org/10.1002/ase.1927

Maqbool, S., Ismail, S. A. M. B. M., Maqbool, S., & Hassan, S. U. (2018). Effects of traditional lecture method and multi-sensory approach on ELT learners at graduate level. *International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development, 7*(4), 488–505. http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARPED/v7-i4/5476

Molbaek, M. (2018). Inclusive teaching strategies–dimensions and agendas. *International Journal of Inclusive Education, 22*(10), 1048–1061. https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2017.1414578

Moradian, M. J., & Nazdik, Z. M. (2019). Game versus lecture-based learning in disaster risk education; an experience on Shiraz high school students. *Bulletin of Emergency & Trauma, 7*(2), 112. https://doi.org/10.29252/beat-070204

Moylan, G., Burgess, A. W., Figley, C., & Bernstein, M. (2015). Motivating game-based learning efforts in higher education. *International Journal of Distance Education Technologies (IJDET), 13*(2), 54–72. Retrieved from: https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1066205

Nazarova, M., & Galiullina, E. (2016). Game-based learning in teaching English. *Journal of Organizational Culture, Communications and Conflict, 20*, 8. Retrieved from: https://dspace.kpfu.ru/xmlui/bitstream/handle/net/104403/GAME_BASED_LEARNING_IN.pdf?sequence=-1

Nelson, A. E. (2017). Methods faculty use to facilitate nursing students' critical thinking. *Teaching and Learning in Nursing, 12*(1), 62–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teln.2016.09.007

Nurutdinova, A. R., Perchatkina, V. G., Zinatullina, L. M., Zubkova, G. I., & Galeeva, F. T. (2016). Innovative teaching practice: Traditional and alternative methods (challenges and implications). *International Journal of Environmental and Science Education*, *11*(10), 3807–3819. Retrieved from: https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1116729

Palasí Melià, N. (2020). *Introducing game-based and traditional learning in secondary education*. Castellón de la Plana, Spain: Jaume I University.

Plass, J. L., Mayer, R. E., & Homer, B. D. (Eds.). (2020). *Handbook of game-based learning*. Cambridge, MA: Mit Press.

Prensky, M. (2003). Digital game-based learning. *Computers in Entertainment (CIE), 1*(1), 21–21. https://doi.org/10.1145/950566.950596

Proske, A., Roscoe, R. D., & McNamara, D. S. (2014). Game-based practice versus traditional practice in computer-based writing strategy training: Effects on motivation and achievement. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 62*(5), 481–505. https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1007/s11423-014-9349-2

Purwaningrum, S. W., Saurina, N., & Adisusilo, A. K. (2017). Systematic review to compare serious game and traditional learning to engage children doClean and healthy lifestyle. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Application, 7*(4), 18–26. Retrieved from: http://www.ijera.com/papers/Vol7_issue4/Part-4/C0704041826.pdf

Raja, F. U. (2018). Comparing traditional teaching method and experiential teaching method using experimental research. *Journal of Education and Educational Development, 5*(2), 276–288. Retrieved from: https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1200262.pdf

Richards, K. A. R., & Graber, K. C. (2019). Retention in PETE: Survey results and discussion. *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education, 38*(1), 53–60. http://doi.org/10.1123/jtpe.2018-0211

Romero, M., & Kalmpourtzis, G. (2020). Constructive alignment in game design for learning activities in higher education. *Information, 11*(3), 126. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/info11030126

Rondon, S., Sassi, F. C., & de Andrade, C. R. F. (2013). Computer game-based and traditional learning method: a comparison regarding students' knowledge retention. *BMC Medical Education, 13*(1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6920-13-30

Saira, Ajmal, F., & Hafeez, M. (2020). Assessment of student's academic achievement by flipped classroom model and traditional lecture method. *Global Educational Studies Review, V*(IV), 10–19. https://doi.org/10.31703/gesr.2020(V-IV).02

Saira, N. Z., & Hafeez, M. (2021). A critical review on discussion and traditional teaching methods. *Psychology and Education Journal, 58*(1), 1871–1886. https://doi.org/10.17762/pae.v58i1.1042

