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Abstract
States, in their tax systems, create anti-tax evasion rules to try to eliminate the possibility of taxpayers engaging in behavior that harms the state in collecting the taxes that each citizen or company owes. Anti-tax evasion rules also aim to ensure that everyone contributes to public spending according to their real ability to pay, thus respecting the principle of equal contribution based on real and effective economic and financial capacity. Aware that there are companies that carry out harmful acts and thus reduce their economic and financial capacity to try to reduce their tax burden in terms of corporate income tax, the Portuguese legislator introduced autonomous taxation in corporate income tax, in the corporate income tax code, to try to eliminate belligerent behavior on the part of companies. Using the statistical data from the Autoridade Tributária e Aduaneira, and based on statistics from the tax and customs authority, the study demonstrates the financial burden on companies that bear this type of taxation and compare it with the "Corporate Income Tax" charged annually by the State.
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1. Introduction

The first aspect this study highlights is the concept of the “Anti-tax evasion rule”. The second aspect presented to the readers of this paper is the origin of the concept of “Autonomous Taxation” and then the study will present a brief incursion on its presence in the Portuguese tax system.

1.1. Conceptual background

The concept “Anti-tax evasion rule” means the creation by states of rules whose sole purpose is to combat attempts by taxpayers to reduce taxation through evasive, fraudulent, and elusive schemes, namely through the use of abusive and/or aggressive tax planning, with the sole aim of reducing their tax cargo (Faisal et al., 2023; Tang, 2020; Kong, 2021; Eberhartinger & Zieser 2021).

The expression “Autonomous Taxation” appeared in the Portuguese legal system in 1990 with Decreto-Lei n. 192/90, of 9 June, and had the purpose of taxing “confidential expenses” and “non-documented expenses” earned during commercial, industrial, or agricultural activities, that is, by businessmen, either individually or through companies. The Decreto-Lei n. 192/90, of 9 June, in its Article 4th, stated that “Confidential or undocumented expenses earned in the course of commercial, industrial or agricultural activities by IRS (Personal Income Tax) taxpayers who have or should have organized accounts or by IRC (Corporate Income Tax) taxpayers who do not come under Articles 8 and 9 of the respective Code, are taxed separately under IRS or IRC, depending on the case, at a rate of 10%, without prejudice to the provisions of paragraph h) of n. 1 of Article 41 of the CIRC (Corporate Income Tax Code).” Thus, the concept of “Autonomous Taxation” appears in the Portuguese tax panorama, and according to the opinion of Nabais (2013), these first taxes were “true taxes on some expenses incurred by companies”, of course, to be a tool to combat an increase in the phenomenon of tax evasion and fraud.

1.1.1. Origin of the mechanism

To combat anti-taxation practices on the part of entrepreneurs, the legislator created, among other things, the rules governing "Autonomous Taxation". The concept of “Autonomous Taxation” has its roots in the institution of Portuguese lawmakers, the creation of a transgression for the companies that made confidential or non-documented expenses, and whose punishment resulted in the application of a minimum fine of $5,000,000, equivalent today to 25,000€. The origin is thus in Decreto-Lei n. 375/74 of 20 August, which initiated the first tax reform after the revolution of 25 April.

Almost ten years later, the legislator considered that in some cases, companies needed to sustain some “confidential or undocumented expenses” and so, in 1983, with Decreto-Lei n. 235-F/83 of 1 June, it came to accept that “in some cases, these expenses prove necessary to the smooth pursuit of business activities”, imposing an admissibility ceiling of 1%. This amendment means that there was only a transgression if the “confidential or undocumented expenses” exceeded that 1% limit.

The Decreto-lei n. 167/86 of 27 June applies a reduction of the limit of 1% to 0.5%. The Law n. 2/88 of 26 January, which approved the 1988 State Budget, brought about a first revolution in this system and created an increase in income tax for companies that had made “confidential and undocumented expenses”. In addition to continuing to punish companies that had exceeded the legal limit for “confidential or undocumented expenses”, these types of expenses were now subject to an “industrial tax rate aggravated by 20%".
In our opinion, this is the moment when this type of “Autonomous Taxation” of certain types of expenses incurred by companies is created, in this case, the “confidential or non-documented expenses”. It should be noted that with the creation of this taxation of “confidential and non-documented expenses” with an “industrial contribution rate aggravated by 20%”, companies, in addition to being subject to the normal taxation under the then “industrial contribution”, were still subject to an “industrial contribution rate aggravated by 20%” in the case of having practiced “confidential and non-documented expenses” beyond the maximum admissible limit of 0.5%, to which was added the subjection to the established legal fine as it was also considered an infraction.

