

The effect of leadership on organisational commitment: A meta-analysis

Adem Cilek*, Cankiri Karatekin University, Uluyazi Campus, 18100 Cankiri, Turkey

Suggested Citation:

Cilek, A. (2019). The effect of leadership on organisational commitment: A meta-analysis. *Cypriot Journal of Educational Science*. 14(4), 554–564. <https://doi.org/10.18844/cjes.v11i4.4244>

Received August 2, 2019; revised from October 3, 2019; accepted from December 3, 2019.

©2019 United World Center of Research Innovation and Publication. All rights reserved.

Abstract

This research aims to investigate the effects of leadership behaviour of school principals on teachers' organisational commitment in Turkey. The method of meta-analysis is used to calculate the effects size of leadership on teachers' organisational commitment. Besides this, leadership style, publication type and publication year are used as moderators in order to explain variation in effect sizes. The analysis results of the random effect model showed that leadership has a very strong and positive effect on teachers' organisational commitment. Particularly, supporting, democratic and transformational leadership styles affect organisational commitment of teachers more than other leadership approaches. However, except leadership styles, the other moderators chosen for the research are not a powerful determinant of the relationship between school leadership and organisational commitment.

Keywords: Leadership, organisational commitment, meta-analysis.

* ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: **Adem Cilek**, Cankiri Karatekin University, Uluyazi Kampusu, 18100 Cankiri, Turkey.
E-mail address: aacilek@gmail.com / Tel.: +90-505-524-2843

1. Introduction

The concept of organisational commitment has grown in popularity in the literature on organisational psychology (Cohen, 2003). Porter, Steers, Mowday and Boulian (1974, p 604) viewed the organisational commitment as one-dimensional and described it as 'an attachment to the organisation, characterised by an intention to remain in it; an identification with the values and goals of the organisation; and a willingness to exert extra effort on its behalf'. On the other hand, Meyer and Allen (1984) initially viewed organisational commitment as two-dimensional, namely affective and continuance and (1984, p. 375) defined the first dimension, namely affective commitment as 'positive feelings of identification with, attachment to and involvement in the work organisation', and they defined the second dimension, namely continuance commitment as 'the extent which employees feel committed to their organisation by virtue of the costs that they feel are associated with leaving'. After further research, Allen and Meyer (1990) added a third dimension, namely normative commitment. Allen and Meyer (1990, p. 6) define normative commitment as 'the employee's feelings of obligation to remain with the organisation'.

A three-component model consists of the following: Affective commitment is the desire to remain a member of an organisation due to an emotional attachment to the organisation (Allen & Meyer, 1990). Affective commitment is conceptualised as 'a psychological state that characterises an employee's relationship with their organisation' (English, Morrison & Chalon, 2010, p. 395). Normative commitment is a desire to remain a member of an organisation due to a feeling of obligation (Allen & Meyer, 1990). Meyer and Herscovitch (2001) defined normative commitment as 'the mindset that one has an obligation to pursue a course of action of relevance to a target' (p. 316). Continuance commitment is a desire to remain a member of an organisation because of awareness of the cost associated with leaving it (Allen & Meyer, 1990). Meyer and Herscovitch (2001) described continuance commitment as 'the perception that it would be costly to discontinue a course of action' (p. 316).

It is generally acknowledged that the level of organisational commitment is dependent on the leadership characteristics of an organisation's key person. Recent definitions characterise leadership as the process by which top managers intentionally exert influence over 'other people to guide, structure and facilitate activities and relationships in a group or organisation' (Yukl, 2013, p. 18). In recent years, leadership styles have become an important topic of study in the management field, and many researchers consider leadership style as an important variable in influencing how members of an organisation function (Wu, 2009). Leaders have adopted various styles when they lead others in the organisation (Brown, 2003; Cheong, 2008; Chiang & Wang, 2012). Some are using democratic, people or relationship centred approach and others prefer autocratic, production centred method in order to achieve a similar goal, which is organisational effectiveness. Some are focusing on change and transformation in order to perform beyond expectations.

An important number of research studies provided significant results putting forth that leadership behaviour has a positive effect on organisational commitment (Adebayo, 2010; Akbolat, Isik & Yilmaz, 2013; Avolio, Zhu, Koh & Bhatia, 2004; Huang, 2000). This research aims to investigate the effects of leadership behaviour of school principals on teachers' organisational commitment in Turkey. The method of meta-analysis is used to calculate the effects size of leadership on teachers' organisational commitment. Besides this, leadership style, publication type and publication year are used as moderators in order to explain variation in effect sizes. These variables were used to test the following hypotheses of this study:

H1 Leadership behaviour of school principals has a positive effect on teachers' organisational commitment.