Sarıhan, A., Oray, N. C., Güllüpınar, B., Yanturali, S., Atilla, R., & Musal, B. (2016). The comparison of the efficiency of traditional lectures to video-supported lectures within the training of the Emergency Medicine residents. *Turkish Journal of Emergency Medicine, 16*(3), 107–111. https://doi.org/10.1016%2Fj.tjem.2016.07.002

Segovia, Y., & Gutiérrez, D. (2020). Effect of a game-based high intensity interval training program on body composition in primary education: Comparison of the Sport Education model and traditional methodology. *Journal of Physical Education and Sport, 20*(2), 791–799. http://dx.doi.org/10.7752/jpes.2020.02113

Senthamarai, S. (2018). Interactive teaching strategies. *Journal of Applied and Advanced Research, 3*(1), S36–S38. https://doi.org/10.21839/jaar.2018.v3iS1.166

Shah, K. A. (2017). Game-based accounting learning: The impact of games in learning introductory accounting. *International Journal of Information Systems in the Service Sector (IJISSS), 9*(4), 21–29. Retrieved from: https://ideas.repec.org/a/igg/jisss0/v9y2017i4p21-29.html

Stenros, J. (2017). The game definition game: A review. *Games and Culture, 12*(6), 499–520. https://doi.org/10.1177%2F1555412016655679

Tavoosy, Y., & Jelveh, R. (2019). Language teaching strategies and techniques used to support students learning in a language other than their mother tongue. *International Journal of Learning and Teaching, 11*(2), 77–88. https://doi.org/10.18844/ijlt.v11i2.3831

Telner, D., Bujas-Bobanovic, M., Chan, D., Chester, B., Marlow, B., Meuser, J., ... & Harvey, B. (2010). Game-based versus traditional case-based learning: comparing effectiveness in stroke

continuing medical education. *Canadian Family Physician, 56*(9), e345–e351. Retrieved from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20841574/

Toharudin, U., Kurniawan, I. S., & Fisher, D. (2021). Sundanese traditional game' Bebentengan'(Castle): Development of learning method based on sundanese local wisdom. *European Journal of Educational Research*, *10*(1), 199–210. https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.10.1.199

Uzunboylu, H., & Gide, E. (2017) Mobile Learning and Instructional Mobile Applications. JUCS - Journal of Universal Computer Science 23(12): 1109-1112. https://doi.org/10.3217/jucs-023-12

Volk, M., Cotič, M., Zajc, M., & Starcic, A. I. (2017). Tablet-based cross-curricular maths vs. traditional maths classroom practice for higher-order learning outcomes. *Computers & Education, 114*, 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2017.06.004

Von Wangenheim, C. G., & Shull, F. (2009). To game or not to game? *IEEE Software, 26*(2), 92–94. https://doi.org/10.1109/MS.2009.54

Widiana, I. W., Jampel, I. N., & Prawini, I. P. (2018). The effectiveness of traditional game-based communication learning activity for cognitive process dimension learning achievement. *Cakrawala Pendidikan, 37*(2), 260–269. https://doi.org/10.21831/cp.v37i2.14091

Yang, K. H. (2017). Learning behavior and achievement analysis of a digital game-based learning approach integrating mastery learning theory and different feedback models. *Interactive Learning Environments, 25*(2), 235–248. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2017.1286099

You, W. H., Xi, D. G., Fang, L. X., & Du, D. L. (2017). Discussion on teaching reforms of the treatment and disposal of solid waste in environmental engineering. In *Education Teaching Forum*, *12*, 40. https://ijsses.tiu.edu.iq/index.php/volume-8-issue-4-article-6/

Zaidi, U., Hammad, L. F., Awad, S. S., Qasem, H. D., & Al-Mahdi, N. A. (2017). Problem-based learning vs. traditional teaching methods: Self-efficacy and academic performance among students of health and rehabilitation sciences college, PNU. *Rehabilitation, 55*, 547–551. Retrieved from: http://www.sci-int.com/pdf/636321730050615017.pdf

Zlotskaya, E. M. (2016). Traditional and modern teaching methods and information technologies in L2 teaching. In *Человек, общество и государство в современном мире* (pp. 352–357). Retrieved from: https://is.muni.cz/th/f62v8/MgrDiplomkaBoumova.pdf