Through the Decreto-Lei n. 442-B/88, of 30 November, was created the Corporate Income Tax Code, which came into force on 1 January 1989, replacing and repealing the income taxes existing up to then, namely “the industrial contribution, the tax on agricultural industry, the tax on capital gains, the property contribution, the capital tax, the complementary tax and the stamp duty set out in item 134 of the General Stamp Duty Table”.

This means that the taxation of “confidential or non-documented expenses” with an “industrial contribution rate aggravated by 20%” is extinguished. It should be noted that this new tax, the “Corporate Income Tax”, no longer has this aggravated taxation for this type of expenses and about them only admitted in item h) of n. 1 of its article 41 that that “Undocumented expenses and expenses of a confidential nature” were not considered for tax purposes as business expenses, an aspect that has generated controversy in the doctrine so the companies are not subject to any punishment or social obligation (Barreira, 1989).

It was clear that the doctrine questions how the legislator, the Assembly of the Portuguese Republic, started to admit with this tax modification that, for example, companies could make a hidden distribution of profits through the payment of “confidential or non-documented expenses”, thus highlighting the need to attack this tax evasion (Nabais, 2016).

With the entry into force of Decreto-Lei n. 192/90, of 9 June, and to solve the problem we have just mentioned, “Autonomous Taxation” was then created through its Article 4 which established that “confidential or non-documented expenses” would be “Autonomously Taxed ... at a rate of 10%”, that is, the expression “Autonomous Taxation” appears for the first time in the Portuguese tax panorama with this Decreto-Lei n. 192/90, of 9 June. In other words, the expression “Autonomous Taxation” appears for the first time in the Portuguese tax panorama with this Decreto-Lei n. 192/90, of 9 June, by the opinion of Nabais (2013). These Autonomous Taxes now appearing were “true taxes on some expenses incurred by companies” that are logically intended to be a measure to combat a growing phenomenon of fraud and tax evasion.

The Integration of "Autonomous Taxation" In the Corporate Income Tax Code only happened through Law n. 30-G/2000, of 29 December, which in turn revoked article 4 of Decreto-Lei n. 192/90 and added to this Code Article n. 69-A, with the title “Autonomous Taxation Rate” which now taxes autonomously “confidential or non-documented expenses” and “representation expenses and expenses related to light passenger vehicles, pleasure boats, tourism aircraft, motorbikes, and motorbikes. According to Article 69-A - Autonomous taxation rate covers:

1 - Confidential or non-documented expenses are taxed autonomously at a rate of 50%, without prejudice to the provisions of paragraph h) of no. 1 of article 41.
2 - The rate referred to in the previous number is increased to 70% in cases where such expenses are incurred by taxpayers who are totally or partially exempt, or who do not exercise, as a main activity, activities of a commercial, industrial, or agricultural nature.
3 - The representation expenses and charges related to light passenger vehicles, pleasure boats, tourism aircraft, and motorbikes, incurred or borne by non-exempt taxpayers whose main
activity is of a commercial, industrial, or agricultural nature, will be taxed autonomously at a rate corresponding to 20% of the highest normal rate.

4 - Expenses related to light passenger vehicles, pleasure boats, tourism aircraft, motorbikes, and scooters are considered to be, namely, reinstatements, rents or leases, insurance, maintenance and conservation expenses, fuel, and taxes levied on their possession or use.

5 - Excluded from the provisions of no. 3 are expenses related to light passenger vehicles, pleasure boats, tourism aircraft, motorbikes, and motorbikes, allocated to the operation of public transport service, intended to be rented in the exercise of the taxpayer’s normal activity, as well as reintegration related to vehicles for which the agreement provided for in no. 8 of paragraph c) of n. 3 of Article 2 of the PIT Code has been signed.

6 - Expenses incurred with receptions, meals, trips, outings, and shows offered in the country or abroad to clients or suppliers, or any other persons or entities are considered representation expenses.

