

## The effect of training individuals with mild intellectual disability in scaffolding strategies and computer software on their generalization skills

**Farah Jalloul**, Faculty of Education-Deanship, Lebanese University, Deanery, Beirut, Lebanon

**Badrie ELDaou\***, Faculty of Education-Deanship, Lebanese University, Beirut, Lebanon.

### Suggested Citation:

Jalloul, F. & ELDaou, B. (2016). The effect of training individuals with mild intellectual disability in scaffolding strategies and computer software on their generalization skills. *World Journal on Educational Technology: Current Issues*. 8(3), 277-293.

Received July 25, 2016; revised August 29, 2016; accepted September 19, 2016.

Selection and peer review under responsibility of Assoc. Prof. Dr. Fezile Ozdamli, Near East University.

©2016 SciencePark Research, Organization & Counseling. All rights reserved.

---

### Abstract

**Objective:** The study aimed at investigating the effect of scaffolding strategies as thinking out loud and verbalization of instructions strategies on the generalization skills of language-related concepts in mildly intellectual disabled students.

**Method:** Twenty-seven subjects in three treatments were trained in solving a pattern of the Thurston letter series task. Group1 students received language concepts through training in verbal instructions and thinking out loud strategy.

Group 2 students received language concepts training through computers. Group 3 students received training in language concepts through thinking out loud, verbal instructions and computer software. Pre-training measures to subjects' chronological age, Verbal Analogies Test and training trials were collected. Training measures pertaining to acquisition, maintenance and generalization of pattern completion tasks were collected. Mean scores and standard deviations were obtained for each dependent measure.

**Results:** The treatment effect was studied by a series of one way ANOVAS and a tukey post hoc test, which revealed that there was a significant difference between group1 and group 2, since the difference between the means ( $m_1 - m_3$ ) = -2.556 and  $p = 0.000$ . Also, the difference is shown between group 2 and group 3 since the difference between the means ( $m_2 - m_3$ ) = -2.333 and  $p = 0.000$  and in both cases  $p$  is less than 0.05. Moreover, group 3 had a higher mean ( $M = 3.89$ ) than group2 ( $M = 1.56$ ) and group1 ( $M = 1.33$ ).

---

\*ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: **Badrie ELDaou**, Faculty of Education-Deanship, Lebanese University, Beirut, Lebanon.

E-mail address: [badriyadaw@yahoo.com](mailto:badriyadaw@yahoo.com)

Conclusion: Group 3 had the ability to become self-regulated by solving the untrained letter patterns. Thus, we can conclude that training in scaffolding strategies, such as thinking out loud and verbalization of instruction strategies, helped individuals with an intellectual disability to acquire metacognitive strategies. This enabled them to generalize the learning experience and become self-regulated and better problem solvers. Also, using a computer program promoted the use of metacognitive strategies. Once students internalize target strategies, they can transfer these to second language patterns; therefore, it enhances the generalization of learning individuals with an intellectual disability. Recommendations for further research were discussed.

Keywords: Metacognitive strategies, intellectual disability, language related- concepts, thinking out loud; computer training, verbal instructions, scaffolding strategy.

## 1. Introduction and Background of the Problem

The APA's fifth revision of its DSM-5 and the WHO in the 11th edition of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-11) revised their terminology (APA, 2013). Thus, the ICD-11 working group proposes replacing mental retardation with intellectual developmental disorders (IDDs), a term it defines as '*a group of developmental conditions characterized by significant impairment of cognitive functions, which are associated with limitations of learning, adaptive behavior and skills*' (Salvador-Carulla, Reed, Vaez-Azizi, Cooper, Leal, Bertelli, Adnams, Cooray, Deb, Dirani, Girimaji, Katz, Kwok, Luckasson, Simeonsson, Walsh, Munir & Saxena, 2011). The new term proposed for DSM-5 is intellectual disability (ID/IDD) (as used by the American Association on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities (AAIDD))(APA,2013).

Critical components of intelligence proposed in both DSM-5 and the ICD-11 are verbal comprehension, working memory, perceptual reasoning and cognitive efficacy (Salvador-Carulla, Reed GM, Vaez-Azizi, et al, 2011). The diagnosis in DSM-5 will emphasize both clinical judgment and standardized intelligence testing; however, less emphasis is expected to be placed on the IQ score, but greater emphasis will be placed on the adaptive reasoning in academic, social and practical settings. The requirement for both intellectual deficits and adaptive deficits that fail to meet the standards for personal independence are proposed to remain in DSM-5, with greater emphasis on linking intellectual deficits to adaptive deficits through adaptive reasoning in the three domains listed (Salvador-Carulla, Reed GM, Vaez-Azizi, et al, 2011).

Based on the impairment of cognitive functions, as mentioned in DSM-5, individuals with intellectual disability are unable to use language and communication to exert control over their environment (Bryen & Goce, 1985; as cited by EL-Daw, 1997). In this regard, Vygotsky argues that cognitive functions such as generalization and abstraction are difficult to carry out in the absence of language (Shunk, 1991; as cited by EL-Daw, 1997). Similarly, Luria (1963; as cited by EL-Daw, 1997) links the development of self-regulation to language since they cannot use language efficiently to mediate learning experience (1963; as cited by EL-Daw, 1997). Likewise, Whitman (1990; as cited by EL-Daw, 1997) viewed the delayed language development in individuals with intellectual disability as a self-regulatory disorder. Accordingly, "*self-regulation strategies allow individuals to set goals for their learning and then attempt to monitor, regulate and control their cognition, motivation and behaviour, guided and constrained by their goals and the contextual features in the environment*" (Pintrich, 2000). In other words, self-regulated learning is often conceived as a cyclical model (e.g., Zimmerman, 1999). Equally, Winne (1996) describes self-regulated learning "*as metacognitively governed behaviour where learners adaptively regulate their use of cognitive tactics and strategies in tasks*" (p. 327). Hence, an adaptive regulation of self-regulated learning requires goals. In order to perceive discrepancies between a current state and a goal, a learner has to monitor his/her own behaviour. This is related to Bandura's self-regulation theory which emphasizes self-observation, self-regulation, understanding the role of the goals and self-efficiency (Bandura, 1997). Similarly, these ideas are viewed from an information processing perspective, in which self-regulation is the ability to guess the goals, identify the problems, solve them correctly and think critically to reach the objectives

(Lutz & Huitt, 2003). Moreover, self-regulation is based on learning how to generalize concepts and use them in life span (Ablard & Lipschultz, 1998). Consequently, working with individuals with an intellectual disability to increase their self-regulation depends on teaching them language concepts, since language helps them to think, solve problems and control themselves (King, State & Shah, 1997).

