

# New Trends and Issues Proceedings on Humanities and Social Sciences



Issue 2 (2017) 59-64

ISSN 2421-8030

www.prosoc.eu

Selected paper of 7th World Conference on Psychology, Counselling and Guidance (WCPCG 2016) 28 - 30 April 2016, Pine Bay Holiday Resort, Kusadası Izmir, Turkey

# Violence against women and its relationship with self-esteem of married women in Tehran

**Mohammad Hassan Asayesh** <sup>a</sup>\*, Department of Counseling, Allameh Tabatabaei University, Tehran, Iran **Enayatolah Hoseinzei** <sup>b</sup>, Department of Counseling, Islamic Azad University, Marvdasht, Iran **Elaheh Golpasha**<sup>c</sup>, Department of Counseling, University of Tehran, P. O. Box 14155-6456, Tehran, Iran

#### **Suggested Citation:**

Asayesh, M.H., Hoseinzei, E. & Golpasha, E. (2017). Violence against women and its relationship with self-esteem of married women in Tehran. *New Trends and Issues Proceedings on Humanities and Social Sciences*. [Online]. 02, pp 59-64. Available from: www.prosoc.eu

Selection and peer review under responsibility of Prof. Dr. Marilyn Campbell, Queensland University of Technology, Australia

©2017 SciencePark Research, Organization & Counseling. All rights reserved.

#### **Abstract**

The purpose of this study was to determine Relationship between violence against women and self-esteem in women of Tehran. The research method was descriptive. The study population consisted of all married women in Tehran, and the sample consisted of 70 women who were selected by convenience sampling. The questionnaire was consisted of Asayesh & Golpasha Questionnaire on Dimensions of Violence against Women (2016) (AGDVS, 2016), which were completed by subjects. Findings show there is a significant negative relationship between dimensions of violence (economic (p<0/01), hostility (p<0/01), communication (p<0/01), verbal (p<0/05) and total (p<0/01)) and self-esteem. These findings show that dimensions of violence (economic violence, communication, hostility and verbal abuse) are probably more relevant in decreasing of women's self-esteem. This information can be used to interventions and training of family for counselors and therapists in order to promote women's self-esteem.

Keywords: violence; dimensions of violence; violence against women; self-esteem;

<sup>\*</sup> ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: **Mohammad Hassan Asayesh**, Department of Counseling, Allameh Tabatabaei University, Tehran, Iran *E-mail address*: asayesh@ut.ac.ir / Tel.: +98-023-3713-2112

### 1. Introduction

Unhealthy relations between the partners threaten the health of family. Nowadays, there are many evidences pointing to malfunctioned family relations and its associated violence in a family. However, despite mutual relationship between public (society) and private (family) domains, the essence and scale of violence in families are different. Family violence is a tool for consolidation of power and domination which tarnishes not only peace and security in a family, but human dignity and esteem (Zadeh & Fard, 2006).

Some consider violence as an act contrary to norms and nature. Some others regard it an individual or collective behavior which emerges in some specific situations. A third group defines it as any rough action intended to hurt someone. According to some others, violence is a spectrum of harsh and damaging behaviors which elicits negative reactions such as inattention and inadvertence (Mohebi, 2001).

Violence may be considered as an emotional and damaging act that a person commit in pursuance of his/her objectives; besides physical dimensions, it may also include psychological (obscenity, contempt, isolation, screaming, sexual abuse, assault) as well as economic dimensions (breaking home appliances) (Car, 2008).

Given to existing definitions, violence against women may be segmented into physical, psychological, sexual and economic dimensions. Physical violence is employed for physical abuse and harm and includes beating, torture, punching, biting, slapping, suffocating, snickersnee, shooting, burying alive and murder. Psychological violence is any rough act or word that may endanger mental health of another person and includes undue cavil, humiliation, obscenity, threatening to divorce and restriction of freedoms (Hanson & Wallace-Capretta, 2004).

Sexual violence is any rough behavior leading to sexual abuse and harm including physical harassment, phone harassment, prostitution and forced intercourse during pregnancy or menstruation (Nelson et al. 2004). Economic violence is any rough behavior aiming financial discrimination and pressure against another person inclusive of nonfeasance to meet financial needs of family and sale of home appliances. Non-payment of alimony, niggardliness, forced seizure of wife's salary, nonfeasance to meet basic needs of family members and misuse or confiscation of wife's properties are some examples of economic violence (Mohebi, 2001).