7 - Expenses corresponding to sums paid or owed, for any reason, to individuals or companies resident outside Portuguese territory and subject to a more favorable tax regime there, as defined in the terms of the Code, will be subject to the regime of paragraphs 1 or 2, depending on the case, with the applicable rates being, respectively, 35% or 55%, unless the taxpayer can prove that such charges correspond to operations effectively carried out and are not abnormal or an exaggerated amount.

The creation by the legislator of the “Autonomous Taxation” in the Corporate Income Tax Code has in its essence a dissuasive, discouraging measure, that businessmen, through accounting records of certain expenses perform authentic frauds and tax evasions, confusing the intersection of the company between what are personal expenses and business expenses, and in this way the legislator seeks to create a mechanism that such realities affect negatively the tax revenues that should be generated with the tax profits of the companies.

In this sense Sanches (2007) states that with “Autonomous Taxation” “the legislator seeks to answer the admittedly difficult question of the tax regime of expenses that are in the intersection zone between the personal sphere and the corporate sphere, to avoid remuneration in kind that is more attractive for exclusively tax reasons or the hidden distribution of profits.”

The Law n. 2/2014, of January 16, caused a small reform in the Corporate Income Tax Code and Article n. 69-A has now become Article n. 88, with the title “Autonomous Taxation Rates”. This Article, which is still in force, now has the wording given by Law 24-D/2022, of 30 December, on the “State Budget for 2023”.

1.1.2. The Article 88 – Autonomous Taxation Rates

Currently, Article 88 of the Corporate Income Tax Code establishes a wide range of rates applicable to these types of expenses incurred by companies and which consider the specific types of expenses that it intends to tax autonomously, namely: 2.5%, 5%, 7.5%, 10%, 15%, 23%, 27.5%, 35%, 50%, 55%, 70%.

For example, the minimum rate of 2.5% applies “to expenses with plug-in hybrid passenger cars, whose battery can be charged through connection to the electric grid and which have a minimum autonomy, in electric mode, of 50 km and official emissions of less than 50 gCO2/km, and light passenger cars powered by vehicular natural gas (CNG), with an acquisition cost of less than 27 500 (euro)”, the maximum rate of 70% applies “to non-documented expenses in cases where such expenses are incurred by taxpayers who are totally or partially non-taxable, or who do not carry out, as a main activity, activities of a commercial, industrial or agricultural nature”.

The most recent version of Article 88 reads briefly as follows - “Table 1”:

Table 1
Autonomous Taxation – Article 88

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost of acquisition/type of vehicle</th>
<th>Plug-in Hybrids</th>
<th>VNG</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Fully electric vehicles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1 – Acquisition cost lower than € 27,500</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2 – Acquisition cost between € 27,500 and € 35,000</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3 – Acquisition cost equal to or higher than € 35,000</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4 – Acquisition cost exceeding € 62,500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6 – Dividends distributed to wholly or partially exempt taxpayers regarding participations held, uninterrupted, for less than one year: 23%.

7 – The total amount of the expenses incurred with any compensation paid as a result of the termination of functions of managers or board members if not related to the productivity targets previously established under the existing labor relation; or the amount that exceeds the remuneration that would be received by the manager or the board member until the term of the labor agreement, in case of redundancy before that term; or, in all cases, if the liability for the payment is shifted to another entity: 35%.

8 – The total amount of the expenses incurred with bonuses paid to managers or board members if the respective amount corresponds to more than 25% of the annual salary and exceeds EUR 27,500: 35%.

9 – Amounts due or paid to legal or natural persons resident outside the Portuguese territory and subject to a more clearly favorable tax regime, as well as payments made to open accounts of financial institutions resident or domiciled in a jurisdiction with a more favorable tax regime, unless proof is made that the operations effectively took place and do not have abnormal conditions or exaggerated amounts: 35% or 55%.

Source: CIRC (2023)

1.1.3. The amount of tax assessed with Autonomous Taxation

To understand the importance of the “Autonomous Taxation” of companies, compared with the amount of Corporate Income Tax that companies in Portugal represent, we present a table prepared by us in which we show the total number of companies that filed their “Corporate Income Tax” returns, the total amount of this tax, the amount of tax that was calculated with the “Autonomous Taxation” and the relation, in percentage, between those two amounts – see “Table 2”.