H2: Leadership style is a moderation variable for the positive effect of school leadership on teachers' organisational commitment.

H3: The publication type is a moderation variable for the positive effect of leadership behaviour of school principals on teachers' organisational commitment.

H4: The publication year is a moderation variable for the positive effect of leadership behaviour of school principals on teachers' organisational commitment.

2. Method

In parallel with the aim, meta-analysis, which is described as the process of re-evaluation of the results of individual studies through statistical procedures, is used as the research method. Meta-analysis is a method of combining the results of multiple, independent studies on a specific subject and applying the statistical analysis of the research findings obtained. This method provides quantitative data summarising the results of various studies to researchers with a common judgment (Chin, 2007; Lipsey & Wilson, 2001; Robinson, Lloyd & Rowe, 2008).

Meta-analysis aims to reach all published or unpublished data (dissertations, master thesis, articles, proposals and books) on the subject. However, in this study, only dissertations, master thesis and articles published in refereed journals are included. The literature review was made in Council of Higher Education in Turkey (YOK), Turkish Academic Network and Information Center (ULAKBIM) and academic databases containing abstracts and contents of quantitative studies on the topic of leadership and organisational commitment. The keywords used in searching the studies were 'leader', 'leadership', 'leadership behaviour', 'organisational commitment', 'organisational identification', 'organisational sense of belonging' and 'work engagement'. The criteria used in the selection of the studies included in the survey are the release date of research studies is between January 2000 and December 2018, the effect of leadership behaviour of school principals on teachers' organisational commitment and statistical data of sample size, Pearson r for calculation of effect size and the sample should be in Turkey. Following the formation of coding book and expert opinions, moderator variables were identified and 37 research studies out of 51 were included in the study.

In the study, the analysis was done in two parts. First, a descriptive analysis of the studies involved in the research was conducted using percentage and frequency values. After all these operations, the meta-analysis technique was in the second part. Comprehensive meta-analysis 2.0 was used in the meta-analysis process. The main purpose of the meta-analysis in which correlation studies are used is to determine the average effect size value and homogeneity by combining the relevant data. The effect sizes obtained can be interpreted by comparing them with some criterion values. For Cohen, Manion and Morrison (2007, p. 221), the effect size values based on the correlation are interpreted as follows:

- 0 ≤ effect size < 0, 10 small effect
- 0, 1 ≤ 0 effect size < 0, 30 modest effect
- 0, 30 ≤ 0 effect size < 0, 50 moderate effect
- 0, 50 ≤ 0 effect size < 0, 80 strong effect
- effect size ≥ 0, 80 very strong effect

There are two basic models of meta-analysis: fixed-effect model and random-effects model. When deciding on which model to use, it is necessary to look at the features of the investigations involved in the meta-analysis (Borenstein, Hedges, Higgins & Rothsteini, 2009, Hedges & Olkin, 1985; Kulinskaya, Morgenthaler & Staudte, 2008). Fixed-effect model is estimated using maximum likelihood (all studies included are functionally identical) and calculating the effect size for a defined population. By contrast, it is unlikely that all the studies are functionally equivalent, and generalisations can be made to a larger population where the random-effects model is more justifiable than the fixed-effects model (Karadag, Bektas, Cogaltay & Yalcin, 2015). In the study, the fixed-effect model was used first. It was observed that homogeneity could not be achieved in the fixed-effect model (depending on the results

of heterogeneity test, the research is not equal in terms of functionality ($Q > X^2$), see Table 3) and then the random-effects model was applied. A significance level of 0.05 was chosen for all statistical calculations in the study.

Moderator analysis is a method that allows testing the differences between the mean effect sizes of variables (moderators) and the direction of differences between subgroups. The statistical significance of the difference between the moderator variables is tested by the Q statistic method developed by Hedges and Olkin (1985). In this method, Q is divided into two, Q between [Qb] and Q within [Qw], and the analyses are carried on over these two different Q's. Qw tests the homogeneity of the moderator variable in itself, whereas Qb tests the homogeneity between the groups (Borenstein et al., 2009; Hedges & Olkin, 1985; Kulinskaya et al., 2008). In this study, only the Qb values were used for the statistical significance of the differences between moderators.