Moreover, Mukallid (1991) and EL-Daw (1997) stated in their studies that language concepts, such as before/after, between and verbal instructions encourage individuals to become problem solvers in new situations. In fact, intellectually disabled individuals are unable to face a problem and solve it easily. Hence, they need training to help them solve a problem and understand new situations (Armatas, 2009). Training helps them to become problem solvers since they are able to use old information and techniques in new problems (Batshaw & Perret, 1992). Therefore, the purpose of the study is to examine the effect of scaffolding teaching strategies in training language-related concepts using verbal instructions, thinking out loud strategies and computer software on problem solving and generalization skills in students with an intellectual disability. More specifically, this study was conducted during the academic year 2014-2015 to answer the following hypotheses:

The general hypothesis of the study was that the scaffolding strategies' training will improve the acquisition, maintenance, generalization of pattern completion tasks and help in developing problem solving and generalization skills for individuals with an intellectual disability.

1. The acquisition of pattern completion tasks, as measured by the number of training trials, will differ in the three treatment groups. Group1 involves language related concepts training through verbal instructions and thinking out loud strategies. Group2 involves language related concepts training through computer software. Group3 involves language related concepts training through verbal instructions, thinking out loud and computer software strategies.
2. The maintenance of pattern completion tasks, as measured by the number of letters and number of problems will differ in the three groups.
3. The generalization of pattern completion tasks as measured by the number of problems will differ in the three groups.

### 1.1. Significance of the study

According to Raymond (2000), Vygotsky defined scaffolding instruction as the role of teachers and others in supporting the learner's development and providing support structures to get to that next stage or level (Raymond, 2000). As described by Vygotsky, scaffolding instructions are temporary. Chang, Sung and Chen (2002) stated, that as the learner's abilities increase, the scaffolding provided by the more knowledgeable other is progressively withdrawn when the learner is able to complete the task or master the concepts independently. Therefore, Hartman (2002) claimed that when using the scaffolding teaching strategy, the student becomes an independent and self-regulating learner and a problem solver. In this regard, Raymond (2000) mentioned that the external scaffolds provided by the educator can be removed because the learner has developed more sophisticated cognitive systems, such as language. Hence, the system of knowledge itself becomes part of the scaffold or social support for the new learning (Raymond, 2000).

Accordingly, language skills are critical for creating meaning and linking new ideas to past experiences and prior knowledge. According to Vygotsky, internalized skills or psychological tools are used to gain mastery over one's own behavior and cognition (Hamilton and Ghatala, 1994). Primary among these tools is the "*development of speech and its relation to thought*" (Hamilton & Ghatala, 1994).

Feden & Vogel (1993) maintained that language, as viewed by Vygotsky, plays a central role in cognitive development. Vygotsky argued that language was the tool for determining the ways a child learns 'how' to think'. That is because complex concepts are conveyed to the child through words.

Learning, according to Vygotsky (1962), always involves some type of external experience being transformed into internal processes through the use of language (Feden & Vogel, 1993). Moreover, speech and language are the primary tools used to communicate with others, promoting learning.

Akhutine et al (1993) claimed that language is the primary means of communication that helps every human being to think, build ideas, control behavior and increase self-esteem. Also, Alkamesh (2011) stated that individuals with an intellectual disability face several problems in using language and communicating with others in the environment. In this regard, many researchers, such as Vygotsky (1962) and Luria (1963), believe that language is related to self-regulation. One of the essential main beliefs of the individuals' mental development is based on the process of objective activity and communication with adults (Luria, 2002). Others insist that intellectually disabled individuals cannot use language easily to communicate and learn how to be self-regulated. So, it is necessary to work with them to teach them how to be independent and self-regulated (Vygotsky, 1997). Therefore, Schunk & Zimmerman (1998) mentioned that self-regulation strategies help intellectually disabled individuals to estimate their behavior, work independently, generalize and develop their cognitive functions. Accordingly, Kotik-Friedgut (2006) emphasized that training intellectually disabled individuals is precious, since it helps them establish concepts and use them in real life independently.

As a result, language plays a basic role in developing self-regulation and generalizing behaviors, especially with intellectually disabled individuals.

## 1.2 Description of key terms

1. Scaffolding instruction: It is a teaching strategy originating from Lev Vygotsky's sociocultural theory and his concept of the 'zone of proximal development' (ZPD). "The zone of proximal development is the distance between what children can do by themselves and the next learning that they can be helped to achieve with competent assistance" (Raymond, 2000, p.176). The scaffolding teaching strategy provides individualized support based on the learner's ZPD (Chang, Sung & Chen, 2002). In scaffolding instruction, a more knowledgeable other provides scaffolds, or supports, to facilitate the learner's development. In this study, scaffolding instructions represent direct instructions to model the trainer's thinking out loud strategy and to verbalize thoughts while solving the Thurston series completion tasks.
2. Language concepts: DISTAR language is, an acronym for Direct Instruction System for Teaching Arithmetic and Reading, a trademarked program of SRA/McGraw-Hill, a commercial publishing company. The program is used particularly for historically disadvantaged and/or at-risk students. It is used in this study to teach the individuals some concepts, such as same/different, in front of, before/after and between, until the main concepts are achieved. These concepts help the individuals understand strategies to solve problems (Jalloul, 2015).
3. Problem solving: This section increases the individuals' capacity to plan, think and predict to solve any problem and reach the goal. It helps the individuals examine their behavior while learning and discovering new concepts. In this study, subjects are required to solve patterns using letters (EL-Daw, 1997; Robert, 1992).
4. Pattern completion tasks: The Thurston series completion tasks are used in this study. Therefore, individuals learn to solve and discover certain patterns using letters according to a specific system (EL-Daw, 1997).
5. Thinking out loud strategy instruction: The strategy here is solving an identity pattern, which is the repetition of the letters according to a specific rule. Individuals should discover the rule and continue the pattern correctly among the letters in the alphabet. For example, to solve the identity pattern, the trainer should teach the individuals how to discover the rule by reading it out loud and knowing the order of the letters (Kay, 1999).