Table 2
Relationship between the total number of the CIT Declarations submitted, the CIT paid, and the “Autonomous Taxes” paid and the percentage between them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEARS</th>
<th>Number of DECLARATIONS SUBMITTED</th>
<th>CIT LIQUIDATE</th>
<th>AUTONOMOUS TAXATION</th>
<th>Relation between the “Autonomous Taxation” value and the Income Tax Assessed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Absolute Rate</th>
<th>Millions of Euros</th>
<th>Millions of Euros</th>
<th>Percentage - %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>360 299</td>
<td>2 831</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>373 021</td>
<td>3 455</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>379 772</td>
<td>3 973</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>388 958</td>
<td>3 734</td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>390 498</td>
<td>3 492</td>
<td>371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>393 891</td>
<td>2 977</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>419 546</td>
<td>2 812</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>421 430</td>
<td>2 802</td>
<td>491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>429 148</td>
<td>2 779</td>
<td>465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>440 168</td>
<td>3 559</td>
<td>551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>452 683</td>
<td>3 631</td>
<td>507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>464 780</td>
<td>4 333</td>
<td>492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>475 119</td>
<td>4 493</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>492 935</td>
<td>4 991</td>
<td>541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>510 158</td>
<td>4 981</td>
<td>568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>521 985</td>
<td>4 026</td>
<td>487</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: CIRC (2023)*

Please take note that between 2005 and 2020, that is, in 16 years, the amount collected by the State through “Autonomous Taxation” varied between 198 and 568 million Euros, representing between 5.53% in 2007 and 18.99% in 2011, when comparing the relationship between the value in Euros of “Autonomous Taxation” and “CIT paid”.

When our comparison focuses on the amounts declared by companies, we see that between the years 2018 and 2020, total expenses subject to “Autonomous Taxation” of 3716, 3956, and 3483, amounts in millions of Euros, were declared, repeatedly – see “Table 3”.

**Table 3**

*Declared expenditure values – Amounts in millions of Euros.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AUTONOMOUS TAXATION DECLARED EXPENDITURE VALUES – Amounts in millions of Euros</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Representation Expenses</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses incurred or supported with daily allowances and compensation for travel</td>
<td>1 179</td>
<td>1 283</td>
<td>1 182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in the employee’s vehicle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profits distributed by entities subject to IRC to taxable persons benefiting from</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total or partial exemption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensation for termination of office of a manager, director, or administrator</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses or charges related to bonuses and other variable remuneration paid to</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>managers and directors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses with light passenger and goods VEHICLES – If AC &lt; € 25.000,00</td>
<td>1 193</td>
<td>1 245</td>
<td>1 155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses with light passenger and goods VEHICLES – If AC &gt;= € 25.000,00 e &lt; € 35.000,00</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses with light passenger and goods VEHICLES – If AC &gt;= € 35.000,00</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses for plug-in hybrid passenger VEHICLES – If AC &lt; € 25.000,00</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses for plug-in hybrid passenger VEHICLES – If AC &gt;= € 25.000,00 e &lt; € 35.000,00</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses for plug-in hybrid passenger VEHICLES – If AC &gt;= € 35.000,00</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses for light passenger VEHICLES powered by LPG or CNG – If AC &lt; € 25.000,00</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses for light passenger VEHICLES powered by LPG or CNG- IF AC &gt;= € 35.000,00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL DECLARED EXPENDITURE SUBJECT TO AUTONOMOUS TAXATION</td>
<td>3716</td>
<td>3956</td>
<td>3483</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: CIRC (2023)*
To finalize our statistical data, we present “Table 4” to understand the relationship between the total number of companies filing Corporate Income Tax returns and those filing “Autonomous Taxation” returns. It can be seen there is no coincidence between them.

Table 4
Declared expenditure values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESIGNATION/Year</th>
<th>NUMBER OF DECLARATIONS</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>INCUMBENT Amounts in millions of Euros</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICT Paid</td>
<td>188 463</td>
<td>217 341</td>
<td>206 936</td>
<td>4 991</td>
<td>4 981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autonomous Taxation</td>
<td>193 158</td>
<td>204 453</td>
<td>203 448</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autonomous Taxation/ICT Paid - %</td>
<td>102,491%</td>
<td>94,070%</td>
<td>98,314%</td>
<td>10,839%</td>
<td>11,403%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CIRC (2023)

2. Methods and materials

Our research is based on the statistical data from the “Autoridade Tributária e Aduaneira” to show the number of companies that pay "Autonomous Taxation" and the total amount of this tax, as well as to know the total amount collected with the revenue of this tax.