3. Findings

In this section, the descriptive analysis of the studies included in the research was done and then the meta-analysis method was applied to combine the data. The data related to the studies are presented in the following tables using frequency and percentage values. Table 1 shows the descriptive analysis of the studies examined in the research.

Table 1 shows that 37 data sets related to the research subject are included in the study. In particular, it is observed that the relationship between the organisational commitment of teachers and the supportive, ethical, democratic and instructional leadership of the school principal is investigated more (62.26%). When we look at the distribution of the studies according to years, it is seen that the majority of the works (70.27%) are produced in 2011 and beyond.

Table 1. Descriptive analysis of the studies included in the meta-analysis (f and %)

| | | Frequency (f) | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------|--|---------------|----------------|
| Leadership | Supporting leadership | 7 | 18.92 |
| | Ethical leadership | 6 | 16.22 |
| | Democratic leadership | 5 | 13.51 |
| | Instructional schoolboy | 5 | 13.51 |
| | Transformational leadership | 3 | 8.11 |
| | Leader member interaction | 2 | 5.41 |
| | Visionary leadership | 1 | 2.70 |
| | Servant leadership | 1 | 2.70 |
| | Cultural leadership | 1 | 2.70 |
| | Situational leadership | 1 | 2.70 |
| | Demonstrating general leadership behaviour | 5 | 13.51 |
| Publication year | 2000–2010 | 11 | 29.73 |
| | 2011–2017 | 26 | 70.27 |
| Publication type | Yukse Lisans Tezi | 23 | 62.16 |
| | Doktora Tezi | 8 | 21.62 |
| The level of education | Makale | 6 | 16.22 |
| | Primary | 31 | 83.78 |
| | Secondary | 2 | 5.41 |
| | Primary and secondary | 4 | 10.81 |


This shows that the relationship between teachers' organisational commitment and the leadership of the school principals has been frequently studied by researchers in recent years. Approximately two-thirds of the studies (62.16%) are in the type of master's thesis, and the majority (94.59%) are produced in primary schools. The distribution of the effect size levels of the studies included is given in Table 2.

Table 2. Direction of the effect sizes

| Direction of effect sizes | <i>f</i> | % |
|---------------------------|----------|-------|
| Small | 2 | 5.41 |
| Modest | 4 | 10.81 |
| Moderate | 20 | 54.05 |
| Strong | 10 | 27.03 |
| Very strong | 1 | 2.70 |



When Table 2 is examined, it was seen that the majority of studies (81.08%) have moderate and strong relations between the organisational commitment of the teachers and the leadership of the school principal. Only two studies show small effect size values. The effect size value of the studies is analysed according to fixed-effects model and the distribution is given in Table 3.

Table 3. Correlation between leadership style and organisational commitment: The fixed-effect model

| Leadership Style | <i>f</i> | ES | 95% Confidence interval | | Q |  | <i>p</i> |
|-----------------------------|----------|-------|-------------------------|-------|---------|---|----------|
| | | | Lower | Upper | | | |
| Supporting leadership | 7 | 1.228 | 1.143 | 1.313 | 81.651 | 11.592 | 0.000 |
| Transformational leadership | 3 | 1.125 | 1.007 | 1.244 | 10.153 | 5.991 | 0.006 |
| Democratic leadership | 5 | 1.116 | 0.974 | 1.258 | 36.141 | 9.488 | 0.000 |
| Ethical leadership | 6 | 0.818 | 0.743 | 0.892 | 26.768 | 11.071 | 0.000 |
| Instructional leadership | 5 | 0.817 | 0.709 | 0.925 | 51.144 | 9.488 | 0.000 |
| Leader member interaction | 2 | 0.738 | 0.631 | 0.845 | 4.127 | 3.841 | 0.042 |
| General | 37 | 0.897 | 0.862 | 0.931 | 537.423 | 49.571 | 0.000 |



In Table 3, the meta-analysis of leadership style and organisational commitment of teachers using the fixed-effect model is shown. The effect size value (*mean r*) is calculated in order to determine the strength and direction of the relationship between leadership style and organisational commitment of teachers. The average effect size values were found to be very strong (overall average effect size value: 0.897). The finding supports *H1* supposing that there is a positive relationship between leadership behaviour of school principals and teachers' organisational commitment. In detail, supportive, transformational and democratic behaviours of the school principals affect teachers' organisational commitment positively and very strongly. Depending on the heterogeneity, the effect size distributions of the studies were found to be heterogeneous in the model of fixed effects. For this reason, it was understood that the use of random-effects model would be more appropriate.