6. Maintenance: It is the process in which the learners keep hold of information to be used in different circumstances. It shows when the learners recognize and transform their ideas in the given materials and strategies (Nikhil, 2009). In this study, subjects are asked to solve 6 problems under the identity pattern (Jalloul, 2015).
7. Generalization: This step includes the ability of subjects to recognize the fact that same situations require same responses and that different situations require different responses. So, individuals will be able to solve untrained situations/patterns correctly after training the general rule of the identity pattern (Fouse & Wheeler, 1997). In this study, generalization is defined as the ability of students to solve untrained and more complex patterns.

## 2. Method

### 2.1. Subjects

The subjects in this study were 27 educable, mild intellectually disabled students. Subjects were selected randomly from a special education school in Beirut, Lebanon. The school is functioning primarily on the contributions of social organizations and charity donations. Most subjects represented a low range of the social economic continuum in Lebanon. The mean age of the samples across all experimental conditions is 10.33. Subjects were assessed by a clinical psychologist to measure their IQ's, as revealed in their school profiles, which ranged between 55 and 75 on a modified version of the WISC culturally adapted for the use with Lebanese children. This means that they can acquire knowledge and languages. Subjects did not have any speech problems and had acquired the Arabic alphabet (Jalloul, 2015).

Table1. Description of sample

Age	Frequency	Percentage
9	4	14.8
10	13	48.1
11	7	25.9
12	3	11.1
Total	27	100.0

(Jalloul, 2015).

### 2.2. Procedure

Assignment of subjects to groups: The subjects in this study were assigned at random to three groups before training. Group1 students received the language concepts through verbal instructions. Group2 students received language concepts through computers. Group3 students received the language concepts through verbal instructions and computers.

Trainer: The trainer was a female graduate from the Lebanese University, Faculty of Education-Deanery, working towards her master's degree in special education during the academic year 2014-2015. Training with the subjects was conducted once per week for 2 months. Subjects were trained in language concepts and patterns completion. The training process was supervised and controlled by an educational psychologist, the researcher. This study was divided into three phases: pre-strategy; strategy training and maintenance testing; post-strategy training or testing for generalization.

Reinforcement: Reinforcement learning is learning what to do and how to map situations to actions. Individuals are not told which actions to take. They must discover the correct ones. In this study, there are different methods of reinforcement. Using the computer, such as games, videos and songs, is one of

the basic reinforcements that helps individuals enjoy learning and become more interested in what they are doing,. In addition, stickers, gifts (pencils, erasers, sharpeners) and reward charts were used. Videos, games and songs are used after each session. Stickers are used according to the students' answers during the session and when they collect 10 stickers, they choose a gift. Each group in this study has its own reinforcement tools. Group1 has stickers, reward charts and gifts. Group 2 has videos, songs and computer games. Group3 has stickers, reward charts, gifts and the computer (Jalloul, 2015).

Per training measures: Descriptive statistics were obtained on subjects' ages, verbal analogies test score, letter recognition scores and pattern completion task scores.

### *2.3. Description of phases*

Following (Mukallid, 1991; EL-Daw, 1997), the study was divided into three phases: pre-strategy, strategy training and maintenance testing, post-strategy training or testing for generalization.

Phase I shows the language training. After different assessments, the trainer taught each group, with 9 students in each group, for 20 minutes per week until the subjects could recognize the following concepts: same/different, before/after and between. The training was performed after coordinating with the school's principal and teachers.

Phase II represents the training and maintenance testing, in which the trainer worked with the students in group activities at first, then with each student individually. In this phase, students were taught 6 letters for 20 minutes per week and then were asked to identify the pattern using big flashcards and games.

Phase III represents testing the generalization after the maintenance phase, through working individually with each student that had a test of 4 identity pattern problems.

### *2.4. Description of materials*

Certain sections of the Distar Language I program (Engelmann& Osborn; as cited by Mukallid, 1991; EIDaw, 1997) were used for the language related concepts training. The following Distar language concepts (same, different, before, after & between) were trained to teach pattern completion tasks (Mukallid, 1991). Also, Arabic letters in the form of an alphabet train, flash cards, toys and games were used to teach the subjects Arabic letters. Pattern completion tasks, games and toys were used first. Then, the Arabic alphabets were used so they can form and complete the patterns according to the alphabet in the form of a train (Jalloul, 2015). This material is basically a series of exercise sheets with pictures and questions arranged to allow scaffolding instructions, direct teaching and practice so that mastery of the taught concepts was achieved. Hence, the trainer uses direct instructions and gives an opportunity for students to think out loud and verbalize their answers. The trainer will guide independent practice using corrective feedback and reinforcement. This program builds up high levels of gaining time and increasing students' motivation (WWC intervention report, 2007). In this study, subjects were taught the concepts before/after, between, in front of and same /different using educational games, pictures, worksheets and videos.

Verbal analogies test: It is a sub-test used as an intelligence scale for Lebanese children (Atiyeh, 1972; as cited by EL-Daw, 1997; Mukallid, 1991). It was standardized for the Lebanese children between 4 to 12 years. This test measured one kind of logical reasoning among children. The child was given a part of a sentence and s/he should continue the second part with a logical answer based on the first part. For example, my mom is a woman, my dad is a..... . This test was applied verbally on each student individually.

Pattern completion tasks: In this study, the Thurston letter series was used to teach the subjects to learn how to discover the pattern and solve it using letters. The task, as described by Kotovesky and Simon (1973; as cited by Mukallid, 1991; ELDaw, 1997), requires the learner to discover the pattern in

each string and expand upon it. Kotovesky and Simon demonstrated that three alphabetic rules were employed in this task: Identity (I), Next (N), and Backward-next. The identity (I), where a letter is repeated, reflects the concept 'same'. The subjects should continue the pattern correctly, such as ABAB....., in which the two letters A and B were repeated. The next (N) pattern, where the next letter in the alphabet occurs, was also taught. This reflects the regular relation of letters to each other in the alphabet. Pre-training of the identify pattern took place to ensure the treatment effect. Then, in the maintenance phase, testing 6 patterns were used with specific letters. In addition, 4 patterns were used in the generalization. Testing differed from those who used training and maintenance testing in letters and task demand. Two were constructed by Mukallid (1991) related to alphabetical letters and the remaining two were constructed by Jalloul (2015) general (boy, girl...)