In turn, our legal analysis is based on the Portuguese legislation on "Autonomous Taxation", as well as on the doctrine to support our analysis.

Along with the research and the writing of this paper, we applied mainly a qualitative methodology but with strategic and fundamental statistical data, as a key for the study object analysis and the scientific conclusions and paper production.

3. Results

The Portuguese legislator introduced the Corporate Income Tax Code, which taxes the income obtained by companies organized under a corporate form and is, therefore, an "Income Tax", another tax, the "Autonomous Taxation", tax a wide range of situations, which are not income obtained by companies, but expenses incurred by them during the tax year.

What kind of tax is "Autonomous Taxation"? The combination of Article 1.º and Article 3.º of the Corporate Income Tax Code states that the Corporate Income Tax is charged on income obtained from the profit of commercial companies that carry out commercial, industrial, or agricultural activities. So, this means that Corporate Income Tax is a tax levied on the income - taxable profit - obtained by business companies. It remains for us to know the reasons that led the Portuguese legislator to introduce in the Corporate Income Tax Code a tax over certain expenses that companies may incur and after answering this question, to answer the question about what qualification should be given to "Autonomous Taxation".

When the researchers analyze the "2018-2020 Corporate Income Tax Statistic File" prepared by the Portuguese Tax and Customs Authority (Autoridade Tributária e Aduaneira, 2020), we see that for tax purposes, in the three years "2018-2020", 88% of the companies had had an "annual turnover of only up to 500.000€", 8.9% had "annual turnover greater than 500.000€ and up to 2.500.000€", 2.7% had "annual turnover greater than 2.500.000€ and up to 25.000.000€", and only 0.3% of companies in Portugal had "annual turnover greater than 25.000.000€".
The Portuguese business fabric is par excellence made up of micro and small enterprises since they represent 88% of commercial companies. It should be noted that 88% of them can only have an annual turnover of up to 500,000€, which means that their monthly turnover does not reach 41,700€. The consequence of this reality is that there is great confusion between the assets of the company and the legal assets of its partners, who are almost always its managers. In other words, there is confusion between the company's assets and the personal assets of its partners/managers.

This means that the expenses incurred by the company subject to "Autonomous Taxation" are always in doubt as to whether they are incurred exclusively in the interests and needs of the company or whether they are also incurred in the interests of the private life of the partners/managers (Li & Wu, 2022).

These expenses are integrated in a dubious zone between the interest of the private life of their partners/managers and the companies. In this sense, Sanches (2006) states that "the legislator seeks to answer the admittedly difficult question of the tax regime of expenses that are located in the intersection zone between the personal sphere and the corporate sphere, to avoid remunerations in kind that are more attractive for exclusively tax reasons or the hidden distribution of profits", and with which we are in perfect harmony. Palma (2012), explains that "the reasoning for the introduction of this taxation was based on the alleged difficulty in distinguishing between the private and corporate nature of certain expenses, as well as the fact that there were certain forms of income that were not taxed in the persons of their beneficiaries, either because they were not known or because the income could not be accurately determined".

These expenses or costs, from the legislator's point of view, with which we are in absolute agreement, are private expenses of the partners/managers, for their benefit, or the benefit of third parties according to Fernandes (2008), but which were being included in the company's assets and, therefore, were escaping personal taxation on the income of the partners, in the sphere of the "Personal Income Tax".

3.1. Autonomous taxation as an anti-avoidance measure

What we have just analyzed and affirmed leads us to consider that the legislator’s intention with the creation of "Autonomous Taxation" was to combat tax evasion practiced by partners by including in companies’ expenses which were incurred for their benefit and through which they intended to avoid their "Personal Income Tax".

In this sense we fully share the opinion of Fernandes, (2008) in considering that the Portuguese legislator intended with this "Autonomous Taxation" to annul, or at least attenuate, the advantages that the company would have in the reduction of its tax burden under Corporate Income Tax if these expenses were effectively considered.