Table 4. Correlation between leadership style and organisational commitment: The random-effect model

| Leadership style | <i>f</i> | ES | 95% Confidence interval | |  | Q |  | <i>p</i> |
|-----------------------------|----------|-------|-------------------------|-------|---|--------|---|----------|
| | | | Lower | Upper | | | | |
| Supporting leadership | 7 | 1.234 | 0.911 | 1.558 | 12.839 | 11.071 | 0.025 | |
| Transformational leadership | 6 | 1.394 | 0.918 | 1.871 | | | | |
| Democratic leadership | 6 | 0.826 | 0.645 | 1.007 | | | | |
| Ethical leadership | 5 | 0.872 | 0.456 | 1.287 | | | | |
| Instructional leadership | 3 | 1.096 | 0.823 | 1.369 | | | | |
| Leader member interaction | 2 | 0.736 | 0.518 | 0.954 | | | | |
| General | 37 | 1.002 | 0.863 | 1.140 | | | | |


Depending on the results of the heterogeneity test, the random-effect model is applied (Table 4). When Table 4 is examined, it is observed that the heterogeneity values between the groups (12.839) are higher than the squared table values (11.071) and this result shows that they have heterogeneous characteristics. Particularly, supporting, democratic and transformational leadership styles affect organisational commitment of teachers more than other leadership approaches.

Table 5. Meta-analysis for publication year as a moderator: The random-effect model

| Publication year | f | ES | 95% Confidence interval | | Heterogeneity test | | |
|------------------|----|-------|-------------------------|-------|---|---|-------|
| | | | Lower | Upper |  |  | p |
| 2000–2010 | 11 | 1.046 | 0.794 | 1.299 | 0.171 | 3.841 | 0.679 |
| 2011–2017 | 26 | 0.983 | 0.816 | 1.150 | | | |

When Table 5 is examined, according to the random-effects model, the heterogeneity value between the groups (0.171) is lower than the chi-square values (3.841). In this context, it can be said that the moderator variable does not explain the cause of heterogeneity and that the findings of the studies are close to each other.

Table 6. Meta-analysis for publication type as a moderator: The random-effect model

| Publication type | f | ES | 95% Confidence interval | | Heterogeneity test | | |
|------------------|----|-------|-------------------------|-------|--------------------|---|-------|
| | | | Lower | Upper | Lower |  | p |
| Master thesis | 23 | 1.061 | 0.861 | 1.261 | | | |
| Dissertation | 8 | 0.856 | 0.647 | 1.064 | 2.115 | 5.991 | 0.347 |
| Article | 6 | 0.883 | 0.534 | 1.232 | | | |

When Table 6 is examined, in terms of the type of publication, the heterogeneity value between the groups (2.115) is lower than the chi-square values (5.991). In this context, it is possible to tell that the publication variable as a moderator did not explain the cause of heterogeneity and that the findings of the studies are close to each other in the studies.

It is also important to examine the bias of publications included in the study in meta-analysis studies. Publishing bias is basically based on the assumption that all of the research on a topic has not been published. Since it is not deemed worth, specifically, to publish investigations in which statistically significant relationships cannot be found or low levels of relations are identified, this affects the total effect size level negatively and increases the mean effect size prejudicially (Borenstein et al., 2009).

In this context, the probability of publication bias in meta-analysis studies is examined. A number of calculation methods are used to give a statistically answer as to whether there is publication bias in meta-analyses. Classic false-safe N analysis was also used to determine whether the publication bias was present in the study. The results of the analysis are presented in Table 7.

Table 7. The results of classic false-safe N results

| The power of meta-analysis | |
|---|-------|
| Z-value | 41.37 |
| p-value | 0.00 |
| α-value | 0.05 |
| α value for Z | 1.94 |
| Number of observed studies | 37 |
| Number of missing studies that would bring p-value to > α | 4651 |

According to the findings obtained, 4,651 individual studies should be added to the analysis in order to override the result of the meta-analysis study ($p < 0.05$). This information shows that there is no bias in this meta-analysis study.