Scaffolding Instructions: A set of problem solving instructions was prepared and reported in Mukallid's study for solving the two training patterns (I) and (N). Instructions are based on Simon's (1976; as cited by Mukallid, 1991) and Batterfield's (1983, as cited by Mukallid, 1991) analysis of the rules for solving letter series. The problem solving strategies were applied with the 3 groups, in which they should discover the relation between the letters given in order to solve the pattern correctly. This was taken from Mukallid's (1991) study for solving the identified pattern. The same concepts and patterns were taught to the three groups, but it differs in the way it was given to each group (verbal instruction, computer, verbal instruction and computer at the same time).

### 3. Variables

#### 3.1. Independent variables:

The type of instruction involves three levels:

- a. Group 1 students received language concepts through verbal instructions.
- b. Group 2 students received language concepts through computers.
- c. Group 3 students received language concepts through verbal instructions and computers.

#### 3.2. Dependent measures

Acquisition: It was measured by the number of training trials required by the acquisition of each one of the trained patterns.

Maintenance: It was measured by the number of letters correctly filled in the right blank for each of the tested patterns and the number of problems solved correctly by each of the tested patterns.

Generalization: It was measured by the number of problems solved correctly by each one of the tested patterns.

#### 3.3. Scoring & Data analysis

The number of training trails for acquisition was counted for each subject on each of the trained patterns. The number of letters and the number of problems filled correctly by each subject was counted for the trained patterns on the maintenance and generalization testing.

Descriptive statistics were obtained on each of the dependent measures. Separate one way analysis of variance was performed on each dependent measure (acquisition, maintenance and generalization). Every one way analysis of variance was followed by t-test to compare the effects of pairs of treatments.

#### 4. Results and Discussion

The general expectation in this study was that students who received language related concepts training through scaffolding instructions by means of thinking out loud, verbal instructions and computers showed better maintenance and generalization performance on pattern completion tasks than the students who received language concepts through computers or verbal instructions.

##### 4.1. Pretraining measures

The samples of this study were 27 students, whose age ranged between 9 and 12 and their mean age is 10.33, with a mild intellectual disability. Pre-training results relating to Atiyeh's test, a verbal analogy test(VAT), letter acquisition and problem solving showed that there was no significant difference among the three groups. Atiyeh's verbal analogy test (VAT) was administered to students prior to training experience to test the difference in their verbal reasoning ability. Results showed that there is no significant difference among the 3 groups concerning the verbal analogies test grade as determined by the one way ANNOVA; group 1 (M= 23.33, SD= 1.87), group 2 (M= 24.22, SD= 1.302), group 3 (M= 24.22, SD= 1.093),  $df = (2, 24)$ ,  $f = 1.113$  and  $p = 0.345$  which is greater than 0.05. Regarding the number of letters and number of problems solved before training, one way ANOVA revealed  $df = (2, 24)$ ,  $f = 0.242$  and  $p = 0.787$  which is greater than 0.05.

Also, results indicated that there is no significant difference among the 3 groups regarding the number of patterns filled correctly before training. One way ANOVA revealed  $df = (2, 24)$ ,  $f = 0.125$  and  $p = 0.883$ , which is greater than 0.05. Accordingly, results regarding acquisition, maintenance and generalization of letters were caused by the treatment given to each group, such as the presence or absence of the use of computers or verbal instructions.

Table.2 Pretraining measures: Verbal Analogy Test (VAT), (Jalloul, 2015)

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
1	9	23.33	1.871	21	26
2	9	24.22	1.302	22	26
3	9	24.22	1.093	23	26
Total	27	23.93	1.466	21	26



#### 4.2. Training measures

Acquisition: Results in table 2 revealed a significant difference among the groups regarding the acquisition. A tukey post hoc test revealed that there was a significant difference between group1 and group 2, since the difference between the means is  $(m1- m3) = -4.000$  and  $p= 0.000$ . Also, the difference is shown between group 2 and 3 since the difference between the means is  $(m2- m3) = -3.778$  and  $p= 0.000$  and in both cases  $p$  is less than 0.05. Findings indicated that group1 students needed more training trials in order to solve the letter pattern correctly, similar to group2 who received concepts through computers. The reason for such results is the fact that group1 needed more motivation and practice through computers and group2 faced problems in using and working on the computer. On the contrary, group3 had the chance to receive concepts through computer and verbal instruction at the same time. This showed that students with a mild intellectual disability are capable of acquiring these concepts when they are trained in two ways, verbal instruction and computer (Jalloul,2015).

Table3. A tukey post hoc test: GroupI-GroupJ

(I) group	(J) group	Mean Difference (I-J)	Sig.
1	2	-.222	.864
	3	-4.000*	.000
2	1	.222	.864
	3	-3.778*	.000
3	1	4.000*	.000
	2	3.778*	.000

#### 4.3. Maintenance

Results in this study confirmed a significant difference among the three groups regarding the number of letters and problems solved correctly, since  $p$  was equal to 0.000 which is less than 0.05.

Number of letters: Results proved that there was a significant difference among the three groups regarding recognition of letters. Statistical analysis showed the difference among the groups;  $df = (2, 24)$ ,  $f = 54.578$  and  $p = 0.000$ , which is less than 0.05. Also, table4 represents a tukey post hoc test which shows that there was a significant treatment effect between group 3 ( $M = 4.89$ ) and group 1 ( $M = 1.00$ ), since  $(m1- m3) = -4.000$  and  $p = 0.000$ . Also, the difference is shown between group 2 and 3, since the difference between the means  $(m2- m3) = -3.778$  and  $p = 0.000$  and in both cases  $p$  is less than 0.05. Moreover, statistical analysis in table5 shows that group3 had a higher mean score ( $M = 4.89$ ) than group 2 ( $M = 1.00$ ) and group 1 ( $M = 0.89$ ). This, also, insists on the difference between the groups. So, these results proved that group3 students acquired metacognitive skills when they were instructed to think aloud and verbalize their strategies of thinking while using computers.