According to American Medical Association (AMA), nearly 5% of women experience different dimensions of violence during their life. According to findings, in 13.8% of marriages the wife experiences severe violence (Daton, 1988; cited in Khosravi, et al. 2010). Such statistics and other global statistics indicate that some women are abused by other members of family and suffer different dimensions of physical and psychological violence which bring about adverse consequences for women as well as their family (WHO, 2008; cited in Khosravi & Khaqani Fard, 2010). Therefore, this issue should be among research, medical and legal priorities.

An important psychological construct affecting personal and inter-personal functioning is self-esteem. Self-esteem is the person's feeling of worthiness and acceptance (Tajvidi, & Ziqami Mohamadi, 2012). People with low self-esteem are potentially prone to violence and aggression. Along these lines, studies have suggested that there is a significant negative relation between self-esteem and aggression. Moreover, low self-esteem plays the most influential role in predicting aggression among adolescents (Shafie & Safarinia, 2011). Qeshlaqi (2011) reported that there is a correlation between self-esteem and aggression in adolescents. Shahedifar, et al. (2009) reported a significant difference in family self-esteem of two groups of subjects, namely with and without experiencing violence between the parents, in that the former exhibits higher self-esteem.

The studies show that violence against women is an obstacle in the way of equality, development and peace. Violence violates women's human rights or deprives them of their fundamental rights, so

as long-standing failure in maintenance and improvement of these rights as well as prevalence of violence against women have concerned many countries. A main specification of violence against women is its hidden nature, both for families and governments (Car, 2008). Given to aforementioned, dealing with the issue of violence against women is seemingly critical. The present study aims to explore different dimensions of violence in married women of Tehran and analyze its relation with their self-esteem. The main hypothesis of the study is "there is a relation between violence against women and their self-esteem in the society."

#### 2. Method

The current study is a correlational descriptive research. The population is all married women of 4th municipal district of Tehran. The sample contains 100 married women aged 20-60 with an average age of 35, of which 30 subjects were omitted due to incomplete questionnaires. Convenience sampling was employed for the study.

### **2.1.**Tools

# 2.1.1. Asayesh & Golpasha Questionnaire on Dimensions of Violence against Women (2016)

As a 60-item questionnaire in the form of Likert spectrum (high, relatively high, medium, relatively low, low), it has been made by Asayesh and Golpasha (2016) to identify different dimensions of violence against women. The questionnaire explores 7 dimensions: 1) physical violence (items 1-10); 2) economic violence (items 11-20); 3) sexual violence (items 21-30); 4) verbal violence (items 31-40); 5) psychological violence (items 41-50); 6) hostility (items 51-55); 7) communication violence (items 56-60).

Validity: Formal and content validity of the questionnaire was approved by experts. Moreover, the subscales have high correlation with each other as well as with the total score of violence against women. It shows any subscale deals with a specific variable (Asayesh & Golpasha, 2016).

Reliability: Based on Cronbach's alpha, the reliability of the questionnaire turned out to be 0.98%, pointing to appropriate internal consistency of it (Asayesh & Golpasha, 2016).

# 2.1.2. Eysenck self-esteem questionnaire (1976)

Eysenck self-esteem questionnaire (1976) has 30 items to which subjects should reply with mere "yes" or "no" as much as possible. If not possible, the middle choice, the question mark (?), should be selected.

Reliability: Hormozi Nejad (2001) in a research on students of Shahid Chamran University, Ahvaz, Iran, computed reliability coefficients of self-esteem questionnaire using three methods of split-half, Cronbach's alpha and Guttman. Reliability coefficients of self-esteem questionnaire were desirable and satisfying. Using Cronbach's alpha, Basak Nejad (2006) also reported reliability of 0.87%, 0.88% and 0.84% for all subjects, female subjects and male subjects, respectively. By the same token, the present study calculated a reliability of 0.89% for this scale using Cronbach's alpha method.