4. Conclusion and discussion

Thirty-seven research studies were included in the meta-analysis to determine the effect size value for the effect of leadership on organisational commitment. Leadership style, publication type and

publication year were chosen as moderator variables. According to the research results, leadership has a very strong and positive effect on teachers' organisational commitment, providing support for hypothesis 1. An impressive amount of past research results supported the positive linkage between leadership and organisational commitment (Avolio et al., 2004; Dunn, Dastoor & Sims, 2012; Howell & Hall-Merenda, 1999). For example, Yiing and Ahmad (2009) produced empirical evidence that leadership behaviours were positively related to organisational commitment. It was found that individuals are highly committed and highly involved in their organisation when their leaders adopt directive, participative and supportive leadership behaviours (Rusliza & Fawzy, 2016, p. 205). Similarly, Lok and Crawford (2004) found that leadership style positively influences the level of employees' commitment. Also, Stum (1999) implied that leadership has a significant correlation or relationship with employees' commitment and suggested a positive direct relationship between leadership behaviours and employees' commitment. In a meta-analysis of 77 studies, Cogaltay and Karadag (2016) obtained that educational leadership has a large positive impact on organisational commitment perception of the teachers.

In this research study, except leadership styles, the other moderators chosen for the research are not a powerful determinant of the relationship between school leadership and organisational commitment. The effect sizes between the publication types and publication year were not statistically significant. However, leadership style is a moderation variable for the positive effect of school leadership on teachers' organisational commitment. Particularly, supporting, democratic and transformational leadership styles affect organisational commitment of teachers more than other leadership approaches. A number of studies stated that supportive behaviour of leaders is significant for the level of commitment in organisations (Butcher, 1994; Shadur, Kienzle & Rodwell, 1999). Besides this, Gulluce, Kaygin, Bakadur Kafadar and Atay (2016) have found a positive moderate relationship between the transformational leadership scale and the organisational commitment scale. In other words, transformational leaders increase organisational commitment with their transformational leadership attitudes and behaviours. Lee (2008) found out that transformational leadership significantly correlates with organisational commitment. In addition, Hayward, Goss and Tolmay (2004) noted that transformational leadership has a moderate positive correlation with affective commitment. Noraazian & Khalip (2016) found out that the high level of transformational leadership practices undertaken by school principals had a significant impact on the teachers' commitment. Additionally, in a meta-analysis of 20 surveys in Turkey, which was conducted by Aydin, Sarier Y and Uysal (2013) demonstrated that transformational leadership also has a positive influence on organisational commitment. Kouni, Koutsoukos and Panta (2018) showed that teachers feel a substantial commitment to school goals when the school principal acts as a transformational leader. Not only supportive and transformative leaders but also democratic leaders have an affirmative impact on organisational commitment (Rafferty & Griffin, 2006).

When we remember the definition of leadership as 'the ability of an individual to influence, motivate and enable others to contribute towards the effectiveness and success of organisations of which they are members' (House, Wright & Aditya, 1997, p. 548), its critical role for organisations in reaching their goals will be understood better. Organisational commitment is more than employee satisfaction and it is closely related to organisational goals with positive organisational outcomes like productivity, quality and profitability (Huselid, 1995; MacDuffie, 1995). To sum up, leadership is very much contingent on the organisational commitment, thus enabling individuals to release their creativity and to contribute towards organisational development initiatives. It is crucial to recommend that organisations introducing supporting, democratic and transformational leadership styles are needed for the development of them. In addition, programmes and workshops for professional development including skills would be recommended to develop organisational commitment.