Table 4. Tukey Post hoc test of maintenance:Letters acquisition

(J)	Mean	Difference	Sig.
-----	------	------------	------

(I) groups	(J) groups	(I-J)	
1	2	-.222	.864
	3	-4.000*	.000
2	1	.222	.864
	3	-3.778*	.000
3	1	4.000*	.000
	2		3.778* .000

(Jalloul,2015)

Table 5. Maintenance Letters Filled Correctly, (Jalloul, 2015)

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
1	9	.89	.782
2	9	1.00	.707
3	9	4.89	1.616
Total	27	2.26	2.177

This point has been proven by researchers, such as Rousan (2013), who demonstrate that students with an intellectual disability have the ability to acquire and maintain the trained strategies. Otherwise, Schunk (1991; as cited by EL-Daw, 1997) indicates that students with a mild intellectual disability can maintain the trained skills over two years when they are trained in a metacognitive strategy in a classroom setting.

Table7. Number of Problems Solved Correctly

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
1	9	2.22	1.563
2	9	1.78	1.856
3	9	5.33	.707
Total	27	3.11	2.136

Table.6 Tukey Post hoc test; Maintenance: Problem Solving

(I) groups	(J) groups	Mean Difference (I-J)	Sig.
1	2	.444	.796
	3	-3.111*	.000
2	1	-.444	.796
	3	-3.556*	.000
3	1	3.111*	.000

2	3.556*	.000
(Jalloul, 2015)		

Number of problems: Results in Table6 showed that there was a significant difference among the three groups concerning maintenance in solving pattern completion tasks, since  $df = (2, 24)$ ,  $f = 15.861$  and  $p = 0.000$  which is less than 0.05. Also, a tukey post hoc test revealed that there was a significant difference between group1 and group3, since the difference between their means is  $(m1 - m3) = -3.111$  and  $p = 0.000$ . Also, the difference is shown between group 2 and group 3, since the difference between their means is  $(m2 - m3) = -3.556$  and  $p = 0.000$  and in both cases  $p$  is less than 0.05. Moreover, Table7 proved that group3 has a higher mean ( $M = 5.33$ ) than group1 ( $M = 2.22$ ) and group2 ( $M = 1.78$ ). This can be attributed to the scaffolding instructions. In fact, group 3 has acquired metacognitive skills, which helped them solve the pattern correctly, since they were instructed to verbalize the strategy and apply the strategy using computers. So, group3 became better in solving problems than other groups who received concepts through verbal instructions or computers. As the learner's knowledge and learning competency increases, the educator gradually reduces the supports provided (Ellis, Larkin & Worthington, 2002). Raymond(2000) reported that Vygotsky viewed the external scaffolds provided by the educator that can be removed because the learner has developed more sophisticated cognitive systems and related to fields of language learning. Consequently, the system of knowledge itself becomes part of the scaffold or social support for the new learning.

The foundation for scaffolding instruction is related to Vygotsky's concept of the zone of proximal development (ZPD). The ZPD can be described as the area between what a learner can do independently (mastery level) and what can be accomplished with the assistance of a competent adult or peer (instructional level) (Ellis, Larkin & Worthington, 2002; Principle 5, Research section, para.1). Moreover, Vygotsky believed that any child could be taught any subject effectively using scaffolding techniques by applying the scaffolds at the ZPD. Jaramillo (1996) stated that teachers activate this zone when they teach students concepts that are just above their current skills and knowledge level. This motivates them to excel beyond their current skills' level. In addition, Raymond (2002) pointed that students are guided and supported through learning activities that serve as interactive bridges to get them to the next level. As a result, the learner develops or constructs new understandings by elaborating on their prior knowledge through the support provided by more capable others. Moll (1990) stated that Vygotsky considered the capacity to teach and benefit from instructions is a fundamental attribute of human beings. Also, Vygotsky noted that a child, whose development is impeded by a disability, is not simply a child less developed than his peers; rather, he has developed differently (Moll, 1990). Tudge (1990) stated that while referring to the education of children with disabilities, Vygotsky pointed out that changes in the context of education may have profound consequences for the developmental processes. In this regard, research findings have actually shown that learning and development are hindered in the absence of guided learning experiences and social interaction, (Bransford, Brown & Cocking, 2000).

#### 4.4. Post training measures

Generalization: Our findings concerning generalization gains due to language related concepts training were in favor of group3, who received concepts through verbal instructions and computes. In this regard, Vygotsky (1999) believes that students should be taught how to think and organize their ideas and thoughts to apply them in the environment. The cognitive growth that students should gain is based on their self-regulation since it is the basic root that develops ones' inner processes. Students need scaffolding instructions in order to verbalize their thoughts through self-directed language so they can be used later to direct their behavior (Badrova, Leong & Akhutine, 2011).

Number of problems: These results can be explained in terms of metacognitive skills learned through scaffolding instructions, which allow students to become better problem solvers. In Table9, statistical analysis indicated that there was a statistically significant difference among the three groups according to the number of problems filled correctly, since  $df = (2, 24)$ ,  $f = 22.651$  and  $p = 0.000$  which is less than 0.05. Also, a tukey post hoc test (table10) revealed that there was a significant difference between group1 and group 2, since the difference between their means was  $(m1 - m3) = -2.556$  and  $p = 0.000$ . Also, the difference is shown between group 2 and group 3, since the difference between the means  $(m2 - m3) = -2.333$  and  $p = 0.000$  and in both cases  $p$  is less than 0.05. Moreover, group 3 had a higher mean ( $M = 3.89$ ) than group2 ( $M = 1.56$ ) and group1 ( $M = 1.33$ ), as shown in table3. So, group3 had the ability to solve the letter patterns and become a problem solver with a regulated self. This is proved in (EL-Daw, 1997) research in which students with an intellectual disability have the ability to generalize knowledge and solve any problem. Therefore, we conclude that group3 students showed better performance on problem solving when they were trained through verbal instructions and computers at the same time. Based on this, we can say that students with a mild intellectual disability can acquire language related concepts and use them to improve their self-regulation. In addition, they have the ability to generalize performance on problem solving tasks. A necessary condition for emergence of self-regulation is based on the children's learning of specific cultural tools that would allow them to eventually use self-regulatory behaviors independently. Among the first such tools children learn is self-talk or 'private speech' (Berk, 1992; Vygotsky, 1987). Vygotsky's findings suggest methodological procedures for the classroom. In Vygotskian perspective, the ideal role of the teacher is to provide scaffolding (collaborative dialogue) to assist students on tasks within their zones of proximal development (Hamilton and Ghatala, 1994). During scaffolding, the trainer in this study built, at first, the students' interest in order to engage them in learning. Once the learner is actively participating, the given task should be simplified by breaking it into smaller subtasks. Vygotsky stated that, during this task, the teacher needs to keep the learner focused, while concentrating on the most important ideas of the assignment. One of the most integral steps in scaffolding consists of keeping the learner from becoming frustrated. The final task associated with scaffolding involves the teacher modeling possible ways of completing tasks, which the learner can then imitate and eventually internalize.