In fact, with the creation of "Autonomous Taxation", what the legislator has done is what Amorim (2007) argues, among others, when he states that "in the face of this behavior by taxpayers, albeit lawful (taxable), the States have introduced various measures in their legal system to combat tax evasions, such as anti-abuse rules, tax presumptions, and broader legal concepts, to include broader economic realities".

In our opinion, the rules that establish "Autonomous Taxation", and following closely the opinion of Courinha (2004), Martins (2012), and Sanches (2006), are specific anti-abuse rules whose purpose is that companies pay a specific type of tax, the "Autonomous Taxation", for the realization of those concrete situations provided for in their legal rules. The advantage of the creation of this specific anti-abuse rule, the legislator imposes that as soon as those taxable
events that fall within its scope are practiced, there is an automatic application of the concrete anti-abuse rule (Martins, 2012).

3.2. Autonomous taxation as a tax on expenditure

The research has stated above that the expenses or charges that are subject to "Autonomous Taxation" are private expenses of the partners, or for their benefit, or of third parties, Fernandes (2008), that had been included in the company's patrimonial sphere and, therefore, were escaping personal taxation on the income of those partners, that is, they were no longer subject to "Personal Income Tax".

The research has also made it clear that the "Autonomous Taxation" rules, by intending to tax that type of expense in the legal sphere of the company, do nothing more than create anti-taxation rules. Here we will now look at how we should then analyze "Autonomous Taxation within the tripartite context of the conceptualization of taxes on income, expenses, and assets.

Looking into the conceptual analysis in which "Autonomous Taxation" can be framed, several authors have expressed the view that "Autonomous Taxation, although it takes place as corporate income tax, is materially a tax on expenditure and not on income" (Carlos, 2017; Wali, 2021). In his analysis of this same theme, de Medeiros (2017) also argues that "like VAT, AT is a consumption tax, and as a consumption tax it should be treated".

Constitution of the Portuguese Republic - Article 104 - (Taxes) states that:
1. Personal income tax shall aim to reduce inequalities, shall be single and progressive, and shall pay due regard to the needs and incomes of households.
2. Enterprises shall essentially be taxed on their real income.
3. The taxation of assets must contribute to equality between citizens.
4. The taxation of consumption shall aim to adapt the structure of consumption to evolution in the requirements for economic development and social justice and must increase the cost of luxury consumer items.

Article 104 of the Constitution of the Portuguese Republic means that "Autonomous Taxation" is nothing more than a form of taxation of expenses in the legal sphere of the company to compensate, through these special anti-abuse and anti-taxation rules, for the fact that it is unable to tax income that is indirectly obtained through the practice of those expenses that are part of "Autonomous Taxation" in the legal sphere of the shareholders. Therefore, and in conclusion, the taxation created by "Autonomous Taxation" is integrated into the taxation of expenses and, also due to this fact, it is a form of indirect taxation.

4. Conclusion

As it has been demonstrated throughout the paper, the Portuguese business structure in the form of companies is dominated par excellence by micro and small companies, a fact which leads to a mix in the company/corporation between the personal sphere of the partners and that of the company/corporation.

Demonstrative of this interconnection is that, with "Autonomous Taxation" "...the legislator seeks to respond to the admittedly difficult issue of the tax regime of expenses that are in the zone of intersection of the personal sphere and the business context, to avoid remuneration in kind that is more attractive for exclusively tax reasons or the hidden distribution of profits".

It is therefore evident that Portuguese businessmen who choose to set up their companies under the corporate form also include in these companies' expenses that are exclusive of their private sphere, and with this attitude they try, on the one hand, to reduce the tax profit of the companies, and on the other hand, to avoid paying tax on their income.
The Portuguese legislator, to minimize this fiscal impact on the revenues due by the companies, first created a penalization of these attitudes, generating afterward the "Autonomous Taxation" on an enormous set of expenses that started to generate tax revenue that varied between 198 and 568 million Euros, representing between 5.53% in 2007 and 18.99% in 2011, when comparing the relationship between the value in Euros of "Autonomous Taxation" and "CIT paid".

Regarding the integration of "Autonomous Taxation" in the classification of taxes, it is clear that we are dealing with an indirect tax, and given the taxation it carries out, this tax is undoubtedly a tax on expenses. Finally, we conclude that the creation of this type of taxation - "Autonomous Taxation" - is based on the need to respond to tax avoidance carried out by partners/corporate entities/companies, and given this reality faced with anti-abuse rules.

References