Reference

- Adebayo, O. O. (2010). Obstetric nurses' perceptions of manager's leadership style on job satisfaction and organizational commitment. Phoenix, AZ: University of Phoenix.
- Afacan, O. (2011). Relationship between secondary education teachers' organizational devotion levels and perception level of leadership behaviors of directors. (Unpublished master thesis). Yeditepe University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- Agiroglu Bakir, A. (2013). The analysis of relationship between the teachers' perceptions of distributed leadership and organizational commitment. (Unpublished doctoral thesis). Inonu University, Malatya, Turkey.
- Akbolat, M., Isik, O. & Yilmaz, A. (2013). Donusumcu liderlik davranisinin motivasyon ve duygusal bagliliga etkisi. *International Journal of Economic and Administrative Studies*, 6(11), 35–50.
- Aldan, N. (2009). Relationship between the attitude of primary school managers with respect to their management styles and the organizational commitments of primary school teachers and application (Example of the district of Bagcilar). (Unpublished master thesis). Beykent University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- Allen, N. J. & Meyer, J. P. (1990). The measurement and antecedents of affective, continuance and normative commitment to the organisation. *Journal of Occupational Psychology*, 63, 1–18.
- Atar, G. (2009). The correlation between teachers organizational devotion and directors leadership behaviors. (Unpublished master thesis). Maltepe University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- Avolio, B. J., Zhu, W., Koh, W. & Bhatia, P. (2004). Transformational leadership and organizational commitment: mediating role of psychological empowerment and moderating role of structural distance. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 25(8), 951–968. doi:10.1002/job.283
- Aydin, A., Sarier, Y. & Uysal, S. (2013). The effect of school principals' styles on teachers' organizational commitment and job satisfaction. *Educational Sciences. Theory & Practice*, 13(2), 806–811.
- Babil, F. (2009). The relationship between visionary leadership skills of elementary school principals and organizational commitment of primary school teachers. (Unpublished master thesis). Eskisehir Osmangazi University, Eskisehir, Turkey.
- Bagriyanik, H. (2017). Organizational commitment and organizational cynicism in the framework of teacher's instructional leadership perceptions towards school administrators. (Unpublished doctoral thesis). Gaziantep University, Gaziantep, Turkey.
- Balci, Y. (2009). The analysis of relationships between teachers and managers of organizational commitment with educational and transformational leadership behaviours of managers in primary school. (Unpublished doctoral thesis). Ege University, Izmir, Turkey.
- Bayata, G. (2017). A study of relation between teachers' organizational commitment and headmasters' democratic attitudes according to teachers' view. (Unpublished master thesis). Ataturk University, Erzurum, Turkey.
- Borenstein, M., Hedges, L. V., Higgins, J. P. T. & Rothstein, H. R. (2009). *Introduction to Meta-Analysis*. UK: John Wiley & Sons.
- Brown, B. B. (2003). Employees' organizational commitment and their perception of supervisors' relations-oriented and task-oriented leadership behaviors (Doctoral dissertation). Blacksburg, VA: Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University.
- Butcher, A. H. (1994). Supervisors matter more than you think: components of a mission-centred organizational climate. *Hospital and Health Services Administration*, 39(4), 505–520.
- Celebi, M. (2014). At secondary schools teachers' organizational health in and organizational commitment investigation of the relationship between perceptions. (Unpublished master thesis). Zirve University, Gaziantep, Turkey.
- Cevahiroglu, E. (2012). İlkogretim brans ogretmenlerinin algiladiklari liderlik davranislari ile orgutsel baglilik arasindaki iliski (Istanbul ili Bayrampasa ilcesi ornegi). (Unpublished master thesis). Yeditepe University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- Cheong, L. H. (2008). Investigating the impact of managerial coaching on employees' organizational commitment and turnover intention in Malaysia. (Master dissertation). University of Malaya, Malaysia.