To be successful in school, the child has to develop general social and cognitive competencies that will allow him/her to become a deliberate, self-regulated learner capable of establishing adequate social relationships with other participants in the teaching and learning process, as well as being able to adopt a specific position of a "student" characterized by such things as interest in the very process of learning, willingness to play by the school rules, readiness to follow the teacher's directions, etc. (Karpov, 2005).

According to Feden & Vogel (2003), Vygotsky placed a great emphasis on the importance of spoken language in his theories. Arguably, this is the most critical tool that sets us apart from other species. He stated that speech is a very powerful psychological tool that lays the foundation for basic structures of thinking later in one's development. Furthermore, Vygotsky (1978) mentioned that speech is the first psychological tool used by children to communicate with others who share the environment. Naturally, this is continued through adulthood, as speech is a primary tool used for learning. Vygotsky insists that humans learn best in cooperation with other humans.

Table.8a Post training: Generalization Number of patterns filled correctly

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
1	9	1.33	.866
2	9	1.56	1.236
3	9	3.89	.333
Total	27	2.26	1.457

(Jalloul, 2015)

Table.9 Generalization skills in the three groups

	Df	F	Sig.
Between Groups	2	22.651	.000
Within Groups	24		
Total	26		

(Jalloul,2015)

Table10. Tukey Post Hoc Test:

(I) groups	(J) groups	Mean Difference (I-J)	Sig.
1	2	-.222	.858
	3	-2.556*	.000
2	1	.222	.858
	3	-2.333*	.000
3	1	2.556*	.000
	2	2.333*	.000

(Jalloul,2015)

## 5. Summary

The general hypothesis of the study was that the scaffolding strategies training will improve the acquisition, maintenance and the generalization of pattern completion tasks and help in developing problem solving and generalization skills for individuals with an intellectual disability. In this study, there were different hypotheses to be proved such as:

1. The acquisition of pattern completion tasks, as measured by the number of training trails, will differ in the three treatment groups. Group1 involved language related concepts training through verbal instructions and thinking out loud strategies. Group 2 involved language related concepts

training through computer software. Group3 involved language related concepts training through verbal instructions, thinking out loud and computer software strategies.

2. The maintenance of pattern completion tasks, as measured by a- number of letters and b- number of problems will differ in the three groups.
3. The generalization of pattern completion tasks as measured by the number of problems will differ in the three groups.

As a summary, the methodology that was used with subjects started with the division of the groups: Group 1 students received language concepts through verbal instructions. Group 2 students received language concepts through computers. Group 3 students received language concepts through verbal instructions and computers. Then, Distar language I was used to teach them concepts such as same/different, before/ after, in front of and between. Data was collected before training to check their age and their IQ through the verbal analogy test. A series of one way ANOVA and a tukey post hoc test was used for statistical analysis to investigate any significant difference between group1, group2 and group3 in the training steps to examine the acquisition and the maintenance and generalization of pattern completion task. We can conclude that there was no difference among the three groups in the pre- training steps, which includes the verbal analogies test, number of letters and the numbers of letter patterns that were filled correctly. However, there was a significant difference in the training step among the 3 groups in the acquisition of the letters. Group 3 was the best group in acquiring the letters during training. Also, the third group in the maintenance step had the ability to recognize the letters and solve the letter problems easily whereas the other two groups faced difficulties.

At the end, in the post training step, group 3 also had the ability to become self-regulated and a better problem solver than the other groups, since the students received the language concepts through computers and verbal instructions at the same time. Thus, we can conclude that training students with an intellectual disability in scaffolding instructions can enhance their generalization skills, as language was the tool for determining the ways a student learns 'how' to think. That is because complex concepts are conveyed to the students through words. Learning, according to Vygotsky, always involves some type of external experience being transformed into internal processes through the use of language. It follows that speech and language are the primary tools used to communicate with others and promote learning. Guided by several specific Vygotskian principles (Vygotsky, 1978; as cited by Bodrova & Leong, 2007), results can be explained by the fact that children's self-regulatory abilities originate in social interactions and only later become internalized and independently used by children. This embeddedness means that to develop self-regulation, children need to have an opportunity to engage in other regulation. Other-regulation implies that children act both as subjects of another person's regulatory behaviors and as actors regulating another person's behaviors. Teachers or more capable peers can raise the student's competence through the zone of proximal development (ZPD). The zone of proximal development works in conjunction with the use of scaffolding. The use of language –related concept in this study is essential to successfully implementing scaffolding as a learning tool. Finally, the University of Iowa (2007) suggested that learning to master tools and technologies should also be included in the curriculum. Students should be taught how to use tools such as the computer, resource books and graphs in order to better utilize these tools in the future. In this way, Hamilton and Ghatala (1994) claimed that students will benefit, as these tools and technologies influence the individual's thinking (along with the development of language).

## **6. Recommendations for the future researches**

Results of this study raised several questions and recommendations that could be followed up in the future. They are listed as follows: future research is needed to examine the basic role of interactive white boards as a way of teaching language to increase the writing skills in individuals with an intellectual disability in inclusive classrooms. Another research is needed to determine the role of the teachers' qualifications in promoting the critical thinking in reading comprehension for individuals with a learning disability using assistive technology in inclusive classrooms. Furthermore, a research is needed to examine the effect of language related concepts training on solving problems in activities that require

social interactions such as sharing meals, going in a trip, etc... Finally, a research is needed to determine the effect of scaffolding instructions training on the generalization of social skills in individuals with a mild intellectual disability.