Validity: Hormozi Nejad (2001) employed construct validity method to evaluate reliability of the questionnaire. He reported validity coefficient of 0.74% and 0.79% for female and male students, respectively. He also performed Izenk self-esteem test and Ahvaz self-esteem scale simultaneously on two female and male samples of students of Shahid Chamran University and reported validity coefficients of 0.79% and 0.74% for female sample and male sample, respectively. Both are significant

at the level of p<0.001. Findings of his study suggest that this test enjoys desirable and satisfying psychometric properties.

# 3. Findings

# 3.1. Descriptive findings

Table 1 shows different dimensions of violence against women and its descriptive information.

**Variables** Mean Standard Sample Deviation population Physical violence 2.6571 6.45359 70 Economic violence 5.8571 6.82978 70 Sexual violence 70 2.2429 4.86465 70 Psychological violence 6.2857 8.31646 70 Hostility 4.0571 4.62158 Communication violence 70 3.5429 4.45813 Verbal violence 8.8000 10.93803 70 Total score of violence 70 33.4429 42.03609 Self-esteem 21.4000 5.35250 70

Table 1. Mean and Standard Deviation of Dimensions of Violence and Other Variables

As mentioned, the present study considers 7 dimensions for violence: physical, economic, sexual, verbal, psychological, communication and hostility.

# 3.2. Inferential findings

Table 2 shows inferential findings inclusive of correlation between research variables (violence and its dimensions and self-esteem).

Table 2. Correlation Between Research Variables (violence and its dimensions and self-esteem).

Variables	Total violence	Verbal violence	Communication violence	Hostility	Psychological violence	Sexual violence	Economic violence	Physical violence
Self-	254 <sup>*</sup>	31**	276 <sup>*</sup>	251 <sup>*</sup>	164	166	237 <sup>*</sup>	167
esteem		51	270	231			237	
-0./05	** .0/04							

Findings of Table 2 show there is a significant negative relationship between dimensions of violence (economic, hostility, communication, verbal and total) and self-esteem.

# 4. Discussion

Violence against women is an important topic in sociological and psychological studies. Considering significance of this topic in both national and international levels, the present study aimed to study different dimensions of violence against women exercised by men.

Main hypothesis: there exists a relation between violence against women and their self-esteem.

Findings of present study point to a significant negative relation between dimensions of violence (economic, hostility, communication, verbal and total) and self-esteem, which are in line with findings of previous studies. One of the most important psychological constructs affecting personal and inter-

personal functioning is self-esteem. Self-esteem is the person's feeling of worthiness and acceptance (Tajvidi & Ziqami Mohamadi, 2012). People with low self-esteem are potentially prone to violence and aggression. Along these lines, studies have suggested that there is a significant negative relation between self-esteem and aggression. Moreover, low self-esteem plays the most influential role in predicting aggression among adolescents (Shafie & Safarinia, 2011). Qeshlaqi (2011) reported that there is a correlation between self-esteem and aggression in adolescents. Shahedifar, et al. (2009) reported a significant difference in family self-esteem of two groups of subjects, namely with and without experiencing violence between the parents, in that the former exhibits higher self-esteem.

We can conclude from this study that family is a refuge and shelter for all its members and lack of domestic peace considerably disturbs its functioning. According to findings of this study, with increased violence against women their mental health and self-esteem decrease which would consequently emerge in the form of physical problems, depression, anxiety and insomnia. Furthermore, it decreases women's self-esteem in the family and society. Hence, given to women's indisputable role in the family, it can be predicted that marital satisfaction and peace in the family would be decreased, negatively influencing the quality of communication between family members and development of children.

So, it's necessary to arrange multiple educational, advisory and intervention programs at different levels and demand national and local media to play a more active role in training life skills to families, especially women.

### 5. Limitations

- 1. Due to special nature of the study and its items on violence and sexual issues, female subjects' cooperation with the researcher was low.
- 2. The small size of sample population decreases its generalizability.
- 3. We could not reach husbands of our subjects to review their personality dimensions and mental health. Moreover, we couldn't verify replies made by the subjects.

### 6. Further Studies

- 1. To achieve more precise data, we propose further studies with wider samples and use of stratified cluster sampling.
- 2. It is proposed to perform the study within different cultural groups and then consider inter-cultural comparison.
- 3. It is proposed to perform a study on relation between structural determinants of health and violent actions in men.