- Chiang, C. F. & Wang, Y. Y. (2012). The effects of transactional leadership and transformational leadership on organizational commitment in Hotels: the mediating effect of trust. *Journal of Hotel and Business Management*, 1(1), 1.
- Chin, J. M. C. (2007). Meta-analysis of transformational school leadership effects on school outcomes in Taiwan and the USA. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 8(2), 166–177. doi:10.1007/BF03029253
- Cogaltay, N. & Karadag, E. (2016). The effect of educational leadership on organizational variables: a meta-analysis study in the sample of Turkey. *Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice*, 16, 603–646.
- Cohen, A. (2003). *Multiple commitments in the workplace: an integrative approach*. London, UK: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L. & Morrison, K. (2007). *Research methods in education*. London, UK: Routledge-Falmer.
- Cokluk, O. & Yilmaz, K. (2010). The relationship between leadership behavior and organizational commitment in Turkish primary schools. *Journal of Social Sciences of the Turkic World*, 54, 75–92.
- Demir Polat, D. (2018). Exploring teachers' resilience in relation to job satisfaction, burnout, organizational commitment and perception of organizational climate. (Unpublished master thesis). Sakarya University, Sakarya, Turkey.
- Dogan, U. (2015). The relationship between the special education teachers and the organizational dedication level of the special education teachers and the perception level of servant leadership behaviour of headmasters. (Unpublished master thesis). 19 Mayıs University, Samsun, Turkey.
- Dunn, M. W., Dastoor, B. & Sims, R. L. (2012). Transformational leadership and organizational commitment: a cross-cultural perspective. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 4(1), 45–59.
- English, B., Morrison, D. & Chalon, C. (2010). Moderator effects of organizational tenure on the relationship between psychological climate and affective commitment. *Journal of Management Development*, 29(4), 394–408. doi:10.1108/02621711011039187
- Ersozlu, A. (2012). The effect of managerial resourcefulness of school administrators on organizational commitment, organizational citizenship behaviors and job satisfaction levels of teachers. (Unpublished doctoral thesis). Firat University. Elazig, Turkey.
- Erturk, M. (2014). An examination of primary school principals' perceived leadership behaviors and teachers' organizational commitment levels in terms of some variables (Gaziantep sample) (Unpublished master thesis). Gaziantep University, Gaziantep, Turkey.
- Eser, I. (2018). An analysis of the effects of mediative role of positive psychological capital on the relationship between ethical leadership perceptions and work engagement of teachers' (Unpublished master thesis). Gaziantep University, Gaziantep, Turkey.
- Ezer, O. (2014). The relation between instructional leadership behaviours and organizational commitment of teachers (Province of Sakarya sample) (Unpublished master thesis). Sakarya University, Sakarya, Turkey.
- Gulluce, A. C., Kaygin, E., Bakadur Kafadar, S. & Atay, M. (2016). The relationship between transformational leadership and organizational commitment: a study on the bank employees. *Journal of Service Science and Management*, 9, 263–275. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/jssm.2016.93033>
- Gurler, M. (2018). Analyzing the mediating effect of employee voice in the relationship between the teachers' leader-member exchange and work engagement. (Unpublished doctoral thesis). Eskisehir Osmangazi University, Eskisehir, Turkey.
- Hayward, Q., Goss, M. & Tolmay, R. (2004). *The relationship between transformational and transactional leadership and employee commitment*. Grahamstown, South Africa: Rhodes University, Business Report.
- Hedges, L. V. & Olkin, I. (1985). *Statistical method for meta-analysis*. UK: Academic Press.
- House, R. J., Wright, N. S. & Aditya, R. N. (1997). Cross-cultural research on organizational leadership: a critical analysis and a proposed theory. In P. C. Earley & M. Erez (Eds.), *New perspectives in international industrial organizational psychology* (pp. 535–625). San Francisco, CA: New Lexington.
- Howell, J. M. & Hall-Merenda, K. E. (1999). The ties that bind: the impact of leader-member exchange, transformational and transactional leadership, and distance on predicting follower performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 84, 680–694.
- Huang, L. (2000). *The perceived leadership behavior and organizational commitment at CPA firms* (ProQuest Dissertations and Theses), pp. 133–133. Fort Lauderdale, FL: Nova Southeastern University.

- Huselid, M. A. (1995). The impact of human resource management practices on turnover, productivity, and corporate financial performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 38, 635–672.
- Karaca, D. (2009). The relationship between the competencies of the administrators in performing the functions of human resources management in primary schools and the organizational commitment of the teachers (Unpublished master thesis). Akdeniz University, Antalya, Turkey.
- Karadag, E., Bektas, F., Cogaltay, N. & Yalcin, M. (2015). The effect of educational leadership on students' achievement: a meta-analysis study. *Asia Pasific Education Review*, 16(1), 79–93. doi:10.1007/s12564-015-9357-x
- Kavgaci, H. (2014). The relationship of primary education institution teachers' work engagement with personal and organizational variables. (Unpublished doctoral thesis). Gazi University, Ankara, Turkey.
- Kaya, I. & Karadag, E. (2015). The estimate rate of situational leadership behaviors of school principals on the organizational commitment and perception of productivity. *Journal of Education and Humanities: Theory and Practice*, 6(11), 175–194.
- Kirsoy, I. (2015). Examining the relationship between school managers' learning leadership abilities teachers' organizational commitment and their motivation. (Unpublished master thesis). Zirve University, Gaziantep, Turkey.
- Korkmaz, M. (2005). The relationship between organizational health and student achievement in primary schools. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 43, 401–422.
- Korkmaz, M. (2011). The effects of organizational climate and organizational health on organizational commitment in primary schools. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 17(1), 117–139.
- Kouni, Z., Koutsoukos, M. & Panta, D. (2018). Connection of teachers' organizational commitment and transformational leadership. A case study from Greece. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 17(8), 89–106. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.17.8.6>
- Kul, M. & Guclu, M. (2010). The relationship between school administrators' leadership style and physical education teachers' organizational commitment. *Uluslararası İnsan Bilimleri Dergisi*, 7(2), 1021–1038.
- Kulinskaya, E., Morgenthaler, S. & Staudte, R. G. (2008). *Meta-analysis: a guide to calibrating and combining statistical evidence*. London, UK: John Wiley & Son.
- Lee, J. (2008). Effects of leadership and leader-member exchange on innovativeness. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 23(6), 670–687. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/02683940810894747>
- Lipsey, M. W. & Wilson, D. B. (2001). *Practical meta-analysis*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Lok, P. & Crawford, J. (2004). The effect of organizational culture and leadership style on job satisfaction and organizational commitment: a cross-national comparison. *Journal of Management Development*, 23(4), 321–338. doi:10.1108/02621710410529785
- MacDuffie, J. P. (1995). Human resource bundles and manufacturing performance: organizational logic and flexible production systems in the world auto industry. *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, 48, 197–221.
- Meyer, J. P. & Allen, N. J. (1984). Testing the side bet theory of organizational commitment: some methodological considerations. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 69, 372–378.
- Meyer, J. P. & Herscovitch, L. (2001). Commitment in the workplace: toward a general model. *Human Resource Management Review*, 11(4), 299–326.
- Noraazian, B. O. & Khalip, M. (2016). The impact of transformational leadership and teacher commitment in Malaysian public schools. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 6(11), 388–397.
- Olukcu, E. (2018). The relationship between school managers' instructional leadership roles and teachers' organizational identification (Corum sample). (Unpublished master thesis). Amasya University, Amasya, Turkey.
- Ozdemir, A. (2012). The relation between the democratic manners of the elementary school principles and the perception of organizational commitment of teachers (Sancaktepe-Cekmekoy districts in Istanbul). (Unpublished master thesis). Yeditepe University. Istanbul, Turkey.
- Porter, L. W., Steers, R. M., Mowday, R. T. & Boulian, P. V. (1974). Organizational commitment, job satisfaction and turnover among psychiatric technicians. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 59, 603–609.