## References

- Ablard, K.E., & Lipschultz, R.E. (1998). *Self-regulated Learning in High Achieving Students*. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1, 90-94.
- Alkamesh, M. (2011). *Mental Retardation*. Amman. Almassera
- Armatas, V. (2009). Mental Retardation: Definitions, Etiology, Epidemiology and Diagnosis. Retrieved from <http://www.journalshr.com/index.php/issues/2009/36-vol-1-n2-september-december-2009/62-armatas-v-2009-mental-retardation-definitions-etiology-epidemiology-and-diagnosis-j-sport-health-res-12112-122>
- Atiyeh, N. (1972). *Intelligence Scale for Lebanese Children*. Beirut: Lebanese University.
- Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The Exercise of Control. Retrieved from Conrsini Encyclopedia of Psychology.
- Batshaw, M.L., & Perret, Y.M. (1992). Children with Disabilities: A Medical Primer. Retrieved from <http://products.brookespublishing.com/Children-with-Disabilities-Seventh-Edition-P598.asp>
- Bodrova, E., Leong, D. J., & Akhutina, T.V. (2011). When Everything New is Well-Forgotten Old: Vygotsky / Luria insights in the Development of Executive Functions. Retrieved from; <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21898896>
- Bodrova, E., Leong, D.J., & Akhutine, T.V. (2011). Vygotsky, Luria and Developmental Neuropsychology. Retrieved from: [luria.ucsd.edu/Vygotsky-n-Luria-DevelopmentalNeuropsychology.pdf](http://luria.ucsd.edu/Vygotsky-n-Luria-DevelopmentalNeuropsychology.pdf)
- Chang, K., Chen, I., & Sung, Y. (2002). The Effect of Concept Mapping to Enhance Text Comprehension and Summarization. *The Journal of Experimental Education* 71(1), 5-23.
- El-Daw, B. (1997). The Effect of Language Related Concepts Training and Verbal Instruction on Self-regulated Problem Solving in Mildly Mentally Retarded Students. Retrieved from El-Daw's master's study at American University of Beirut.
- Ellis, E., Larkin, M., & Worthington, L. (2002). Executive Summary of the Research Synthesis on Effective Teaching Principles and the Design Quality Tools for Educators. Retrieved from; <http://idea.uoregon.edu/~ncite/documents/techrep/tech06.html>
- Feden, P., & Vogel, R. (2006). Education. Retrieved from; [https://books.google.com.lb/books?id=EQC7BAAAQBAJ&pg=PA13&lpg=PA13&dq=Feden,P.%26+Vogel,+R.\(2006\).+Education\(New+York:+McGraw-Hill,+2006&source=bl&ots=gUTqbEwFzo&sig=4L2rV5c7H2dilr1nCSb0uAibeCk&hl=en&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwifR5TX1bNAhWD7RQKHR\\_9BT4Q6AEIlzAB#v=onepage&q=Feden%2CP.%26%20Vogel%2C%20R.\(2006\).%20Education\(New%20York%3A%20McGraw-Hill%2C%202006&f=false](https://books.google.com.lb/books?id=EQC7BAAAQBAJ&pg=PA13&lpg=PA13&dq=Feden,P.%26+Vogel,+R.(2006).+Education(New+York:+McGraw-Hill,+2006&source=bl&ots=gUTqbEwFzo&sig=4L2rV5c7H2dilr1nCSb0uAibeCk&hl=en&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwifR5TX1bNAhWD7RQKHR_9BT4Q6AEIlzAB#v=onepage&q=Feden%2CP.%26%20Vogel%2C%20R.(2006).%20Education(New%20York%3A%20McGraw-Hill%2C%202006&f=false)
- Feden, P.D., & Vogel, R. (2003). *Methods of Teaching: Applying Cognitive Science to Promote Student Learning*. Retrieved from < *Methods of Teaching: Applying Cognitive Science to Promote Student Learning*. The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.)
- Feden, P.D., & Vogel, R.M. (1993). *Methods of Teaching: Applying Cognitive Science to Promote Student Learning*. Retrieved from; <http://MPrince-Journalofengineeringeducation,2004-WileyOnlineLibrary>
- Fouse, B., & Wheeler, M. (1997). *A Treasure Chest of Behavioral Strategies for Individuals with Autism*. Retrieved from; [http://www.goodreads.com/book/show/358428.A\\_Treasure\\_Chest\\_of\\_Behavioral\\_Strategies\\_for\\_Individuals\\_with\\_Autism](http://www.goodreads.com/book/show/358428.A_Treasure_Chest_of_Behavioral_Strategies_for_Individuals_with_Autism)
- Hamilton, R., & Ghatala, E. (1994). *Learning and Instruction*. Retrieved from: [www.semo.edu/pdf/old/Provost-30Day-SE635.pdf](http://www.semo.edu/pdf/old/Provost-30Day-SE635.pdf)
- Hamilton, R., Ghatala, E. (1994). *Learning and Instructions*. Retrieved from <http://higheredsbcs.wiley.com/legacy/college/smith/04739533/references/references.pdf>
- Jalloul, F. (2015). The Impact of Training Students with Mild Intellectual Disability in Computer Language-Related Concepts and Verbal Instruction Teaching Methods on their Self-regulation. Retrieved from <unpublished thesis, Lebanese University, Faculty of Education
- Salvador-Carulla, L. S., Reed, G. M., Vaez-Azizi, L. M., Cooper, S.-A., Leal, R. M., Bertelli, M., Adnams, C., Cooray, S., Deb, S., Dirani, L. A., Girimaji, S. C., Katz, G., Kwok, H., Luckasson, R., Simeonsson, R., Walsh, C., Munir, K., &

Jalloul, F. & ELDaou, B. (2016). The effect of training individuals with mild intellectual disability in scaffolding strategies and computer software on their generalization skills. *World Journal on Educational Technology: Current Issues*, 8(3), 277-293