## References

- Arefi, M. (2003). A descriptive study of domestic violence against women in Urmia, Iran. *Motaleat Zana*, Year 1, No. 2.
- Asayesh, M.H. & Golpasha, E. (2016). Dimensions of violence against women: Women in Tehran-IRAN. 2 nd International Conference on Behavioral science and Social studies. Istanbul, Turkey.
- AzamZadeh, M., & DehqanFard, R. (2006). The role of gender socialization, resources available to women and family relations. *Pazhohesh Zanan Magazines*, Period 4, No. 1-2.
- BasakNejad, S. (2006). A review of simple and multi-faceted relations of self-esteem, perfectionism, defensive self-presentation, fear of success and fear of negative assessment with imposter syndrome

- on higher education students of Shahid Chamran University. Ahvaz, PhD Thesis, General Psychology, Faculty of Education and Psychology, Shahid Chamran University.
- Car, M. (2008). A study on violence against women in Iran. Tehran: Roshangaran and Motaleat Zanan Publications.
- Dadsetan, P. (1998). Tension or stress, new modern disease, Tehran: Roshd.
- Fatahi, M. (2000). A study of violence against women in Tehran's families. MA Thesis, Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Tehran.
- Golpasha, E. (2013). A review of the role of religious beliefs in predicting mental health and aggression among students of University of Medical Sciences. BA Thesis, Faculty of Education and Psychology, University of Tehran.
- Henson, R. K. and Wallace\_Capretta, S. (2004) predictors of criminal Recidivism among Male Batterers. *Psychology, crim. And Low,* 10 (4), 413-427.
- Hormozinejad, M. (2001). Simple and multi-faceted relations of self-esteem, social anxiety and perfectionism with self-presentation in students of Ahvaz Shahid Chamran University. MA Thesis, Educational Psychology, Faculty of Education and Psychology, Ahvaz Shahid Chamran University.
- Khosravi, Z. (2003). Rules of gender psychology. Tehran: Qasideh Sara Publications.
- Khosravi, Z. & KhaqaniFard, M. (2010). A study of predicting factors of violence against women in two groups of women referring to courts and women of control group. Woman in Development and Politics (Pazhohesh Zanan), Period 8, No. 3.
- Mohebi, F. (2001). Social pathology of women (violence against women). *Ketab Zanan Quarterly*, Year 5, No. 14.
- Nelson, H., Nygren, P., Mcinerney, P., and klein, J. (2004). Screening women and elderly adults for family and intimate partner violence: A review of the evidence for the U.S. preventive services task force. *Clinical Guidelines*, 140 (5): 380-392.
- Norbala, Ahmad A., Baqeri Yazdi, A. & Muhammad, K. (2001). Validation of GHQ-28 in Tehran. Hakim Research Journal, Period 11, No. 4.
- Qeshlaqi, S. (2011). A study of relations between self-esteem and aggression in female adolescents of Tabriz. *Tabriz University of Medical Sciences*.
- Shafiei, H., & Safarinia, M. (2011). Narcissism, self-esteem and aggression dimensions in adolescents. *Farhang Moshavereh and Ravan Darmani Magazine*, No. 6.
- Shahedifar, N., SharifianSani, M., SetarehForozan, A. & Biglarian, A. (2009). Facing physical violence among parents and its relations with self-esteem. *Refah Ejtemai (Sociial Welfare) Magazine*, No. 34.
- ShakeriNia, I. (2010). Relations of personality characteristics, mental health and aggression with driving habits of risky drivers. *Yazd Shahid Sadoqi University of Medical Sciences*, Period 18, No. 3.
- Tajvidi, M & Ziqami, Sh (2012). Feeling of loneliness, hopelessness and self-esteem in adolescents with Thalassemia Major. *Khon Quarterly*, *9* (1). 36-43.
- Tovfiqi, G. (2007). A comparative review of mental health and personality characteristics in fertile and infertile couples referring to Navid Center. MA Thesis, Allameh Tabatabaei University.
- Wallace, H. (2004). Family violence: Legal medical, and social perspective. Allyn Bacon.