- Robinson, M. J. V., Lloyd, C. A. & Rowe, K. J. (2008). The impact of leadership on student outcomes: an analysis of the differential effects of leadership types. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 44(5), 635–674. doi:10.1177/2F0013161X08321509
- Sagban, S. (2011). Effects of school administrators' cultural leadership roles on organizational commitment level of teachers (Afyonkarahisar province sample). (Unpublished master thesis). Kocatepe University, Afyonkarahisar, Turkey.
- Sahin, B. (2015). The relationship between teachers' organizational commitment behaviors and school principals' ethical leadership behaviors. (Unpublished master thesis). Usak University, Usak, Turkey.
- Serefhanoglu, O. (2014). The relationship between school directors 'mentoring functions and teachers' organizational fit level Balıkesir sample. (Unpublished master thesis). Balıkesir University, Balıkesir, Turkey.
- Serin, M. K. (2011). The relationship between instructional leadership and organizational commitment in primary schools. (Unpublished master thesis). Gazi University, Ankara, Turkey.
- Shadur, M. A., Kienzle, R. & Rodwell, J. J. (1999). The relationship between organizational climate and employee perceptions of involvement: the importance of support. *Group and Organization Management*, 24(4), 479–503.
- Stum, D. L. (1999). Workforce commitment: strategies for the new work order. *Strategy & Leadership*, 27(1), 4–7. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/eb054623>
- Tan, S. (2017). The investigation of the relationship between organizational health and organizational commitment according to teachers' perceptions. (Unpublished master thesis). Siirt University, Siirt, Turkey.
- Taneri, A. (2011). The relationship between ethical leadership and organizational health in primary schools (A study in Aksaray). (Unpublished master thesis). Gazi University, Ankara, Turkey.
- Terzi, A. R. & Kurt, T. (2005). The effect of administrative attitudes of primary school principals on teachers' organisational commitment. *Milli Egitim Dergisi*, 166, 98–113.
- Ugurlu, C. T. (2009). Effects of administrators' ethical leadership and organizational justice behavior on primary school teachers' organizational commitment level (Hatay ili ornegi). (Unpublished doctoral thesis). Inonu University, Malatya, Turkey.
- Wu, F. Y. (2009). The relationship between leadership styles and foreign English teacher's job satisfaction in adult English cram schools: evidences in Taiwan. *The Journal of American Academy of Business*, 14(2), 75–82.
- Yahaya, R. & Ebrahim, F. (2016). Leadership styles and organizational commitment: literature review. *Journal of Management Development*, 35(2), 190–216. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMD-01-2015-0004>
- Yildirim, Z. (2014). The effect of ethical leadership of principals on organizational commitment levels of secondary school teachers (Sanliurfa case) (Unpublished master thesis). Zirve University, Gaziantep, Turkey.
- Yukl, G. A. (2013). *Leadership in organizations* (8th edition). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Zeren, H. (2007). The relationship between the leadership styles of primary school principals and the organizational commitment of teachers in these schools (Unpublished master thesis). Harran University, Sanliurfa, Turkey.