- Saxena, S. (2011), Intellectual developmental disorders: towards a new name, definition and framework for “mental retardation/intellectual disability” in ICD-11. *World Psychiatry*, 10, 175–180. [doi:10.1002/j.2051-5545.2011.tb00045.x](https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2051-5545.2011.tb00045.x)
- Karpov, Y.V. (2005). The Neo-Vygotskian Approach to Child Development. Retrieved from <http://www.cambridge.org/catalogue/catalogue.asp?isbn=9780521696135&ss=fro>
- Kay, B. (1999). How to Assess Authentic Learning. Retrieved from <How to Assess Authentic Learning. Skylight Professional Development.>.
- King, B.H., State, M.W., & Shah, B. (1997). Mental Retardation: A Review of the Past 10 Years. Retrieved from [http://www.jaacap.com/article/S0890-8567\(09\)62706-4/references](http://www.jaacap.com/article/S0890-8567(09)62706-4/references)
- Kotik-Friedgut, B. (2006). Development of the Lurian Approach: A Cultural Neuro-Linguistic Perspective. *Neuropsychology Review* V.16. Retrieved from <http://luria.ucsd.edu/Development-of-the-Lurian-Approach.pdf>
- Luria, A.R. (2002). L.S. Vygotsky and the Problem of Functional Localization. Retrieved from [lhc.ucsd.edu/MCA/Mail/xmcamail.2010\\_02.dir/pdfhSRSFAKVs7.pdf](http://lhc.ucsd.edu/MCA/Mail/xmcamail.2010_02.dir/pdfhSRSFAKVs7.pdf)
- Lutz, S., & Huitt, W. (2003). Information Processing and Memory: Theory and Application. Retrieved from <http://edpsycinteractive.org>
- Moll, L. (1990). Vygotsky and Education: Instructional Implications and Applications of Sociohistorical Psychology. Retrieved from <http://www.cambridge.org/us/academic/subjects/psychology/educational-psychology/vygotsky-and-education-instructional-implications-and-applications-sociohistorical-psychology>
- Mukallid, H.S. (1991). The Effect of Language Related Concepts Training and Verbal Instruction of Self-regulated Problem Solving in Mildly Mental Retarded Students. Retrieved from <http://www.rhf.org.lb/?q=content/effect-language-related-concepts-training-and-verbal-instruction-self-regulated-problem>
- Nikhil, L. (2009). Improving Effectiveness of E-Learning in Maintenance Using Interactive 3D. Retrieved from <<http://arxiv.org/abs/0909.4202>>
- Pintrich, P. R., Boekaerts, P., & Zeidner, M. (2000). The Role of Goal Orientation in Self-regulated Learning. Retrieved from <Handbook of Self-regulation, p. 451-502>
- Raymond, E., & Pearson, A. (2000). Cognitive Characteristics: Learning with Mild Disabilities. Retrieved from <https://www.pearsonhighered.com/product/Raymond-Learners-with-Mild-Disabilities-A-Characteristics-Approach-4th-Edition/9780137060764.html>
- Rousan, F. (2013). The Psychology of Special Students. Retrieved from <Amman: Dar Al Feker>.
- Schunk, D.H. (1991). Learning Theories: An Educational Perspective. Retrieved from <http://greatschoolspartnership.org.pdf>
- Schunk, D.H., & Zimmerman, B.J. (1998). Self-regulated Learning from Teaching to Self-reflective Practice. Retrieved from: Self-regulated Learning from Teaching to Self-reflective Practice. New York: Guilford Press



Jalloul, F. & ELDaou, B. (2016). The effect of training individuals with mild intellectual disability in scaffolding strategies and computer software on their generalization skills. *World Journal on Educational Technology: Current Issues*. 8(3), 277-293

Tudge, J., & Moll, L.C., Ed. (1990). Vygotsky, The Zone of Proximal Development and Peer Collaboration: Implications for Classroom Practice. Retrieved from <Vygotsky and Education: Instructional Implications and Applications of Sociohistorical Psychology, MA: Cambridge University Press>

Vygotsky, L. (1997). Educational Psychology. Retrieved from [https://books.google.com.lb/books?id=YyVHBAAQBAJ&pg=PT299&lpq=PT299&dq=Vygotsky,+L.++\(1997\)+Educational+Psychology.+Florida:+St.+Lucie+Press&source=bl&ots=sUI9BHxAppg&sig=ZoncUeZAPWvyKh1-PdOq42L4Vnc&hl=en&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwjclcdH2lbNAhWlwxQKHaiEB1kQ6AEIKTAC#v=onepage&q=Vygotsky%2C%20L.%20\(1997\)%20Educational%20Psychology.%20Florida%3A%20St.%20Lucie%20Press&f=false](https://books.google.com.lb/books?id=YyVHBAAQBAJ&pg=PT299&lpq=PT299&dq=Vygotsky,+L.++(1997)+Educational+Psychology.+Florida:+St.+Lucie+Press&source=bl&ots=sUI9BHxAppg&sig=ZoncUeZAPWvyKh1-PdOq42L4Vnc&hl=en&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwjclcdH2lbNAhWlwxQKHaiEB1kQ6AEIKTAC#v=onepage&q=Vygotsky%2C%20L.%20(1997)%20Educational%20Psychology.%20Florida%3A%20St.%20Lucie%20Press&f=false)

Vygotsky, L.S. (1962). Thought and Language Cambridge. Retrieved from <https://mitpress.mit.edu/books/thought-and-language>

Vygotsky, L.S. (1983). Sobrainye Sochinenii (Collected works) Vol5. Retrieved from <<http://digitalcollections.nypl.org/items/510d47e2-dc6c-a3d9-e040-e00a18064a99>>

Vygotsky, L.S. (1997). The History of the Development of Higher Mental Functions. Retrieved from The Collected Work of Vygotsky, V.4

Winne, P. H. (1996). A Metacognitive View of Individual Differences in Self-regulated Learning. Retrieved from [www.learnlib.org/d/23385](http://www.learnlib.org/d/23385)

WWC Intervention Report. (2007). Distar Instruction, DISTAR and Language for Learning. Retrieved from <http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/pdf/intervention-reports/wwc-direct-instruction-052107.pdf>